1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION				
3	HONORABLE ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE				
4					
5	THUNDER STUDIOS, INC.; RODRIC)				
6	DAVID,)				
7	PLAINTIFFS,))				
8	vs.) No. CV 17-0871-AB)				
9	CHARIF KAZAL; TONY KAZAL;) ADAM KAZAL; AND DOES 1 TO 100,) INCLUSIVE,)				
10	DEFENDANTS.)				
11)				
12					
13					
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
15	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2018				
16	9:25 A.M.				
17	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA				
18	Day 4 of Jury Trial, A.M. Session				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	CHIA MEI JUI, CSR 3287, CCRR, FCRR				
24	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 350 WEST FIRST STREET, ROOM 4311 LOS ANGELES CALLEODNIA 20013				
25	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 cmjui.csr@gmail.com				

```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
 3
             LAW OFFICES OF SETH W. WIENER
             BY: SETH W. WIENER, ATTORNEY AT LAW
 4
             609 KARINA COURT
             SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94582
 5
             (925) 487-5607
 6
                 -and-
 7
             SYVERSON, LESOWITZ & GEBELIN
             BY: STEVEN GEBELIN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
 8
             8383 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 520
             BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211
 9
             (310) 341-3072
10
     FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
11
             THE TAYLOR LAW FIRM
12
             BY: BENJAMIN TAYLOR, ATTORNEY AT LAW
             AND DIANE BANI-ESRAILI, ATTORNEY AT LAW
             1880 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 714
13
             LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
             (310) 201-7600
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

se 2	17-cv-00871-AB-55 Document 218 Filed	105/06/19 P	age 3 01 126	Page 1D #.3200		
1	<u>I N D</u>	EX				
2	DECEMBER 11, 2018					
3						
4	PLAINTIFFS'					
5	WITNESSES			PAGE		
6	RODRIC DAVID (THE PLAINTIFF) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WI		74 89			
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TAX					
8						
9						
10	<u>EXHIBITS</u>					
11	TRIAL EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADMITTED	NOT ADMIT		
12	49	79	95			
13	50	81	95			
14	51		95			
15	52		95			
16	53		95			
17	54		95			
18 19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

```
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2018
 1
 2
                               9:25 A.M.
 3
          (The following was heard in open court in the presence
 4
 5
           of the jury:)
               THE CLERK: Calling CV 17-0871-AB, Thunder
 6
 7
     Studios, Inc., versus Charif Kazal, et al.
 8
               Counsel, please step forward and state your
 9
     appearances.
10
               MR. WIENER: Seth Wiener for plaintiffs
11
     Thunder Studios, Inc. and Rodric David.
12
               MR. GEBELIN: Steven Gebelin, also for plaintiffs.
13
               MR. TAYLOR: Good morning, Your Honor.
     Benjamin Taylor on behalf of defendants Charif Kazal,
14
15
     Adam Kazal and Tony Kazal.
16
               MS. BANI-ESRAILI: Good morning, Your Honor.
     Diane Bani-Esraili for the defense.
17
               THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you all
18
19
     and good morning to you, ladies and gentlemen.
20
               The moment you all have been waiting for --
     closing arguments. I will say nothing more other than,
21
22
     Counsel, you may proceed.
23
               MR. WIENER: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
               I'd like to thank both the Court and the jury for
     their time, for braving the rain last week, and carefully
25
```

considering the testimony and evidence that's been presented to you.

We are here today and for the past week because of the stalking campaign that was conducted by the Kazal brothers against Rodric David and his family and their infringement of Thunder Studios copyrights in connection with carrying out their stalking.

This is a case where the Kazals resorted to what the Court referred to as street justice, taking the law into their own hands rather than going through proper legal channels.

The Kazals attempt to justify their wrongful conduct by claiming that it was to protect their family honor and because of business dealings they had with Mr. David about ten years ago. Those business dealings aren't directly relevant. I'll just briefly summarize them for the jury.

The Kazals didn't honor their financial commitment to the parties' joint venture business, Emergent Capital.

They then attempted to wrongfully acquire what they were not entitled to by filing criminal complaints against Mr. David in the United Arab Emirates, immigration complaint, and lawsuits in the Cayman Islands. None of these were successful.

The Kazals also blame Mr. David for an Independent

Commission Against Corruption Investigation, other wrongful conduct that took place in Australia. As suggested by the name, Independent Commission Against Corruption, it is an independent commission. Mr. David has no control over the ICAC and didn't initiate the proceeding. His only role was to testify in the subpoena truthfully pursuant to a subpoena.

The ICAC made findings that Charif Kazal had engaged in corrupt conduct and given false or misleading evidence. The latter offense, having given false and misleading evidence, was referred for criminal prosecution.

misleading evidence, was referred for criminal prosecutio Contrary to Charif's statements, he was never exonerated from these findings.

Now, the Kazals also blame Mr. David for a series of articles that appeared in the *Sydney Morning Herald* and which were republished online by someone other than Mr. David.

And as you heard from several witnesses, the Sydney Morning Herald is a respected newspaper, and it's published by Fairfax Media, which is a large media conglomerate that Mr. David has no control or ability to influence what they publish. Notably and, again, contrary to Charif Kazal's testimony, none of the articles were ever retracted.

The articles, which the jury does have with them,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discuss the Kazals' money laundering; links to Muammar Gaddafi; links to Hezbollah, a terrorist organization; corrupt property deals; and intimidation tactics. those intimidation tactics that are the reason for this lawsuit. The Kazals had stalked Mr. David and his family members both online and offline. The stalking began soon after their business ventures and have continued through 2017. Beginning in about April 2016 and even after the filing of this lawsuit, Charif and Tony Kazal sent almost daily e-mails to Rodric David and other employees of Thunder Studios. We have provided you just with a small snippet of those e-mails as they number in the hundreds and 14 are repetitious. The e-mails, as you have seen, accuse Mr. David and his employees of having committed despicable crimes, having made desperate lies and malicious threats. These e-mails were simultaneously published by the Kazals on their kazalfamilystory.com Website and also copied onto their Twitter accounts and other social media accounts. Beginning in October 2016, the defendants escalated their stalking campaign. In Australia, the Kazals placed 15,000 posters on the streets of Sydney and in the neighborhood where Mr. David's family members resided,

1 depositing 30,000 flyers in mailboxes in the same 2 neighborhood and drove vans throughout the neighborhood with 3 signs proclaiming that Rodric David and his former business partner were criminals. 4 Mr. David obtained a restraining order from the 5 6 federal court of Australia prohibiting these activities in 7 Australia. It's undisputed that Charif Kazal violated this 8 order, was found in civil contempt of Court. That wasn't a mere technical violation. The Court made a finding that he 9 10 was in contempt, that he was in blatant disregard of the 11 Court's order to remove the signage. 12 Adam Kazal also violated the restraining order, 13 and the federal court of Australia found beyond a reasonable doubt that he was in criminal contempt of the Court, 14 15 sentenced him to 33 months in prison. 16 In its order, the federal court of Australia also 17 noted that there was no justification for Adam Kazal's 18 actions and that he had willfully disobeyed the Court's 19 order. 20 Notably, Adam Kazal has not even appeared here at 21 trial to attempt to defend his actions nor could he do so. 22 Likewise, Tony Kazal has not appeared before you to explain 23 his actions. Their absence to me suggests that they are 24 continuing contempt for the Court's legal processes.

The Kazals also stalked Mr. David in California.

25

1 Adam Kazal and Tony Kazal both hired private investigators 2 to follow Mr. David and his wife. You heard testimony from 3 the private investigator who is unable to provide any explanation what legitimate purpose there was for the 4 5 investigation. It was designed to harass Mr. David. Also, you will see that Charif had tried 6 7 blaming -- claiming that he had no knowledge of this 8 campaign and that it was solely the work of his brother, That's contradicted by the fact that Tony Kazal --9 10 name appears on the contracts, and the private investigator 11 was being told to relay information to Tony. 12 It also bears note that the person who is 13 organizing the campaign here on the ground in California for the Kazals was an individual named Jamie Brown, a former 14 15 police officer, who had known the Kazal family, including, 16 in particular, Charif, for approximately 15 years, according 17 to the ICAC report. 18 Given that the brothers clearly worked in close conjunction, the jury would have to suspend belief to 19 20 believe than Charif wasn't involved in the harassment 21 campaign that took place here in California. 22 The Kazals also hired a large team of thugs to 23 harass Mr. David and his family, both at the David's family 24 home and at Thunder Studios. These protests also occurred

close to Thunder -- the elementary school that was attended

25

by Mr. David's child. This was particularly distressing for a parent and understandably so.

These California protest activities took place in October and in November of 2016, even after the Australia Court had issued a restraining order.

You saw there were clumsy attempts by the Kazals to avoid liability by instructing the protestors to change the signs from being "Care of Adam Kazal" to "Care of Tony Kazal." They don't deny that they are the ones who carried out this campaign.

This also wasn't conduct that was protected by the First Amendment. The defendants, as foreign citizens, don't have any First Amendment rights. Even if they did, though, the First Amendment doesn't protect against threats, and this was a case about threats. I'll go further into those threats but first just want to remind the jury what the defendants carried out here.

They drove a van, again, through Mr. David's neighborhood and his children's elementary school, decorated with copyrighted photographs of Mr. David with Mr. David's name printed in large letters underneath with large writings proclaiming that he was exposed as a corporate thief, that he had stolen \$180 million, calling him a fraudster and telling his viewers not to be his next victim.

I would ask that the jury consider what the impact

would be on their family members if they were similarly subjected to such a harassment campaign.

The David family attempted to stop these activities. They summoned the Los Angeles

Police Department, made a request to the Kazals' attorneys for the conduct to stop. It didn't. The defendants refused to stop and continued to take the law into their own hands.

On October 28th of 2016, Adam Kazal made a post on Twitter stating, "Hey, Rodric David, my team in L.A. are going to expose you wherever you go." The reference to a team in L.A. was meant to let Mr. David know that they knew where he was located and would take whatever actions were necessary to make him fearful here in California.

On October 30th, 2016, Adam Kazal told David that he reserved the right to not only continue using the van but to increase the size of his fleet unless his extortionate demands were paid -- were met, including a demand that he be paid -- \$666,666.66. These -- the number of sixes was sinister and, again, meant to place Mr. David in fear.

Again, this wasn't a campaign that was solely conducted by Adam Kazal. Charif and Tony were part and parcel of this campaign leading up to the -- what the defendants referred to as protest activities, and even afterwards they sent daily e-mails threatening Mr. David.

There's also no evidence of how this campaign was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financed. Mark Woodward, the private investigator you heard from yesterday claimed he was paid by Jamie Brown. In turn, Jamie Brown has not testified who paid him. And what we do have is testimony from Adam Kazal that was read into the record where he said he paid for it from winnings from a horse race. Notably, when I asked Mr. Kazal more details about this horse race, he was unable to provide any. It was simply a bold faced lie that he funded it with money from a horse race. It's clear that he received the funding from Tony and Charif Kazal. He's lying just to try -- protecting them from liability for his wrongful activities and for their harassment campaign. There is also no reasonable dispute that the defendants' stalking conduct caused Mr. David to reasonably fear for his safety and the safety of his family members. You heard from both Mr. David, his wife, Elizabeth, that it is particularly distressing to him that the conduct occurred near their child's elementary school. After it occurred it -- immediately afterwards, the Davids changed the locks to their home, purchased security cameras, and also contracted for armed security. Again, if the defendants were solely waging a war of words, there wouldn't be the need for those actions.

Instead, the defendants made it clear that they are prepared

to commit violence against the Davids here in California and that they were establishing a physical presence here.

As I am sure the jury observed both during
Mr. and Mrs. David's testimony, they suffered understandable
emotional distresses as a result of what took place. So
would any reasonable person.

The defendant's conduct also served to establish a credible threat to the safety of Mr. David and his family.

It bears note that -- Mr. David's testimony that Adam Kazal had previously assaulted his father, John David. And while conducting the harassment and -- in October 2016, Adam Kazal told Mr. David that he was, quote, "Different to the rest of the family" and that he would, "See you around, Grub."

The reference to being different than his family was meant to imply that he was the one who would carry out violence. The reference to "See you around, Grub," was meant to imply to Mr. David -- and it was understood -- that Adam Kazal would personally come to California to inflict violence upon him.

Again, defendants have never ceased their harassing conduct, have offered no apology to Mr. David and his family. They've also attempted to diminish their violation of restraining orders from the federal court of Australia by claiming they are technical violations and they consented to them. There is no evidence that these were

technical violations, nor does such a thing even exist in the context of a contempt order, nor did the defendants consent to them.

The Court sentenced Adam Kazal to 33 months in prison because it thought he was belligerent and unrepentant, and that seems to be the defendants' attitude even today.

With regard to copyright infringement, the copyright infringement asserted by Thunder Studios has two basic elements. The first element is ownership of the valid copyright, and the second element is copying of constituent elements of the work that are original.

Here, there is no dispute that Thunder Studios is the owner of the images set forth in the two copyright registrations that are Exhibits 23 and 24. These consist of photographs that were published in 2015 and 2016.

Now, the photographs, according to the copyright certificates, were taken by Anthony Parlato. You heard from Mr. Parlato yesterday, and he testified that he was employed at Thunder Studios beginning in the 2015.

Accordingly, there is no statute of limitations defense based on the -- when the photographs were first published as the photographs were taken only in 2015 and previous statute of limitations defense would require the defendants to show that they had copied them in

1 February 2014 or earlier. The photographs simply didn't 2 exist in February 2014; therefore, the defendants' statute 3 of limitation defense has no merit whatsoever. Likewise, the defendants have also asserted what's 4 5 called a fair use defense to the copyrights. 6 assertion of this defense admits that they actually did 7 publish the photographs, and what they're asserting is that 8 it was a fair use. They have offered no evidence how it constitutes a 9 10 fair use to copy the photographs entirely, place a derogatory statement on them, and then use them in 11 12 furtherance of a harassment campaign. I can assure the jury 13 there's no case law that finds that that would constitute a fair use. The jury should reject that defense out of hand. 14 15 Now, the Kazals intentionally copied 16 Thunder Studios copyrighted photographs on their Website, 17 kazalfamilystory.com. Thunder Studios pursued the 18 appropriate legal processes by sending what's called a 19 Digital Millennium Copyright Act notice to GoDaddy, which 20 was hosting the Website. GoDaddy took down the Website in 21 the response to the notice. 22 The Kazals received notice of the takedown and the 23 infringement from their attorney. Rather than take steps to 24 ensure that they didn't use copyrighted photos going

forward, they didn't do that. Instead, they transferred the

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Website -- hosting the Website to a company in Iceland called Orange Website that doesn't comply with U.S. copyright laws. This evidence is a clear intent to circumvent the law, not comply with the law, to commit what's called street justice. After transferring the hosting of the Website, in 2017, the Kazals continued to publish Thunder Studios's photographs on the Website. We presented evidence of the infringed photographs. That's Exhibit 31 and 32 in the binder. Obviously, Thunder Studios never authorized or consented to the use of its photographs by the defendants. Charif Kazal has stated that he takes full responsibility for everything on the Website. That was his deposition testimony. And he specifically used the word "everything." Realizing afterwards that that would make him liable for copyright infringement, he now claims that he was only responsible for the words on the Website and not the photographs and attempts to blame the photographs on an individual named Joe Dabab. Notably, Mr. Dabab is not here nor does he exist. We provided you with Website information that was provided by GoDaddy that shows that the Website was registered to and administered by an individual named Jean

Ghalo, who is in Lebanon. Mr. Kazal testified that he

didn't know who Jean Ghalo was. We submit to the jury that Jean Ghalo was likely an alias for Tony Kazal. Tony Kazal is also situated in the Middle East.

Regardless of whether the jury finds that it was actually Tony Kazal's Website or Charif Kazal's Website or Adam Kazal's Website, there is no dispute that each of the defendants is also secondarily liable for the copy infringement.

Each of them was aware of the Website and its use of the copyrighted photographs. They also received notice that the photographs were copyrighted and continued to infringe them after receiving the notice.

They also contributed to the Website both by promoting it on their signs, posters, street vans, and by writing the content that was on the Website. All this was a carefully coordinated plan, and all the defendants are liable for copyright infringement.

The Copyright Act provides that Thunder Studios is entitled to damages for each infringement of its copyrighted images. In cases such as this one where the copyright owner proves that the infringement was conducted willfully, the jury can increase the award to statutory damages to a sum up to \$150,000.

Willfulness occurs where an infringer acts with knowledge that the infringer's conduct constitutes copyright

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

infringement. Here, any doubt that the Kazals had that their conduct constituted copyright infringement would have been erased when they received a notice in October 2016 from GoDaddy of the complaint made by Thunder Studios concerning the violation of its copyrights. Again, they didn't comply with the takedown notice and continued to recently publish the photographs in defiance of the law. We ask that the jury find that each of the three defendants is liable for infringement of each of the photographs listed in the verdict form provided to the jury. In conclusion and reserving a few minutes for rebuttal, Mr. David has been continuously stalked and harassed by the Kazals for over a decade. He tried moving to California just to flee this harassment, and he thought for some time that he had obtained peace. He didn't. In October 2016 the defendants shattered that by bringing the fight to Mr. David here in California. Again, the Kazals attacks have caused great emotional distress to Mr. David. It's caused him to fear

both for his own safety and more importantly to him the safety of his wife and children.

Again, the defendants' conduct is not protected by the First Amendment right of free speech. The First Amendment rights doesn't apply to foreign citizens such as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the defendants. It also doesn't protect threats, and this was a threat. It was terrorism committed against a U.S. citizen. The jury can take direction from the federal court of Australia which already found that almost identical stalking conduct in Australia was in contempt of restraining orders of the federal court of Australia. In particular, the federal court of Australia found that Adam Kazal, quote, "Had no lawful justification or excuse for his conduct." It also found that Adam flouted its orders and had engaged in a serious contempt of a criminal nature. Again, a criminal nature means that the federal court had to find beyond a shadow of a reasonable doubt that Adam Kazal was in criminal contempt. Here, the jury only needs to find it more likely than not that the Kazals did what they have admitted doing -- conducting harassment of Mr. David and his family. The federal court of Australia also found that Adam Kazal defied its orders in as public a way as he could. Finally, it also found that Adam Kazal's sense of

grievance with Mr. David didn't justify his conduct; therefore, even though the defendants will try claiming that Mr. David is a bad guy, I don't think he is. I think he is a good man and treats people respectfully and honestly.

1 even if the jury finds he's a bad guy, that doesn't warrant 2 what they did. 3 Criminal conduct, stalking somebody, is never warranted. The jury should not condone what the Kazals did 4 5 It needs to send them a severe message that threats have no place in a civilized society. 6 7 We ask that the jury deliberate and make an 8 appropriate award of monetary damages for the harassment 9 campaign and they'd also award the full amount of statutory 10 damages based on the defendants' intentional and malicious 11 infringement of the copyrights. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel. 13 Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 14 15 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for 16 your time and your attention these few days. 17 Thank you to the Court for overseeing this trial, and at this point I want to explain to you why we believe 18 19 that, after hearing all the evidence, after considering 20 witness testimony and looking at the documents, the videos, 21 and the other evidence that's been presented during this 22 trial, we believe that you should find in favor of the 23 defendants on both claims for relief that plaintiffs have 24 filed in this case. 25 First of all, as counsel touched on, it's

1 important to bear in mind the burden of proof that applies 2 to the claims at issue here. The burden of proof, as you 3 heard, is what's called a preponderance of the evidence. While that's not as high, of course, as the beyond a 4 5 reasonable doubt standard that we're familiar with in 6 criminal cases, it does still require the plaintiffs to 7 prove its claims as being more likely than not. 8 That means that, if you think that the evidence is exactly evenly balanced or if it tilts in favor of the 9 10 defendants, then you have to enter a verdict in favor of the 11 defendants on the claim. 12 Now, counsel's closing argument included a lot of 13 speculation, a lot of quesswork. He wants you to make certain leaps that I don't think you can make. 14 15 I think we need to bear in mind the burden of 16 proof and take a look at the evidence that was actually 17 presented and the reasonable inferences that you can draw 18 from that evidence. Let's apply the facts to the law; and I 19 think, once we do that, you'll will see that the verdict on 20 both claims should be in favor of the defendants here. 21 Now, as we have discussed, there are two separate 22 claims by the two separate plaintiffs in this case. One by 23 Thunder Studios for copyright infringement and one by

Now, the first claim, as you know, for copyright

Mr. David individually for stalking.

24

25

infringement is based upon, as we've heard, the alleged infringement of Thunder Studios copyrighted photographs on the kazalfamilystory.com Website.

Now, as you heard during testimony and as you heard quite a bit during closing argument by plaintiffs' counsel, the plaintiffs are very eager to group all of the defendants together as if they acted as a single monolith with three heads, but they are three separate individuals. And I think the evidence was pretty clear that each of the three of them did different things here in this case.

The evidence shows that each of them took different steps and not every act committed by any individual of the three can be attributed to all three.

Let's break down the two claims and consider each one separately with respect to each of the three defendants.

Now, as Mr. Kazal readily acknowledges, he was behind the creation of the kazalfamilystory.com Website in 2013. He's not a technical person. Beyond operating his cell phone, he doesn't really have any technical skills whatsoever. He wouldn't know how to create a Website if you paid him to do it.

Now, in conversation with a friend of his named

Joe -- who the plaintiffs would very much like you to

believe does not exist -- in conversation with a friend his

named Joe Dabab in 2013, it was agreed that Mr. Dabab would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. David was a star witness.

create the actual Website giving Mr. Kazal a platform to clear his name, to get his side of the story out there in the public so that at least he would feel he had an opportunity to set the record straight. Now, as he testified, what was important to Mr. Kazal was the words on the page, getting his story out He had no input in, nor he did care for, the technical aspects of the site, the esthetics of the site. The content was what was his focus -- the layout, the pictures, the tabs, the meta-data, whatever -- the meta-tags Mr. Kolesa testified to -- none of that mattered to him at all. What was important was that someone could go to the Website and see the words on the page, and that's what Mr. Dabab did for him. Now, Mr. Kazal wanted to do so to get his words out there, to get his side of the story in the public domain because of the series of malicious and untrue articles that appeared about him in the Sydney Morning Herald and in the Sydney Morning Herald only, written by a journalist, who Mr. David acknowledges he was in direct contact with, text messaging and e-mailing with him well into 2013 and, of course, after the findings of the ICAC inquiry, which Mr. Kazal only learned about from Mr. David's lawyer nearly a full year before it became public and, of course,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Kazal was never criminally charged because there was no evidence to support a prosecution. And so with the public report out there and all these news articles on the Web, he had no way to clear his name other than to create a Website where he could put his side of the story out there for the public. So, again, to be clear, Mr. Dabab created the site, registered it, renewed the domain, designed the Website. We saw the registration information from the Web hosting company which listed Jean Ghalo. We don't know who that is. Could be an assistant of Mr. Dabab. As Mr. Kolesa testified, he helped Mr. Price with all of his Websites. It's very common that the person who registers a Website may list other individuals as a technical contact or as a point of contact on the actual registration. But, again, the key point is that Mr. Dabab was the one who created the site, and he made all the decisions about how it would look and what photographs would appear on it. Now, Mr. Kazal acknowledges that he did send links to photos that he found on his Google search, photos of Mr. David back in 2013 when the Website was created. It's been five years now. So you can't say with any certainty what Website he found them on. But one thing

he does know -- he never posted them on the Website nor did the photographs that he found bear any indication that they were copyrighted whatsoever.

They were not watermarks. They did not bear a C symbol, the copyright symbol that many of us are familiar with, and being a foreign citizen, he was certainly not at all familiar with American copyright law.

I think it's fair to say most Americans aren't familiar with American copyright law. He legitimately assumed, as many reasonable people do, that photographs that you find in a Google search online in the public domain are available for use in the public domain.

But, again, all he did was send a few links to Mr. Dabab back in 2013. Mr. Kazal never deliberately copied any photos or put them on the Website himself -- never, nor did he direct Mr. Dabab to do so. Whatever he did with the Website, Mr. Dabab, in terms of the photographs and the look of the site, he did on his own.

And, indeed, when he received the DMCA takedown notice forwarded to him from Mr. Dabab, Mr. Kazal immediately, immediately, forwarded it to his attorney; and when his attorney advised him that Thunder Studios had registered the copyright, he directed Mr. Dabab to take down the photos. And that was done in 2017. And indeed by June of 2017, as you heard from Mr. Kazal, he disabled the entire

Website altogether.

Now, even if there were any evidence of direct infringement here, we believe the claim for copyright infringement is time barred and should be precluded by the statute of limitations. And you have an instruction, Instruction Number 36 in your packet, on the statute of limitations. And I will ask you to read that closely when the time comes.

But for now let me point out that, as Mr. David acknowledged under oath, he alleges in his Amended Complaint, Second Amended Complaint, in this lawsuit that the infringing activity -- alleged infringing activity on the part of the defendants in this case began when the Website was created in 2013.

He testified on this stand under oath that that's a true allegation, that he went to the Website himself in 2013 and saw Thunder Studios copyrighted photographs on that Website and yet didn't file this lawsuit until February of 2017, four years later.

Now, being generous, based on his own testimony, this lawsuit had to have been filed by December of 2016, at the very latest. The lawsuit was not filed, again, until February of 2017.

So you must find -- we believe you must find for the defendants for that reason alone. The statute of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

limitations bars the copyright infringement claim. You can't be aware of a wrong done to you. You can't perceive that you've been aggrieved or harmed and then sit on your rights for years and file a lawsuit after the statute of limitations expires. Now, remember, even if the claim were not time barred, which we believe it is, the verdict should be in favor of the defendants for other reasons too. The claim for copyright infringement was asserted, as both claims in this case are, against all three defendants in this case, not only against Charif, but against Tony and Adam Kazal as well. But during the three days of testimony in this case, there was no evidence presented whatsoever that could possibly support any claim for copyright infringement against either Tony or Adam. The plaintiffs very eagerly want to blame Tony and Adam for whatever was on the Website, but they had nothing to do with the Website whatsoever. They had nothing to do with its creation, nothing to do with its development, nothing to do with the selection of photos on there. There was no evidence that they were in direct contact with Mr. Dabab. The testimony from all three defendants that you

heard from Mr. Kazal and the two deposition transcripts that

were read into the record is that Charif was the only one involved in the Website. Adam and Tony had no involvement, no control. There was no evidence presented at all to suggest that Tony or Adam knew anything about any copyright infringement activity or that they even knew about the photographs at all.

Now, it's true that Adam referenced the

Now, it's true that Adam referenced the kazalfamilystory.com Website on the banners that he created on the wraps that appeared on the van on the flyers that he had circulated.

That doesn't mean, though, that he had anything to do with the creation of that Website. That doesn't mean that he takes full responsibility for everything that anyone does with respect to any content on the site.

It means that he knows about the site, and he wants people to go take a look at it. That doesn't make him liable as either a direct infringer or secondary infringer or contributory infringer. He's not liable for any alleged copyright infringement in any way, shape, or form.

Tony even less so. He had nothing to do with the Website. Now, Tony's e-mails do appear -- some of his e-mails anyway -- on the Website, and you've seen some of those, you have some of those in the exhibits that we'll take a look at, but that's because Mr. Kazal copied his brother Charif on those e-mails. Charif was the one who

forwarded them to Mr. Dabab, and Mr. Dabab went ahead and posted them on the Website.

Again, that doesn't mean that Tony had anything to do with the photographs or with the other aspects of the site. Charif was the one directing all of the content.

Let's take a look for a quick moment, if you would, in the jury instructions that you have in front of you at Instruction Number 28. That should be at page 35 of the packet, and that's the instruction on what's called vicarious infringement where someone has not directly infringed the copyright but could be liable for infringement in a secondary way.

If there is infringement, the instruction says, then you have to determine whether the other defendants vicariously infringed the copyright. So the plaintiff has the burden of proving each of the following elements -- I'm on line six now -- by a preponderance of the evidence:

1. That the other defendants directly benefited financially from the infringing activity.

Again, no evidence presented whatsoever of any financial benefit to anyone in connection with the Website.

2. The other defendants had the right and ability to supervise or control the infringing activity.

Again, zero evidence presented on that element whatsoever, and the third element is irrelevant because the

1 second element does not apply here. 2 So no liability to Tony and Adam with respect to 3 vicarious infringement. Now, let's turn to page 36, the next page, for 4 Instruction Number 29, contributory infringement. 5 6 Reading on line 4, the instruction says --7 (Reading:) A defendant may be liable for copyright infringement engaged in 8 by another if he knew, or had reasons to know, 9 10 of the infringing activity and intentionally 11 induced or materially contributed to that 12 infringing activity. 13 If you find that any of the defendants infringed the plaintiffs' 14 15 copyrighted photographs, you must determine 16 whether the other defendants contributorily 17 infringed that copyright. The plaintiff has the burden of proving each of the following 18 elements by a preponderance of the evidence: 19 20 The defendant knew or had reason 21 to know of the infringing activity. 22 No evidence presented during this trial whatsoever 23 that either Tony or Adam Kazal had any knowledge of any 24 infringing activity. 25 (Reading:) 2. Defendant

1 intentionally induced or materially 2 contributed to direct infringer's infringing 3 activity. Again, no evidence on that element either. 4 5 So it's pretty clear to us that -- we believe, 6 ladies and gentlemen, that under either -- under any theory 7 for copyright infringement -- direct, vicarious, or 8 contributory -- there can be no liability at all to -- on the part of Adam and Tony. 9 10 With respect to the element of damages, again, we 11 believe the verdict should be entirely in favor of the 12 defendants for the reasons I've said. But even if you find 13 that there was any infringement here at all, it's pretty clear that it was not willful, it was innocent, for the 14 following reasons: First of all, as I said, Mr. Kazal is 15 16 not a technical person. He is not tech savvy. He doesn't 17 know anything about copyrights. He's is not an American 18 citizen. 19 And as he testified, he assumed that images you 20 find online are available for use. He didn't actually use 21 them. He forwarded them to Mr. Dabab. And when he got the 22 DMCA takedown notice in late 2016, he immediately forwarded it to his lawyer for handling, and, when he found out that 23 24 there could be a potential problem, at least, after the 25 registration of the copyrights, he ordered Joe to take down

the photos.

So for that reason, at most, there should be a minor award of damages here under the statutory framework, and it could be as little, as you see in the instruction before you, as \$200.

But, again, I believe you don't need to even get there because I think the claim for copyright infringement should be in favor of the defendants because of the statute of limitations and the other reasons I've already explained.

Now, separate and apart from the copyright infringement claim, there is a claim by Mr. David only for stalking, and we've heard a lot about that during closing and during the testimony in this case.

Now, the word "stalking" is a scary word. It's very serious, but it's important that we not be moved by the term "stalking." It's important that we take a look at the law and consider the facts that we saw during this trial and then figure out whether plaintiffs have met their burden by a preponderance of the evidence of proving stalking -- actionable unlawful stalking, under the statute against all three of the defendants in this case.

We've seen that the stalking claim asserted by plaintiff, Mr. David, is basically based on the following conduct -- tweets and e-mails by defendant Adam Kazal, a series of e-mails over the course of a year or so by

1 defendants Charif Kazal and Tony Kazal, and, of course, the 2 appearance of the protestors in the neighborhood of 3 Mr. David's home and outside Thunder Studios. Now, let's breakdown the stalking claim and 4 5 consider it against each of the defendants. The evidence was that in late October 2016, we 6 7 have the appearance of some protestors in the neighborhood 8 of Mr. David's home in Los Angeles as well as outside the gates of Thunder Studios in Long Beach. 9 10 Now, plaintiffs have attempted to suggest that we 11 are blaming Adam for what happened, but Adam takes full 12 responsibility for the appearance of those protestors. 13 There was no evidence presented to the contrary that anyone other than Adam Kazal arranged for the 14 15 protestors through a company called Crowds on Demand. 16 Separately, Mr. Kazal arranged for the van with 17 the video camera, as you heard from Mr. Woodward, through 18 Mr. Woodward's company, ICS. Again, the plaintiffs want to blame all of the 19 20 defendants for that, but there was no evidence to suggest 21 that anyone other than Adam Kazal was responsible. 22 Now, there is that e-mail that says, "Point of 23 contact, Jamie and Tony Kazal." But as Mr. Woodward 24 explained, that was a mistake. The agreement between ICS

and Mr. Kazal was with Jamie and Adam Kazal.

25

1 Adam Kazal was the one who was billed, Adam Kazal 2 was the one who we saw paid the invoice, and Adam Kazal was 3 the point of contact through Jamie. Now, as you heard from plaintiffs' counsel, 4 5 Mr. Brown knows the Kazal family. He knows Charif, he knows Tony, he knows Adam. That doesn't mean that everything he 6 7 does is on behalf of all of them. He was acting on behalf 8 of Adam Kazal, and I think that's pretty clear. As we also heard from the plaintiff himself and 9 10 from his wife the protestors who appeared in their 11 neighborhood were peaceful protestors. They made no 12 They didn't attack anyone. They didn't try to threats. 13 come near their house. They certainly didn't try to break into their house, and we saw the video. 14 15 They stood in the street marching, holding signs and chanting. You see the interactions with passersby. 16 17 Mr. Woodward testified -- affable, calm, pleasant 18 interactions. And as you heard from Mr. David, his wife summoned 19 20 the police more than once, and the police told them the same 21 thing each time. The police told them that the protests 22 were lawful, there's a right to peaceful protest. And, 23 indeed, the police made no arrests, they didn't disperse the 24 protestors, they didn't confiscate their signs or banners. 25 In short, the police allowed the protest to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue. As Mrs. David testified herself, the police weren't going to do much. And, importantly, both of the Davids acknowledged that they had no fear for their safety from those protestors -- neither from the Hispanic laborers, who appeared on the first day, who Mr. David said didn't even appear to speak English or know what they were doing, nor from the more thuggish protesters, to use Mr. David's term and counsel's term, who we saw in the video who appeared in the second day. They just paced back and forth in the street holding their signs, chanting and holding their signs, and that's it. We saw the video, and we heard the testimony. And you have seen Mr. David's reaction. Near the house he approached the protestors, and he spoke with them. He filmed them from a very close distance. He stood right among them. Same thing at the studio. He got out of the car, he approached the protestors, arms in the air, thumbs up, applauding. He wants you to believe that that was a gesture to his security guard, James, but, frankly, I don't think that's very credible. He sent his employee out, Mr. Parlato, who we heard from who we saw in the video to take pictures, to take video right among the protestors, standing inches from them with no fear for his safety,

certainly with no fear for his employees' safety.

And, indeed, Mr. Parlato was in the street, I think he said, for 15 or 20 minutes standing right among the protestors who barely said a word to him. They just came right up, and he took his pictures as we saw in the video, as we heard in his testimony.

Now, Adam arranged for these protests after
Websites appeared in early 2016, mid-2016 called, among
other things, kazalfamilytruth.com and adamkazal.com, which
the Kazals later learned that year were created by Thunder
Studios employees, including CTO Matthew Price, assisted by
Mr. Kolesa, as you heard from Mr. Kolesa when he was on the
stand under oath.

Adam's kids are asking him in Australia, "Dad, why are there Websites about you? Why are the kids saying you're a terrorist?" They're are hearing about it in school. Adam just wanted those Websites to come down.

Adam found himself brought into this dispute by those Websites. Imagine a Thunder Studios employee creates Websites in the name of four of the eight Kazal brothers -- three of whom just happen to be brothers with whom Mr. David has had business disputes in the past and ongoing -- Websites without their permission, without their knowledge, in their names -- adamkazal.com -- Mr. Price creates a Website, and on that Website he associates Mr. Kazal -- we

1 have the screenshots -- with terrorism, with crime, with 2 perjury, with bribery -- terrible things on the Website. 3 As we heard from Mr. Kolesa -- who was responsible for security and maintenance he said that on every single 4 5 Website that Mr. Price was working on during that time frame -- many, many Websites -- those were the only ones 6 7 that he created in the name of an individual or a family --8 the only ones. 9 Everyone else, he says, was in the name of a 10 company, a business. Of all the human beings on planet earth only the Kazals. Now, Mr. David claims, "I didn't 11 know anything about it. I didn't direct it." I guess it's 12 13 just a coincidence, ladies and gentlemen. I find that, frankly, totally unbelievable. 14 15 Thunder Studios employees are creating these Websites, and 16 Mr. Kazal says, "I need to do something about it. I'm 17 linked to terrorism globally for anyone to see on the 18 Internet. What am I supposed to do?" And he arranges a 19 protest. 20 Mr. David asks rhetorically on the witness stand 21 about the protest. "Who does that"? "Who does that?" he 22 says. Well, ask you, ladies and gentlemen, about these 23 Websites. Who does that? Who creates a Website in someone 24 else's name without their consent and without their 25 knowledge and calls them a terrorist supporter on that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Website? Calls them a criminal, a perjurer, a briber. does that? It was only Adam who arranged for those protests. There is no evidence presented to the contrary. Now, separate and apart from the protests, we heard from Mr. David that he received Twitter notifications about tweets by Adam Kazal. He could have blocked those tweets, those notifications, but he chose not to. He didn't have to read the tweets, and he testified that he didn't always do so. Same thing with the e-mails from Charif and Tony. We saw some of those e-mails. You are going to have those e-mails in the exhibit binder. And the e-mails repeat a common theme -- Mr. David did us wrong, and we need him to right that wrong. In response to those e-mails, Mr. David never sends a reply saying stop it, never sends a cease and desist letter. We didn't see it in evidence because it doesn't exist. Never sent a demand that the e-mails stop. He just went about his business. He wasn't in fear for his safety. He testified he didn't even read most of the e-mails. In fact, the e-mails which were cc'd to Thunder Studios employees, he had his IT department block those e-mails from his employees while allowing himself to continue receiving them. But, again, he acknowledged he didn't even read most of them in any case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We heard from him that, until the protestors appeared, he never asserted any claim or complaint for stalking based on those e-mails. And that's because he wasn't concerned about his safety from the e-mails at all. He made a report to the FBI, he testified, which apparently took no action whatsoever. Now, as we've heard, the Kazal brothers were born in the Middle East and came to Australia at a young age. They've been fully integrated into society for decades now. Charif Kazal, who has been here from Australia in court with us for this entire trial has worked hard and made something of himself in business. And you heard from Mr. David on the witness stand that he considered Charif and Tony sending these e-mails, Adam's tweets, and the protests that we saw on the videos to be terrorist tactics, terrorist tactics, ladies and gentlemen. I think it's really remarkable that he used that term. And his counsel echoed the same term -- "terrorism." I think we all know, ladies and gentlemen, what terrorism is, and that's not terrorism. He didn't like it, to be sure, but it's not terrorism. And, frankly, ladies and gentlemen, it's not stalking. It's not unlawful at all. We heard from Mr. David that you can't take the

law into your own hands. And that's true. You can't. 1 But, 2 ladies and gentlemen, that's not what happened here. 3 Taking the law into your own hands is where someone loans someone else a thousand dollars, for example, 4 5 and, when the guy doesn't repay the loan, the lender goes into the guy's house, breaks in, steals some cash and 6 7 That's taking the law into your own hands. jewelry. 8 If someone kills someone else and the family of the victim hunts down the killer and beats them to death, 9 10 that's taking law into your own hands. Peaceful protest is 11 not taking the law into your own hands. There is a right to 12 peaceful protest. 13 They want to say that it doesn't apply to the defendants in this case, but the activity itself, the 14 15 protesting in the street, that's protected First Amendment 16 activity. 17 Now, I want to direct your attention very briefly to Instruction Number 14, which is on page 17 of your 18 I'm going to highlight a couple areas very quickly 19 packet. 20 where I believe plaintiffs haven't met their burden. 21 They have to prove with respect to each of the 22 three defendants separately that the defendant engaged in a 23 pattern of conduct, the intent of which was to follow, 24 alarm, place under surveillance, or harass the plaintiff. 25 They haven't done so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if you look at the next page, the definition of harass includes various conduct which serves no legitimate purpose. There was abundant evidence of the legitimate purpose of the alleged activity undertaken by the defendants here. So because it was for a legitimate purpose, namely, to get Mr. David to acknowledge his wrongdoing, to make it right financially and to then later to take down the kazalfamilytruth-related Websites, there's a legitimate purpose, and there's no pattern. Number 2, either (A) or (B). Fear for safety, I think we saw very clearly that the Davids had no fear for their safety. Plaintiff suffered substantial emotional distress. Yes, we heard about the effect of the protests and the e-mails on the David family. Was it substantial emotional distress? I don't think so. And Number 3, one of the following: Credible threat -- I am just skipping ahead now because we're short on time -- credible threat with intent to place plaintiff in fear of safety, I don't see any credible threat or threat to safety in any of the communications, certainly not in the protests that we've seen in this case or reckless disregard for safety -- same thing. And Number 2, in addition, the plaintiff must have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clearly and definitively demanded the defendant cease and abate his pattern of conduct. Again, no clear demands that any defendant stop any of the conduct that's alleged here. Or (B), the defendant violated restraining order. The restraining order as to Charif was not about Rodric David. There was no restraining order related to Tony. And as for Adam, it was a consent order -- you'll see it yourself -- and it's not the type of restraining order that's referenced here by the statute. Ladies and gentlemen, the Kazals have been the victims here and have been since day one. They've been trying to clear their names since 2010. They've had no hesitation about pursuing their rights in the courts, but they also pursued the right to protest, the right to speak and the right to set the record straight, to the voice their grievances; and that's exactly what they've done. This lawsuit is Mr. David's effort to punish the Kazals for pushing back against the harm that he's caused He didn't like the e-mails, he didn't like the Website, he didn't like the tweets, and he definitely didn't like the protestors, but that does not give him a legal claim. He has to prove the elements of the claims, ladies and gentlemen, and I believe, if you take a look at the

facts and you take a look at the law, you will agree with me 1 2 that the plaintiffs here have not done so. 3 That's why we're going to ask you to do the right thing, ladies and gentlemen, and return a verdict in favor 4 5 of the defendants on both claims. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for your time. 6 7 Thank you for your attention. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Mr. Wiener. 9 10 MR. WIENER: Yes. 11 Here is a very telling admission in Mr. Taylor's 12 closing argument. Perhaps it was accidental, but he 13 admitted that, quote, Charif was responsible for all content on that Website. 14 15 That includes the photographs. The attempts to 16 claim that Joe Dabab -- someone named Joe Dabab created the 17 Website, was responsible for the photo selection, there's 18 absolutely no evidence of it. Mr. Dabad's not here. 19 The GoDaddy records, which are reliable, unlike 20 the defendants, establish that there is a person named 21 Jean Ghalo who's listed as the registrar. That person's not 22 here either. 23 What is clear is this was a Website that all the 24 brothers were using to promote their interest. Charif 25 claims that he was responsible for the selection of photos

1 that were uploaded to the site in 2013, 2014. 2 So he clearly had knowledge of how to upload 3 photographs. Those aren't the photos that are at issue here. The photos that are at issue here were taken by 4 5 Anthony Parlato who was only employed by Thunder Studios 6 in 2015. 7 The photos couldn't have been taken earlier than 8 that, and it's those photos there, the ones that were 9 infringed. It also wasn't innocent infringement. 10 The defendants' counsel, the counsel for all three 11 of the defendants, received a notice to take down the 12 infringed photos. 13 Again, they didn't. They transferred the Website to Iceland to avoid complying with the law. There's 14 15 intentional infringement of copyrighted photographs here, 16 and the jury should find so accordingly. 17 With regard to the stalking campaign, the Kazals admit that they could have pursued legal means to try 18 19 clearing their supposed good names. 20 They may have done that though they certainly have 21 had no success in clearing their good names. The ICAC has 22 at all times maintained its findings that Charif Kazal is 23 corrupt. 24 What they did instead was to pursue a harassment 25 campaign against Mr. David. Mr. David is not even the

1 person who is responsible for the Kazal Family Truth 2 Website. Moreover, the articles on Kazal Family Truth 3 Website are republished from the Sydney Morning Herald. There is nothing illegal about republishing articles. 4 5 Notably, the defendants haven't put in any 6 evidence -- or -- that any of the articles were, in fact, 7 When shown one of the articles about Tony Kazal's 8 links to Hezbollah, Charif was unable to explain what about 9 the article he believed was false. 10 Defendants would also have you isolate their 11 conduct and try and minimize it by saying, well, I did this 12 and Tony did that and Adam did that. 13 That's not what the juries here do. The jury has to consider their entire pattern of conduct and the entire 14 15 campaign, which was clearly coordinated between the 16 brothers. 17 Adam Kazal was bankrupt when this was occurring, 18 couldn't provide any testimony at his deposition about how 19 he financed it. 20 The financing came from somewhere. We know the 21 bills were paid by Jamie Brown and that he was a close friend of Charif and Tony. The jury can certainly make a 22 23 logical inference that somebody paid for it, and it was Charif and Tony who did so. 24 25 It also wasn't protected for speech. The

```
1
     First Amendment doesn't protect extortionate demands for
 2
     $666,666.66 to make the harassment stop. That's not
 3
    protected speech.
               I wouldn't want to live in a society that
 4
 5
     tolerates extortionate threats under -- as free speech.
 6
     It's simply not free speech. It's criminal, and it's
 7
     already being found criminal by the federal court of
 8
     Australia.
               The federal court of Australia also found that
 9
10
     Adam did have control over the Website and was in contempt
11
     by continuing to make defamatory statements about Mr. David
12
     being a criminal.
13
               Again, the jury can follow the federal court of
     Australia's lead on that issue and should find that the
14
15
     defendants have infringed copyrights and conducted a
16
     malicious and oppressive stalking campaign against Mr. David
17
     and his family. Thank you.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel.
18
               Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we have a
19
20
     bailiff here that is going to be sworn in, and he will
21
     preside over you all during your deliberations.
22
               So will you please step forward please, sir.
23
               THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record.
24
               THE BAILIFF: David Williams.
25
               THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear to keep this
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
jury together in some private and convenient place, that you
will not permit anyone to communicate with them nor do so
yourself unless by order of the Court or to ask them whether
they have agreed upon a verdict and return them to court
when they have so agreed and ordered by the Court so hope
you God?
          THE BAILIFF:
                        I do.
          THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
     (The following was heard in open court outside the
     presence of the jury:)
     (Jury out at 10:27 AM)
          THE CLERK: Please be seated.
          THE COURT: All right. A couple housekeeping
matters with respect to the jury's deliberation.
          I expect the parties to be within a five-minute
return from a phone call. So unless your offices are
downtown, I am expecting you all to remain in the building.
Make sure my courtroom deputy has the telephone number,
contact information, for you all.
          Secondly, yesterday as we were going through the
jury instructions, I noticed some typos that I have
corrected in the jury instructions. If you have a copy of
the jury instructions, I want to go over them with you.
          My intention is to print out one final copy that
cleans up the typos because I cleaned them up as I was
```

```
1
     reading them, but I will go over them with you to make sure
     there is no confusion.
 2
 3
               If you look starting -- I'm just going to go page
     numbers instead of instruction number. Starting on page 11,
 4
 5
     line 16, it says "Sometimes a witness may have said."
 6
     original version said, "Sometimes a witness may say
 7
     something," in the present as opposed to the past tense.
 8
               Next page is 15 --
 9
               MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor?
10
               THE COURT: Yes.
11
               MR. TAYLOR: Mine actually says "May say
12
     something." So I'm worried I'm looking at the wrong thing
13
     now.
               THE COURT: No, no. I said, "May have said." I
14
15
     said it in the past tense. What my proposal is what I
16
     actually said to the jury because, when I was reading this
17
     yesterday, I realized it's not "may say" because that
18
     suggests a witness is going to testify in the future.
19
               MR. TAYLOR: Okay. So it should be "May have
20
     said."
21
               THE COURT:
                           Correct.
22
               MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.
23
               THE COURT: Page 15 is the next page that I
24
     noticed there was something that I changed during
25
     the -- that I believe I changed, line 17, "A Court
```

```
1
     technician may enter the jury room with the bailiff." I am
 2
     just deleting "the clerk" because I didn't say "the clerk."
 3
               Page 21, line 5, it says, "The purposes of
     punitive damage, " and it should be, "The purpose of punitive
 4
 5
     damage is" -- "The purpose of punitive damage is to punish a
 6
     defendant."
 7
               So there's the change there.
 8
               Page 22, line 6, again, in the version that was
     submitted to the jurors, "that you will hear," it should
 9
10
     have been, "you heard during the trial."
11
               Page 23, line 3, "infringed the plaintiffs'." It
12
     should be "'s" as opposed to "s'" because there's only one
13
     plaintiff as it relates to the copyright claim and reading
     along, "the plaintiff has the burden," as opposed to "have
14
15
     the burden."
16
               Then we jump to page 36.
17
               MR. WIENER: I'm --
18
               THE COURT: I'm sorry.
19
               MR. WIENER: -- sorry, Your Honor. Line 4, it
20
     should be "plaintiff is the owner" as well, just to get the
21
     grammar.
22
               THE COURT: You are correct.
23
               MR. WIENER: That's my error originally. I
24
     apologize.
25
               THE COURT:
                           All right. Then we jump to page 36 on
```

```
1
     line 16, "that the plaintiff has," there is a misspelling
 2
     there.
 3
               Then 43, line 3, "Defendants assert as a defense
     that the statute of limitations prohibits" as opposed to
 4
     statutes" -- in the plural -- "prohibit."
 5
               And then "Thunder Studios'," it should be "s'" as
 6
 7
     opposed to "'s."
 8
               And, similarly, on line 10, "the applicable
     statute" -- singular -- "of limitations," as opposed to
 9
10
     plural, as what was typed in the instructions.
11
               And then, lastly, page 44, line 15, "Do not be
12
     unwilling to change your opinion if the evidence persuades
13
     you." It's a decision.
               So those are my proposed ones. I just want to go
14
15
     over -- Mr. Wiener, direct me back to the page -- if you can
16
     recall -- where we missed -- where there was a typo that you
17
     picked up. I think it was on page 36.
18
               MR. WIENER: Was page 23, Your Honor, line 4.
               THE COURT: Page 23. All right.
19
20
               Okay. Great. All right. So I want to make --
21
     well, I have made those changes with the exception of
22
     page 23, line 4, which I am doing -- we're having done right
23
     now. I am going to have just one copy submitted back to the
     jury which says "Closing Jury Instructions," the cover page,
24
25
     and it will say "Final" so that we know that that's the
```

```
final version that was submitted. That was my intention.
 1
 2
               Any objection on behalf of the plaintiff,
 3
     Mr. Wiener?
               MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Mr. Taylor?
               MR. TAYLOR: No objection.
 6
 7
                           All right. So, then, I will do that.
               THE COURT:
 8
     Once it's done, I will give it to my courtroom deputy, and
 9
     then she will bring it back to the jury.
10
               So at this point, we'll just wait -- right. So
11
     we'll just wait until we hear anything from the jurors, and
12
     we'll proceed from there. All right.
13
               Any other issues that either side wishes to raise
     at this time?
14
15
               Mr. Wiener?
16
               MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Mr. Taylor?
18
               MR. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
                           All rise. This Court is in recess.
20
               THE CLERK:
          (Recess taken 10:36 AM to 11:40 AM)
21
22
               THE COURT: All right. We have received a note
23
     from the jurors at 11:30 which reads as follows: "What is
24
     the min/max for damages in regards to the tort of stalking?"
25
               That is the question. It seems to me that that
```

```
1
     is a -- that's really a determination that the jurors make.
     There is no maximum or minimum, but I throw this out to both
 2
 3
     sides to see if they have a proposal as to how to respond to
     this question.
 4
 5
               Mr. Wiener?
               MR. WIENER: Your Honor, I believe we would just
 6
 7
     refer them back to the jury instruction regarding proof of
 8
     damages.
 9
               THE COURT: What number is that or what page?
10
               MR. WIENER: I apologize. I'm just trying to find
     it in the updated instructions. It was 24. It may have
11
12
     become 25.
13
               THE COURT: I don't think -- the instruction
14
     shouldn't change.
15
               MR. WIENER: Mr. Taylor, do you have that?
16
               MR. TAYLOR: Is that 15?
17
               THE COURT:
                           Are you talking about
18
     Instruction Number 15 or page 15?
19
               MR. TAYLOR: I think counsel is talking about the
20
     Instruction 15.
21
               MR. WIENER: And that's damages proof --
22
               MR. TAYLOR: I think so.
23
               Is that what you mean?
24
               MR. WIENER: Yeah, it begins, "It's the duty of
25
     the Court to instruct you about the measure of damages"?
```

```
1
               MR. TAYLOR:
                            Right.
 2
               I have a thought, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           All right, Mr. Taylor.
               MR. TAYLOR: Well, since the stalking claim is not
 4
     asserted in isolation but we've, obviously, talked about
 5
 6
     copyright, I'm thinking that maybe there's a little
 7
     confusion because the statutory framework regarding
 8
     copyright provides for statutory damages, which we talked
     about, and this question may be coming from a place of,
 9
10
     well, is there a similar --
11
               THE COURT: Right.
12
               MR. TAYLOR: -- framework, and I would say to
     alleviate that confusion, maybe we can explain that the
13
     minimum is technically zero. They don't have to award any
14
15
     damages, but there's no maximum either.
16
               THE COURT: Right, but -- okay. How is that
17
     different than that's a question that they have to decide or
18
     referring them back to the instruction?
19
               MR. TAYLOR: Because -- well, it's a little bit
20
     different simply because the question implies that they
21
     think that -- it may imply that the jury thinks that they
22
     have to award some measure of damages, and that's not true.
23
     They have to assess if there's damages to be awarded, and
24
     they determine that amount is, if any.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                  I guess I'm trying to
```

```
1
     understand. So what would you -- how would you respond to
 2
     this note, then?
 3
                            I mean, I would respond, "The minimum
               MR. TAYLOR:
     is zero; the maximum is not set by law."
 4
               THE COURT: "The minimum is zero." Okay.
 5
               MR. TAYLOR: That's a true statement, I think,
 6
 7
     Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: All right.
               Mr. Wiener, what are your thoughts with respect to
 9
10
     that?
11
               MR. WIENER: Your Honor, I agree that there is no
12
               I'm not sure if the minimum is zero or $1 and --
     maximum.
13
               THE COURT: Well, no -- I mean, factually, the
     answer is they can award nothing or -- that's why it's
14
15
     really up to them. I mean, I do take to heart what
16
     Mr. Taylor has said that -- I'm sure they have read the
17
     copyright instructions where they suggest what the -- a
18
     minimum is, and they're thinking is there a parallel for
19
     stalking. The answer is no, but we have to answer what
20
     their question is.
21
               MR. WIENER: Yeah.
                                   And my inclination would be
22
     refer them back to Joint Proposed Instruction Number 24,
23
     just the -- sorry -- Instruction Number 15, that there is no
24
     minimum or maximum and the factors they can consider in
25
     determining an award of damages.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Well, it is interesting -- I mean, if
 2
     you look at Number 15 -- I'm sorry -- Closing Jury
 3
     Instruction Number 15, it does state at line 16 "It is for
     you to determine what damages, if any, have been proved.
 4
 5
     Your award must be based upon evidence and not speculation,
     guesswork, or conjecture."
 6
 7
               One might argue that that answers the question
     because it says, "what damages, if any, have been proved"
 8
     and leave it at that.
 9
10
               So maybe the best response is to please refer back
11
     to jury instruction -- Closing Jury Instruction Number 15
12
     and leave it at that without providing any additional
13
     information that we right now are somewhat speculating as to
     what the question -- what's behind the question.
14
15
               I think we all -- I think we're in agreement that
16
     we think it stems from the copyright instruction, but I
     think the cleanest answer would be to say, "Please refer to
17
18
     Closing Jury Instruction Number 15."
19
                            I'd like to also -- just so the jury
               MR. WIENER:
20
     doesn't feel that we're ducking their question -- just tell
21
     them there is no minimum or maximum amount of damages, which
22
     I believe is correct.
23
               MR. TAYLOR: I think that's true, Your Honor. I
24
     think the reason I think it's not fully adequate to just
25
     simply refer them back to the instruction is because all
```

```
1
     eight of them have seen the instruction and have heard the
 2
     instruction presumably and, obviously, it's still not clear.
 3
     So we could do that, but I would agree with counsel that
     maybe we add that single caveat if we could.
 4
 5
               THE COURT:
                           So the proposal would be, then, to say
 6
     there "Please see Jury Instruction Number 15. There is
 7
     no" -- and put in the same phraseology, verbiage, if you
 8
     will, that they did -- "min/max for damages in regards to
     the tort of stalking."
 9
10
               But I worry that -- when you say there is no
11
     min/max, that suggests -- could one interpret or
12
     misinterpret that to suggest to mean they should not award
13
     damages? I don't think so, but I'm just throwing it out
14
     there.
15
               I'm very hesitant to answer beyond -- you know,
     this is what the Ninth Circuit looks at. You know, what are
16
17
     you telling the jurors? Here are the instructions.
     instruction says, "It is for you to determine what damages,
18
     if any." That suggests to me that's the answer.
19
20
               You know, they can determine zero or whatever they
21
     determine. When we start saying there is no minimum or
22
     maximum for damages, it makes me a little concerned, but I
23
     am open to be convinced otherwise.
24
               MR. WIENER: Could we add the word "amount" after
25
     "min/max"?
```

```
1
               THE COURT: "There is no min/max amount for
 2
     damages in regard to the tort of stalking"?
 3
               Mr. Taylor?
               MR. TAYLOR: Proposal is to add the word "amount"?
 4
                                  So it would read as follows:
 5
               THE COURT:
                          Right.
 6
     "Please see Jury Instruction Number 15. There is no min/max
 7
     amount for damages in regard to the tort of stalking."
               MR. TAYLOR: I mean, I think that's right. I
 8
 9
     think it answers the question. The instruction does say,
10
     "if any."
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Wiener, do you have any objection
12
     to that proposed response as phrased?
13
               MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. So I will prepare the
14
15
     response. I will have you both take a look at it. If there
16
     is no disagreement, my courtroom deputy will bring the note
17
     back to the jurors. So we'll be in recess while we get that
18
     response ready. All right. Thank you.
19
               THE CLERK:
                          All rise. This Court is recess.
20
          (Recess taken 11:51 AM to 12:04 PM)
21
               THE COURT:
                          All right. I understand we have an
22
     issue, Mr. Taylor.
23
              MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, the Court will recall
24
     when the trial was initially set to go to Tuesday through
25
     Thursday or Friday morning of last week, Mr. Kazal had made
```

```
plans to return on Saturday morning. Then when the national
 1
 2
     holiday came up, he changed his flight. He changed his
 3
     flight again because we went into this morning, and he's got
     personal and business commitments, and he's got to catch a
 4
     flight this afternoon. And, frankly, technically, Your
 5
     Honor, he didn't have to be here for this trial at all and
 6
 7
     now to have to change his flight again and reschedule all
     his commitments, I don't think --
 8
               THE COURT: Do you want to tell the jury for the
 9
10
     punitive damages phrase that they need to come back at a
11
     time when Mr. Kazal can be here?
12
               MR. TAYLOR: I don't know that he needs to be
13
     here, if we even get to that point.
               THE COURT: Have you subpoenaed him? Why do you
14
15
     need him here as a witness?
               MR. WIENER: Your Honor, we'd like to present
16
17
     evidence of his net worth, which is -- if he --
18
               THE COURT: Do you have your own evidence of net
     worth or -- what do you need him for to establish net worth?
19
20
               MR. WIENER: To testify about the assets that he
21
     holds personally, his income --
22
               THE COURT: You don't have any independent
23
     evidence of that?
24
               MR. WIENER: Very little. We have statements by
25
     the federal court of Australia that Mr. Kazal appears to be
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
a man of means and -- but his testimony is largely being
     that his holdings are through a family trust, and he's not
     divulged what his specific interest is in those trusts, what
     specific properties are held in those trusts, and we have
     not obtained any discovery about it.
               As the evidence is -- as the assets are largely in
     Australia or in the Middle East, there is no practical means
     of --
               THE COURT: Well, look. It's your decision.
                                                             Ιf
     you want to go, you should go. The jury will draw whatever
     inference -- if we even get to that phase. You don't have
     him under subpoena, he didn't have to be here for the trial,
13
     so he can leave.
               But you run the risk. I mean, as long as both
     sides, sort of, know the risk of what will happen if he's
     not here if there is that phase. But for your -- for his
     sake, you know, I am sure he wishes that that doesn't
     happen.
             So --
               MR. TAYLOR: Of course, Your Honor, and that's
            There is no subpoena, and he's already been here
     three, four days longer than he intended.
               THE COURT: All right.
              MR. TAYLOR: So it's been difficult, and he really
     needs to get going.
               THE COURT: All right. So that will be --
```

```
1
               Safe travels to you, sir.
 2
               I have an appointment that I need to get to; so I
 3
    need to leave.
               MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you
 4
 5
     very much.
 6
               DEFENDANT CHARIF KAZAL: Thank you, Your Honor,
 7
     for your time.
               THE CLERK: All rise. This Court is in recess.
 8
          (Recess taken 12:08 PM to 3:48 PM)
 9
10
               THE COURT: All right. We've received a note.
11
     The jury has reached a unanimous verdict. So why don't we
12
     bring in the jury.
13
          (The following was heard in open court in the presence
          of the jury:)
14
15
               THE COURT: Good afternoon. Thank you for your
16
    patience. I understand you have reached a unanimous
17
     verdict.
18
               Miss Kaddo?
19
               JUROR: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: Why don't you hand the verdict form to
21
     our courtroom clerk.
22
               THE CLERK: United States District Court,
23
     Central District of California. Thunder Studios, Inc.;
24
     Rodric David, plaintiffs, versus Charif Kazal; Tony Kazal;
25
     Adam Kazal; and Does 1 to 100, inclusive, defendants; Case
```

```
1
     No. CV 17-871-AB, Special Verdict Form.
 2
               We, the jury, in the above-entitled action
 3
     unanimously find as follows on the questions submitted to
 4
     us:
               Thunder Studios, Inc.'s claim for copyright
 5
 6
     infringement.
 7
               Question 1: Has Thunder Studios, Inc., proven
 8
     that it owns copyrights in the following photographs:
 9
               Name of photograph.
10
               Audi-r8-dec-13, yes;
11
               Rodric-david-orange-mclaren, yes;
12
               Rodric-david-thunder-studios-office, yes;
13
               Rodric-david-la-clippers-lexus, yes;
               Rodric-david-yellow-mclaren, yes;
14
15
               Rodric-david-Tesla-p90d, yes;
16
               Rodric-david-channel-west-coast, yes;
17
               Rodric-david-Meeting-Distribution-101-RD_Featured_
18
     Image-4-18-2016, yes;
19
               Image_2584, yes;
20
               Rodric-david-Official-Portrait-Headshot-Thunder-
21
               Studios-CEO, yes;
22
               Tyga-and-Rodric-David-at-Thunder-Studios-Web, yes;
23
               Thunder-digital-media-rodric-david-carey-martell,
24
               yes;
25
               Rodric-david-toyota-hydro-car, yes.
```

1 Question 2: Below is a chart that identifies each 2 allegedly infringed photograph. Identify: 3 1. Whether Charif Kazal is liable for that photograph's infringement; 4 Whether Charif Kazal committed copyright 5 infringement willfully or out of ignorance or by accident; 6 7 The amount of damages, if any, owed by Charif Kazal to Thunder Studios, Inc., for that photograph's 8 9 infringement; 10 Whether Tony Kazal is liable for that 11 photographs's infringement; 12 Whether Tony Kazal committed copyright 13 infringement willfully or out of ignorance/by accident; The amount of damages, if any, owed by 14 Tony Kazal to Thunder Studios, Inc., for the photograph's 15 16 infringement; Whether Adam Kazal is liable for that 17 7. 18 photographs's infringement; 19 8. Whether Adam Kazal committed copyright infringement willfully or out of ignorance/by accident; 20 21 9. The amount of damages, if any, owed by Adam 22 Kazal to Thunder Studios, Inc., for that photograph's 23 infringement; 24 10. The total amount of damages, if any, owed to 25 Thunder Studios, Inc., for that photograph's infringement.

```
1
               Name of photographs.
 2
               Audi-r8-dec-13-15: Charif Liable? Yes.
 3
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
               Charif Damages? $200.
 4
 5
               Tony Liable? No.
 6
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 7
               Tony Damages? Zero.
               Adam Liable? No.
 8
 9
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
10
               Adam Damages? Zero.
11
               Total Damages? $200.
12
               Rodric-david-orange-mclaren: Charif Liable?
                                                             Yes.
13
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
               Charif Damages? $200.
14
15
               Tony Liable? No.
16
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
17
               Tony Damages? Zero.
18
               Adam Liable? No.
19
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
20
               Adam Damages? Zero.
21
               Total Damages? $200.
22
               Rodric-david-thunder-studios-office: Charif
23
     Liable?
              Yes.
24
               Charif Willful/Ignorant?
                                         Ignorant.
25
               Charif Damages?
                                $200.
```

```
Tony Liable? No.
 1
 2
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 3
               Tony Damages? Zero.
               Adam Liable? No.
 4
 5
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 6
               Adam Damages? Zero.
 7
               Total Damages? $200.
 8
               Rodric-david-la-clippers-lexus: Charif Liable?
 9
     Yes.
10
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
11
               Charif Damages? $200.
12
               Tony Liable? No.
13
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
               Tony Damages? Zero.
14
15
               Adam Liable? No.
16
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
17
               Adam Damages? Zero.
18
               Total Damages? $200.
19
               Rodric-david-yellow-mclaren: Charif Liable? Yes.
20
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
21
               Charif Damages? $200.
22
               Tony Liable? No.
23
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
24
               Tony Damages? Zero.
25
               Adam Liable? No.
```

```
Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 1
 2
               Adam Damages? Zero.
 3
               Total Damages? $200.
               Rodric-david-Tesla-p90d: Charif Liable? Yes.
 4
 5
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
 6
               Charif Damages? $200.
 7
               Tony Liable? No.
 8
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 9
               Tony Damages? Zero.
10
               Adam Liable? No.
11
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
12
               Adam Damages? Zero.
13
               Total Damages? $200.
               Rodric-david-channel-west-coast: Charif Liable?
14
15
     Yes.
16
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
17
               Charif Damages? $200.
18
               Tony Liable? No.
19
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
20
               Tony Damages? Zero.
21
               Adam Liable? No.
22
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
23
               Adam Damages? Zero.
24
               Total Damages? $200.
25
               Rodric-David-Meeting-Distribution-101-RD_Featured_
```

```
1
     Image-4-18-2016: Charif Liable? Yes.
 2
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
 3
               Charif Damages? $200.
               Tony Liable? No.
 4
 5
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 6
               Tony Damages? Zero.
 7
               Adam Liable? No.
 8
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 9
               Adam Damages? Zero.
10
               Total Damages? $200.
11
               Image_2584: Charif Liable? Yes.
12
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
13
               Charif Damages? $200.
               Tony Liable? No.
14
15
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
16
               Tony Damages? Zero.
17
               Adam Liable? No.
18
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
19
               Adam Damages? Zero.
20
               Total Damages? $200.
21
               Rodric-David-Official-Portrait-Headshot-Thunder-
22
     Studios-CEO: Charif Liable? Yes.
23
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
24
               Charif Damages? $200.
25
               Tony Liable? No.
```

```
Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not applicable.
 1
 2
               Tony Damages? Zero.
 3
               Adam Liable? No.
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 4
 5
               Adam Damages? Zero.
 6
               Total Damages? $200.
 7
               Tyga-and-Rodric-David-at-Thunder-Studios-Web:
     Charif Liable? Yes.
 8
 9
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
10
               Charif Damages? $200;
11
               Tony Liable? No.
12
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
13
               Tony Damages? Zero.
               Adam Liable? No.
14
15
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
16
               Adam Damages? Zero.
17
               Total Damages? $200.
               Thunder-digital-media-rodric-david-carey-martel:
18
     Charif Liable? Yes.
19
20
               Charif Willful/Ignorant?
                                         Ignorant.
21
               Charif Damages? $200.
22
               Tony Liable? No.
23
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
24
               Tony Damages? Zero.
25
               Adam Liable? No.
```

```
Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
 1
 2
               Adam Damages? Zero.
 3
               Total Damages? $200.
               Rodric-david-toyota-hydro-car: Charif Liable?
 4
 5
     Yes.
               Charif Willful/Ignorant? Ignorant.
 6
 7
               Charif Damages? $200.
 8
               Tony Liable? No.
 9
               Tony Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
10
               Tony Damages? Zero.
11
               Adam Liable? No.
12
               Adam Willful/Ignorant? Not Applicable.
13
               Adam Damages? Zero.
               Total Damages? $200.
14
15
               Question 3: Has Rodric David proven his claim of
16
     stalking against Charif Kazal?
17
               No.
18
               Question 4: Has Rodric David proven his claim of
19
     stalking against Tony Kazal?
20
               Yes.
21
               Question 5: Has Rodric David proven his claim of
22
     stalking against Adam Kazal?
23
               Yes.
24
               Question 6: What amount of compensatory damages,
25
     if any, do you award to Rodric David and against
```

```
1
     Charif Kazal for stalking?
 2
               Zero.
 3
               Question 7: What amount of compensatory damages,
     if any, do you award to Rodric David and against Tony Kazal
 4
 5
     for stalking?
 6
               $100,000.
 7
               Question 8: What amount of compensatory damages,
     if any, do you award to Rodric David and against Adam Kazal
 8
 9
     for stalking?
10
               Amount:
                        $100,000.
11
               Question 9: Did Charif Kazal engage in the
12
     conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
13
               No.
               Question 10: Did Tony Kazal engage in the conduct
14
     with malice, oppression, or fraud?
15
16
               Yes.
17
               Question 11: Did Adam Kazal engage in the conduct
18
     with malice, oppression, or fraud?
19
               Yes.
               Dated December 11th, 2018, signed by the jury
20
21
     foreperson.
22
               Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is this verdict
23
     as presented and read the verdict of each of you, so say you
24
     all?
25
               THE JURORS:
                            Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: Does either side wish the jury polled?
 1
 2
               MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Mr. Taylor?
               MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT:
                          All right. Let's poll the jury.
 6
               THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, as I
 7
     call your number, if this is your verdict as presented and
 8
     read, please answer yes. If this is not your verdict,
 9
     please answer no.
10
               Juror Number 1?
11
               JUROR: Yes.
12
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 2?
13
               JUROR: Yes.
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. The answer to the question
14
15
     is whether this is your verdict, yes or no. So let's start
16
     again with Juror Number 1, please.
17
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 1?
18
               JUROR: Yes.
19
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 2?
20
               JUROR: Yes.
21
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 3?
22
               JUROR: Yes.
23
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 4?
24
               JUROR: Yes.
25
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 5?
```

```
1
               JUROR:
                       Yes.
 2
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 6?
 3
               JUROR: Yes.
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 7?
 4
 5
               JUROR:
                       Yes.
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 8?
 6
 7
               JUROR: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: Can I have the attorneys at sidebar,
 9
     please.
10
          (The following proceedings were held at sidebar.)
11
               THE COURT: Okay, Counsel. Just deciding the next
12
     steps. I intend to inform the jury now and give them an
13
     instruction that, now that they've reached a verdict, we go
14
     into the punitive damages phase.
15
               Who are you going to call, if anyone, for your
16
     witnesses?
17
               MR. WIENER: I will call Rodric David.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. And then you're going to
19
     argue -- are you going to call any witnesses on punitive
20
     damages?
21
               MR. TAYLOR: No.
               THE COURT: And you're prepared to argue this
22
23
     afternoon, then? Because my intention is to try to get this
24
     to them before we leave today.
25
               MR. WIENER: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Anything either side wishes to --
 2
               MR. TAYLOR: Does Your Honor mean argue about
 3
     punitive damages to the jury?
               THE COURT:
 4
                           Yes.
 5
               MR. TAYLOR: All right.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
 7
          (The following was heard in open court in the presence
 8
           of the jury:)
               THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen.
 9
10
     Based on your answers to the verdict form, you must now
11
     decide the amount, if any, that should be awarded in
12
     punitive damages as it relates to the two defendants where
13
     you answered yes.
               Just so you understand -- and you'll get a copy of
14
15
     these instructions at the end -- the purpose of the punitive
16
     damages are to punish a wrongdoer for the conduct that
17
     harmed the plaintiff and to discourage similar conduct in
18
     the future.
19
               There is no fixed standard for determining the
     amount of punitive damages, and you are not required to
20
21
     award any punitive damages.
22
               If you decide to award punitive damages, you
23
     should consider all of the following separately for each
24
     defendant in determining the amount: How reprehensible was
25
     that defendant's conduct? In deciding how reprehensible a
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
defendant's conduct was, you may consider, among other
factors, whether the conduct caused physical harm; whether
the defendant disregarded the health or safety of others;
whether the plaintiff was financially weak or vulnerable and
the defendant knew the plaintiff was financially weak or
vulnerable and took advantage of him; whether the
defendants' conduct involved a pattern or practice; and
whether the defendant acted with trickery or deceit.
          Other things that you may consider is whether or
not there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of
punitive damages and the plaintiffs' harm, and, in view of
the defendants' financial condition, what amount is
necessary to punish and discourage future wrongful conduct.
          You may not increase the punitive award above an
amount that is otherwise appropriate merely because a
defendant has substantial financial resources. Any award
you impose may not exceed that defendant's ability to pay.
You are going to get a copy of this in the jury room, but at
this time, I am going to allow the plaintiff to present any
evidence as it relates to punitive damages.
          Mr. Wiener.
          MR. WIENER: Yes, Your Honor, and we'll call
Rodric David as our first witness.
          THE COURT: Mr. David, you may step forward.
///
```

```
1
                            RODRIC DAVID,
 2
                 having been previously duly sworn,
 3
                     testified further as follows:
               THE COURT: I remind you you are under oath.
 4
                                                              So
 5
     you can resume the witness stand.
 6
               Go ahead. You may proceed.
 7
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. WIENER:
 8
 9
          Mr. David, have you and your family suffered at the
10
     hands of the Kazal brothers over the past ten years?
11
          Yes.
12
          Can you briefly recap for the jury what you have gone
13
     through.
          I prefer not to. This has been a long process.
14
15
     They've heard it all. I don't want to waste their time or
16
     yours or anyone else's.
17
          You understand that the -- Tony Kazal and Adam Kazal
18
     have the financial resources to pay a substantial judgment
19
     in this action?
20
     Α
          Yes.
21
          Do you believe that a substantial judgment will serve
22
     to punish them?
23
     Α
          Yes.
24
          Do you also believe that a substantial punitive damages
25
     award will serve to deter them from further harassment of
```

```
1 you and your family?
```

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What do you know about the financial condition of Adam
- 4 Kazal?
- 5 A He's a member of the family. They are all supported by
- 6 a family trust. He spent six years, I believe, as an
- 7 undischarged bankrupt.
- 8 During the contempt of Court case in Australia, he
- 9 had to deal with his undischarged bankrupt nature. That
- 10 case I was awarded in excess of half a million dollars in
- 11 costs against him; and one of his brothers put up about a
- 12 | hundred thousand dollars to pay part of that fee, and I know
- 13 his brothers paid for his legal defense.
- 14 Q And I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 30 in the binder.
- 15 A I don't have a binder.
- MR. WIENER: May I approach.
- 17 THE COURT: If you have a binder, yes. Because
- 18 the binders are in the jury room.
- 19 BY MR. WIENER:
- 20 Q I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 30, please, Mr. David.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And turn to the document Bates stamped
- 23 plaintiffs' 1742.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Can you read the sentence that begins, "You have a

```
1
     brother."
 2
          What paragraph, please?
 3
               Am I on the right exhibit? Exhibit 30-001742?
          You are, and I am trying to find the paragraph number
 4
     Q
 5
     for you.
 6
          They are noted on the side of this page as I am looking
 7
     at.
 8
          I can't find the paragraphs. I will look for it.
     Instead I would like for you to turn to 1734, paragraph 24.
 9
10
               Can you read the entirety paragraph 24 to the
11
     jury.
12
          (Reading:)
13
                         Moreover, you have put no evidence
               before me about how you can live in your home,
14
15
               have four children go to private school, and
16
               be able to organize and fund the considerable
17
               and costly teams of people in Los Angeles and
               Sydney that featured in the evidence. I note
18
19
               that there were nine or ten persons visible --
20
               I think it's a reference to Sydney -- who held
21
               signs in a screenshot of the video taken by
22
               Miss Sambrook that was reproduced in her
23
               evidence. Moreover, there are four vans on 22
24
               November 2016 in the Central Business District
25
               of Sydney that I infer had to be driven by at
```

```
1
               least three other drivers. However, the
 2
               source of the resources necessary to finance
 3
               your lifestyle and your contemptuous conduct
               is not in evidence.
 4
 5
          I would like for you to turn to page 1742,
 6
     paragraph 17.
 7
          Yes.
 8
          And I'd like you to read from the third line of
 9
     paragraph 17.
10
               THE COURT: Why don't you have him read the second
11
     sentence, start at the second sentence.
               THE WITNESS: The one that says, "However, your
12
     wife"?
13
               THE COURT: Right.
14
15
               THE WITNESS: (Reading:)
16
                         However, your wife has three sisters
17
               who also live in Sydney, and you have a
18
               brother, Oscar, who is prepared to assist you
19
               in paying a fine were I to impose such a
20
               sentence.
21
     BY MR. WIENER:
22
          It's correct that your understanding is that Adam
23
     Kazal's brother did assist him in paying the fine?
24
          To put into trust. In that case it's been put into
25
     trust.
             It hasn't been disbursed yet.
```

- 1 Q All right. But money has been deposited --
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- in trust to pay the fine against Adam Kazal?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Does that suggest to you that Adam Kazal does have
- 6 resources available to him to satisfy a punitive damage
- 7 award?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do you understand if Adam Kazal is a beneficiary of a
- 10 | family trust?
- 11 A Yes, I think it's called the Kazal Family Trust. I
- 12 understand they have a number of them.
- 13 Q All right. And do you understand what assets are part
- 14 of that trust?
- 15 A I know of some assets that are part of the trust.
- 16 Q Could you tell the jury what those assets are.
- 17 A The ones that I know about are around business assets
- 18 | in Sydney, which include restaurants, cafes, and property
- 19 assets in the Rocks District of Sydney, which is the tourist
- 20 area of Sydney, plus various property developments they've
- 21 developed.
- 22 Q Do you know what specific businesses are part of the
- 23 trust?
- 24 A Off the top of my head, there's Eastbank Restaurant;
- 25 | there's a number of Guylian Chocolate Cafes; there was a bar

```
1
     called Barkley's or Bunkley's Bar or Bentley's Bar or
 2
     something like that. Plus their AWT head office in Maquarie
 3
     Place.
          Okay. And are you aware of any documentation that
 4
 5
     establishes what businesses are part of the trust?
 6
          Some.
 7
          Does this document -- have you seen this document
    before?
 8
 9
     Α
          Yes.
10
               THE COURT: What exhibit is this?
11
               MR. WIENER: It wasn't marked as an exhibit.
12
               THE COURT: Do we want to mark it so the record
13
     reflects that you have just presented him with an exhibit?
               MR. WIENER: Sure. I'll mark this as Exhibit 49,
14
15
     Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: All right.
          (Trial Exhibit 49 was marked for identification.)
17
18
               THE COURT: What is it?
19
               MR. WIENER: It's the current details for
20
     ABN 77 920 338 673.
21
          Can you explain what the ABN number is, Mr. David.
22
          It's an acronym for Australian Business Number.
23
     similar in the United States to the Employment
24
     Identification Number. It's a unique number assigned to any
25
     corporate entity for taxation purposes in Australia.
```

- 1 Q All right. What business does it -- underneath that
- 2 does it list the entity name as the trustee for the Kazal
- 3 Family Trust?
- 4 A Yes, it does.
- 5 Q Are you aware whether Adam Kazal is a beneficiary of
- 6 | the Kazal Family Trust?
- 7 A Certainly is what was stated in his evidence in the
- 8 hearing in Australia.
- 9 Q Do you know if Tony Kazal is a beneficiary of the Kazal
- 10 Family Trust?
- 11 A Yes, he is.
- 12 Q According to this what businesses are comprised in the
- 13 Kazal Family Trust?
- MR. TAYLOR: Lacks foundation, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Well, the document is in front of him;
- 16 | so the objection is overruled.
- 17 THE WITNESS: The Guylian Cafe in the Darling
- 18 Quarter, the one in the Rocks, the one on George Street.
- 19 There is another one in the Rocks and another one in
- 20 | Circular Quay, and then there is another one called The
- 21 Rocks Cafe.
- 22 BY MR. WIENER:
- 23 Q Could you briefly describe for the jury what your
- 24 understanding is of those businesses.
- 25 A The Kazals own the rights to, I think, a Belgian

```
franchise, Guylian Chocolates, a Belgian company. So they
```

- 2 own the Australian rights to that name, and so they have
- 3 opened up a series of corporate and franchise cafes in
- 4 | Australia and elsewhere, to my understanding.
- 5 Q Do you have an understanding of what the gross revenue
- 6 is at the chocolate cafe?
- 7 A From what was reported in one of these business forums,
- 8 | it exceeds \$6 million.
- 9 Q All right. And I am going to show you a document just
- 10 to verify if it's the business form that you are referring
- 11 to.
- 12 THE COURT: Is this another exhibit that you
- 13 intend to introduce into evidence?
- MR. WIENER: Yes. It's a printout from Zoom Info
- 15 | for Guylian Belgian Chocolate Cafe, and it provides a
- 16 | company profile, and we'll mark it as Exhibit 50,
- 17 Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 (Trial Exhibit 50 was marked for identification.)
- 20 BY MR. WIENER:
- 21 Q Mr. David, you've seen this document previously?
- 22 A Yes, I have.
- 23 Q And does it list the Guylian Belgian Chocolate Cafe as
- 24 having revenues of 6.4 million?
- 25 A Yes, it does.

- 1 Q All right. Do you have any estimate what the value of
- 2 | the other businesses that are part of the Kazal Family Trust
- 3 is collectively?
- 4 A Their long-standing, high-profile restaurants that have
- 5 | a nightclub called Bar 100, Eastbank Restaurants, those
- 6 Guylian Chocolate Cafes. As a going concern, the business
- 7 | would exceed \$20 million in value as a business I would
- 8 assume.
- 9 Q Are you familiar with a company called KTC?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Is Tony one of the owners of that company?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Do you know if there was testimony offered by
- 14 Charif Kazal that KTC received a distribution from the
- 15 | liquidation of Emergent Capital?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q How much was that distribution?
- 18 A It exceeded 1.09 million U.S. dollars.
- 19 Q All right. And that was money received by KTC?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Are you aware of any sales by the Kazal family of real
- 22 | property in Australia within the past 18 months?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Could you explain to the jury what property sales you
- 25 are aware of.

```
1
          They had a property in East Circular Quay, which is
     Α
 2
     right on the Harbor Foreshore, that they had a tenant in --
 3
     I mentioned before -- Bentley's Bar or Barkley's Bar or
     something like that. They sold it for 21.5 million in
 4
     late 2017.
 5
          And I am just going to show you an article that was
 6
 7
     published online and just want you to verify if it's
 8
     consistent with your understanding.
               It's an August 22, 2017, article entitled,
 9
10
     "Sydney's Kazal family sell Circular Quay retail space."
11
               And I'll mark this as Exhibit 51, Your Honor.
12
          Can you turn it over, please.
     Α
          That would be of assistance.
13
                That's the Buckley's Bar that I was referring to.
14
15
     That's where -- they are the landlord.
16
          All right. And it's correct the article reflects that
     it was sold for 21.5 million?
17
18
          Yes.
19
                           Is that U.S. dollars?
               THE COURT:
20
               THE WITNESS: No. This is Australian dollars.
               THE COURT:
21
                           Thank you.
22
     BY MR. WIENER:
23
          Are you familiar with what the exchange rate is between
     Australian dollars and U.S. dollars?
24
25
     Α
          Not currently. It's fluctuated quite a bit over the
```

- 1 course of the last year. It's maybe about on average
- 2 80 percent.
- 3 Q All right. Do you have an estimate what the exchange
- 4 rate was in August 2000 --
- 5 A I have no idea. It would be a guess. It would be
- 6 something circa 80 percent of the value of the U.S. dollar.
- 7 Q All right.
- 8 A But any currency exchange Website can show you that.
- 9 Q All right. Would you say it was plus or minus
- 10 | ten percent approximately?
- 11 A Yeah, it's circa 80 percent. It fluctuates anywhere
- 12 | between -- and 70 percent -- 70 cents.
- 13 Q All right. So at a minimum, the property was sold for
- 14 at least \$15 million U.S.?
- 15 A Well, that would be underballing it, but, yes.
- 16 Q All right. Or possibly up to 21 million U.S. --
- 17 A Depending on the exchange rate, yes.
- 18 Q All right. Did you hear testimony by Charif Kazal that
- 19 KTC made a \$30 million offer to buy Emergent Capital's stake
- 20 in Global Renewables?
- 21 A That was in evidence, yes.
- 22 | Q All right. Does that suggest to you that Charif and
- 23 | Tony Kazal had at least 20 to \$30 million in assets
- 24 available to them?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1 Q It's correct that Tony Kazal's involved with AWT?
```

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q What is AWT?
- 4 A It's an acronym for Australia World Trading. It's a
- 5 | company that Karl, Charif, and Tony had been operating since
- 6 at least 2000.
- 7 Q I am going to show you a document that's a printout
- 8 from a Website called kazalicacacnsw.com, and it states on
- 9 it, "Tony Kazal represents Australian World Trading on the
- 10 | consulting end, " and I will mark this as the next exhibit.
- Does this document, as far as you are aware,
- 12 | accurately represent Tony Kazal's involvement with AWT?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Does it state that he is responsible for the
- 15 significant amount of economic development?
- 16 A That's what it states, yes.
- 17 Q Are you aware whether Tony Kazal is the owner of a
- 18 | property company named Top Racing Property, LLC?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Are you aware if he is the owner of a company named
- 21 Troy Stable, LLC?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q All right. Did Tony Kazal testify to his ownership of
- 24 | Top Racing Property, LLC in a New Jersey lawsuit?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
               MR. WIENER: Your Honor, the next exhibit that
 2
     plaintiffs will move into evidence is a certification of
 3
     Tarek Kazal, aka Tony Kazal, in the case Sven Temming,
     plaintiff, versus Top Racing Property, LLC, Superior Court
 4
 5
     of New Jersey Law Division, Burlington County, Docket
 6
     No. BUR-L-2787-08.
 7
          Mr. David, have you seen this certification previously?
 8
     Α
          Yes.
 9
          Do you believe it's a true and correct copy of a
10
     certification signed by Tony Kazal?
11
          Yes, it is.
     Α
12
          All right. I'd like you to read the entirety of
13
     paragraph 4.
          (Reading:)
14
15
                         For information purposes, while my
16
               legal name is Tarek Kazal, I have also been
17
               known as Tony Kazal. The plaintiff also
18
               referred to me as Troy. I also referred to
19
               the plaintiff as Bondy. I am the sole owner
20
               of Top Racing Property, LLC, and Troy Stable,
21
               LLC.
22
          Next I'd like you to read paragraph 6.
     Q
23
     Α
          (Reading:)
24
                         On May 4th, 2005, Top Racing
25
               Property, LLC, acquired land at 28235 Gaunts
```

- 1 Bridge Road, Columbus, New Jersey. See deed
- 2 attached hereto, Exhibit E.
- 3 Q And do you recognize this signature in the lower
- 4 | right-hand corner of this document?
- 5 A Yes. It's Tony Kazal.
- 6 Q Are you familiar with the property at 28235 Gaunts
- 7 Bridge Road in Columbus, New Jersey?
- 8 A I have never been there.
- 9 Q Have you seen any valuations of the property?
- 10 A Yes, I have.
- 11 Q What was the most resent valuation you saw?
- 12 A The one I saw was the same time as this lawsuit, around
- 13 2010.
- 14 Q What was the valuation of the property at that time?
- 15 A 2.5 million.
- 16 Q Could you briefly describe the property for the jury.
- 17 A I understand it's a ranch for training racing horses.
- 18 Q All right. To the best of your knowledge, is the
- 19 property still owned by Top Racing Property, LLC?
- 20 A To the best of my knowledge.
- 21 | Q I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 39 in your binder.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Could you explain for the jury what Exhibit 39 is.
- 24 A It's an excerpt of a newspaper article from the Sydney
- 25 | Morning Herald, I think, dated 2013.

```
1 Q All right. And could you explain to the jury what the
```

- 2 article discusses.
- 3 A It discusses Tony Kazal's run-in with someone who tried
- 4 | to defraud him as he was trying to facilitate a money
- 5 laundering exchange with Hezbollah.
- 6 Q All right. And does it mention Tony Kazal having a
- 7 cash transaction?
- 8 A Yes, of about \$1.2 million.
- 9 Q And that was a cash transaction?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Finally, have you ever seen any bank account statements
- 12 | for Tony Kazal?
- 13 A I have.
- 14 Q All right. Have you seen a statement from the Dubai
- 15 Islamic Bank on November 26th, 2011?
- 16 A Yes, I have.
- 17 Q All right.
- I will mark that as plaintiffs' last and final
- 19 exhibit, Your Honor. It's a statement of account.
- 20 Could you briefly walk the jury through this
- 21 document.
- 22 THE COURT: Counsel, unless he's got magnifying
- 23 glasses, you have to zoom this in.
- MR. WIENER: All right.
- 25 Q Does this document show an opening balance of 2.5 --

```
1
     $2,552,847.02?
 2
          Yes.
 3
          Does it also show incoming payments from the Kazal
     brothers?
 4
          Yes, it does.
 5
     Α
          Did you do a tabulation of what the total approximate
 6
 7
     amount of the incoming transfers from the Kazal brothers
 8
     was?
 9
          About a million.
10
          And that's over what period?
11
          The statement looks like it's a period of one calendar
12
     year.
13
          Thank you.
14
               I have nothing further.
15
               THE COURT: Cross-examination.
16
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
17
     BY MR. TAYLOR:
18
          Mr. David, the exhibit we were just looking at, the
19
     statement of account --
20
     Α
          Yes.
21
          -- what's the date that you see there?
          I see a range of dates. The first one is January 2010.
22
23
          So that was about almost nine years ago?
24
     Α
          Approximately.
25
          Okay. And at the top of the page, it says, "Currency
     Q
```

```
1
     AED."
 2
               Do you see that?
 3
          Yes, I do.
     Α
          What's AED?
 4
 5
          I don't know what the acronym -- but it's Malaysia --
     but it's UAE currency.
 6
 7
          It's the currency in the Emirates; right?
 8
          Correct.
 9
          Not American dollars?
10
          No.
11
          So these amounts at right are not -- these are dirhams,
12
     not American dollars; right?
13
          They're UAE currency; yes.
          Did you have an understanding what the exchange rate
14
15
     was of the dirham to the dollar back in 2010?
16
          No, I don't. Possibly three, but I am not specifically
17
     sure.
18
          Three to one dollar?
     Q
19
     Α
          Yes.
20
          You mentioned a Kazal Family Trust a few minutes ago.
21
     Α
          Yes, the Kazal Family Trust.
22
     Q
          Right. Do you know who the trustees of the trust are?
23
     Α
          No, I don't.
24
          And do you know whether Adam is a beneficiary or a
25
     trustee of that trust?
```

- 1 A He is a beneficiary.
- 2 Q So is it your understanding that that means that he
- 3 owns the trust assets?
- 4 A I believe the trust assets are collectively owned by
- 5 the family.
- 6 Q And the family includes many, many people; doesn't it?
- 7 A I don't believe so. I think it's Kazal brothers.
- 8 Q Well, that's eight people right there.
- 9 A Well, I meant the business brothers, but I am not the
- 10 administrator of the company.
- 11 Q You have testified that you understood Adam to be an
- 12 undischarged bankrupt for some period of time?
- 13 A Yes, he was.
- 14 Q What period of time?
- 15 A Circa 2010 through to 2000 -- well, until the case of
- 16 | late 2016, early '17.
- 17 Q Early 2017, you said?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What does that term mean in your understanding?
- 20 A It means that he entered bankruptcy protection.
- 21 | Australian law requires you to file a statement of affairs
- 22 | within six weeks of seeking protection from your creditors.
- For some reason that I don't know, he was able to
- 24 | not submit his statement of financial for a period of six
- 25 years.

- 1 Q Well, did the status of being an undischarged bankrupt
- 2 suggest to you that Adam Kazal had significant income?
- 3 A No, that's not what bankruptcy does.
- 4 Through his bankruptcy trustee, he declared no
- 5 | income, and then the judge -- the paragraph I read before --
- 6 challenges Mr. Kazal as to how he can have such a lavish
- 7 lifestyle when he doesn't have any declared income or assets
- 8 | with the Australian tax office.
- 9 Q Does the status of being an undischarged bankrupt
- 10 suggest to you that the debtor has significant assets?
- 11 A No, by definition it does not.
- 12 Q Now, you mentioned also the \$30 million offer that we
- 13 | heard about during testimony in the case by -- I believe it
- 14 was by KTC to purchase Global Renewables --
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And that was back in 2011?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q You testified, in part, that that offer was
- 19 unacceptable because, in part, it was tied to the LIA, the
- 20 | Libyan Investment Authority?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q So it didn't suggest to you, did it, that it was
- 23 | actually based on \$30 million in actual assets owned by KTC
- 24 | at the time?
- 25 A The decision that I made at the time, I couldn't be

- 1 | comfortable that their offer was supported by any financial
- 2 | wherewithal in which to conclude the transaction. Whereas
- 3 | the private equity firm, Iron Bridge Capital, being an
- 4 Australian private equity firm, I was pretty comfortable did
- 5 have the capacity in which to close.
- 6 0 I understand that.
- 7 Was it your understanding that the financing for
- 8 the Kazals or the KTC offer would be provided by the LIA?
- 9 A Wasn't my understanding at all. That's one of the
- 10 sources that they proffered.
- 11 Q Sources for the financing?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q It didn't suggest to you that -- they never told you
- 14 | they had \$30 million in cash prepared to make an offer, did
- 15 they?
- 16 A Well, if you recall my earlier testimony, they've
- 17 | always said that they were a powerful, well-to-do, wealthy
- 18 | family, and this dispute all started because of their
- 19 | failure to invest in the company that we agreed to do.
- 20 | Q Now, I thought you testified earlier that you thought
- 21 | at least one or more of the Kazal brothers at the time
- 22 | were -- I think you used the term "impecunious"?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What does that mean?
- 25 A "Impecunious" means of questionable financial strength.

```
1
          Meaning weak financial strength?
     Q
 2
          Yes, that was to Charif Kazal.
 3
               MR. TAYLOR: May I just take a moment, Your Honor,
     to look at the exhibits.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.
 6
 7
               No further questions now, Your Honor.
               THE COURT:
                           Redirect.
 8
               MR. WIENER: No redirect. I just want to make
 9
10
     sure that the exhibits we have introduced are properly moved
11
     into evidence.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. What exhibits are you seeking
13
     to introduce into evidence?
               In the interest of time, why not give you a hand.
14
15
     Are you talking about Exhibits 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, and 54?
16
               MR. WIENER: That's correct, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Any objection to those exhibits?
               MR. TAYLOR: I would object to the extent that the
18
     witness didn't have personal knowledge or that no foundation
19
20
     was laid for the authenticity of any of those documents, and
21
     I didn't get them in advance. So I don't have -- I haven't
22
     had time to study to them, Your Honor. I would object to
23
     that extent, though.
24
               THE COURT:
                           The objection is noted but overruled.
25
     The documents will be admitted into evidence.
```

```
(Trial Exhibits 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 were admitted
 1
 2
           into evidence.)
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Sir, you may step down.
                             Thank you.
 4
               THE WITNESS:
 5
               THE COURT:
                           You may call your next witness.
               MR. WIENER: Your Honor, no further witnesses.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, do you wish to present any
 8
     evidence at this time?
 9
               MR. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Can I have counsel approach at
11
     sidebar.
12
          (The following proceedings were held at sidebar.)
13
               THE COURT: The only instruction I intend to give
     is the instruction that I read earlier. Take a moment to
14
15
     look at it.
                 It's one page. It fills in the blanks as it
16
     relates to names of plaintiff and defendants. I don't
17
     believe there are any other instructions that are applicable
18
     in this case.
19
               So my intention is to read this instruction, have
20
     you both provide closing arguments. I will give you -- I
21
     don't think that you need, quite frankly, based on the 15
22
     minutes of testimony, if you need any more than ten minutes
23
     to argue, and that's being gracious. So ten minutes each
24
     side, and then I will give you three minutes to rebut
25
     afterwards.
```

```
1
               Any objections to the proposal that I -- to the
 2
     instructions first and the proposed plan of action going
 3
     forward?
               Take a minute to look at the instructions.
 4
 5
               And I'm going to show you a copy of the verdict
 6
     form which asks two questions.
 7
               So are you objecting to the instruction?
 8
    Mr. Taylor?
 9
               MR. TAYLOR: Not to the instruction, not to the
10
    plan that Your Honor --
11
               THE COURT: Okay. Any objection to the
12
     instruction or the proposed plan of action?
13
               MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. I will have you take a
14
     look at the punitive damages form. You can look at both
15
16
     pages but -- and it's specific to Tony Kazal and Adam Kazal.
17
               MR. TAYLOR: It's fine with us, Your Honor.
18
               MR. WIENER: Agreed.
19
               THE COURT: Did you have anything else you want to
20
     state on the record? Oh, you look like you had something to
21
     say.
22
               MR. TAYLOR: Oh, no, no.
23
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. So then I will read this
24
     instruction, and we'll go forward with the arguments.
                                                            All
25
     right?
```

1 MR. WIENER: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 (The following was heard in open court in the presence 3 of the jury:) Ladies and gentlemen, you have now 4 THE COURT: 5 heard the evidence as it relates to the second phase of this 6 trial. I am going to read to you one instruction, which I 7 read to you 20 minutes ago but a more complete version of 8 that instruction which will guide your consideration of the evidence in this case. I will make sure you have a copy of 9 10 that instruction available for you in the jury deliberation 11 room. 12 You must now decide the amount, if any, that you 13 should award Rodric David in punitive damages. The purposes of punitive damages are to punish a wrongdoer for the 14 15 conduct that harmed the plaintiff and to discourage similar 16 conduct in the future. There is no fixed standard for 17 determining the amount of punitive damages, and you are not 18 required to award any punitive damages. If you decide to 19 award punitive damages, you should consider all of the 20 following separately for each defendant in determining the 21 amount: 22 (A) How reprehensible was that defendant's 23 conduct? In deciding how reprehensible a defendant's 24 conduct was, you may consider among, other factors: 25 1. Whether the conduct caused physical harm;

1 2. Whether the defendant disregarded the health 2 or safety of others; 3 3. Whether Rodric David was financially weak or vulnerable and the defendant knew Rodric David was 4 5 financially weak or vulnerable and took advantage of him; Whether defendant's conduct involved a 6 7 pattern or practice; and Whether the defendant acted with trickery or 8 5. 9 deceit. 10 Is there a reasonable relationship between 11 the amount of punitive damages and Rodric David's harm; 12 (C) In view of defendant's financial condition, 13 what amount is necessary to punish it and discourage future wrongful conduct? 14 15 You may not increase the punitive damage award 16 above an amount that is otherwise appropriate merely because 17 a defendant has substantial financial resources. Any award 18 you may impose -- I'm sorry -- any award you impose may not 19 exceed that defendant's ability to pay.

20 With that, Mr. Wiener, you may proceed.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WIENER: I'd like to thank the jury for making the correct finding that Adam and Tony Kazal engaged in a pattern of malicious and oppressive conduct, not just towards just Mr. David but his family.

It bears substantial note that this was a campaign

that was designed to hurt the Davids. When it began, it caused them to flee their country. They pursued it even here in California at great expense to them.

You have heard the numerous lawsuits they brought. You've heard the evidence of their substantial property and business holdings.

Within the past 18 months, they've liquidated businesses worth at least \$15,000,000 U.S. and more likely closer to \$20 million U.S.

These are well-funded defendants, and it's important that the jury send them a message that this conduct won't be tolerated. You know, if they just get a slap on the wrist, it's very likely that this conduct could resume, and that's what this lawsuit is designed to prevent.

There is a manifest disregard for the safety and well-being of the David family. The protests were conducted near his children's elementary school.

That wasn't a coincidence, and it wasn't something that the defendants abated. Instead, they engaged in trickery by attempting to first have the message be delivered "Care of Adam Kazal," and then, when the federal court of Australia restrained Adam Kazal, they then planned to change the signs to be "Care of Tony Kazal" in order to flout the Court orders.

These defendants don't have any respect for the

1 They do have respect for money and financial damages, law. 2 and that's what needs to be issued against them, is a severe 3 financial award. Notably, they haven't even seen fit to defend 4 5 their conduct before the jury, and I think the jury can 6 easily infer that they're in contempt of our legal 7 processes. 8 The only way you can tell them that the rule of law means something is to hit them with a severe financial 9 10 punitive damage award. 11 Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Taylor. 13 MR. TAYLOR: Ladies and gentlemen, I also thank you for your time and for your effort in deliberating and 14 15 reaching a verdict here. I would point out a few things 16 with respect to the punitive damages claim in this case. 17 First of all, the jury reached a verdict of liability on stalking as to Tony Kazal and Adam Kazal, and, 18 19 as I heard it, assessed damages in the sum of \$100,000 20 against each of them. 21 I would hardly call that a slap on the wrist. 22 That's a significant damages award. And given that there's 23 been no evidence that any of the alleged stalking activity 24 has taken place in the last, roughly, two years now, there's 25 no indication that it's about to begin again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was no evidence presented that the defendants intend to arrange a similar protest to the one that took place two years ago. The e-mails have stopped. The Website is down. The tweets aren't happening any more. So for that reason, I don't think the deterrent factor is really an issue here at all. Now, with respect to the factors that are outlined -- the other factors outlined in the jury instruction that the Court just read, whether the conduct at issue caused physical harm, there was evidence of the effect on the David family, but there was no evidence of actual physical harm. Counsel has mentioned that the protests were in the area of the David family children's school, but the school is about 300 meters from their home. So if you're in the area of their home, you're also necessarily right near the school whether you know it or not. With respect to the health and safety of others, again, I don't believe there was any evidence presented on that point either. Whether Mr. David was financially weak or vulnerable, that doesn't seem to be applicable here as the CEO of a significant motion picture and television facility in the Los Angeles area. Whether the defendants' conduct involved a pattern

1 or practice, I believe that was addressed previously. 2 whether the defendant acted with trickery or deceit, as to 3 defendant Tony Kazal, I don't see any evidence of that at all. 4 5 With respect to defendant Adam Kazal, there was 6 evidence that he attempted to remove his name from the 7 placards, but I believe that was about the extent of it. 8 So, again, for those reasons, ladies and gentlemen, I would submit to you that an award of punitive 9 10 damages here is probably unnecessary. 11 Adam Kazal has gone from being an undischarged 12 bankrupt with no assets to the scion of a very wealthy 13 family in the course of this case in the last few days. So I think the jury has to figure out which one that is. 14 15 The evidence of Mr. Tony Kazal's financial 16 condition is pretty outdated for the most part and based on 17 a lot of speculation and guesswork, and so I think, under 18 the circumstances, we would submit that no punitive damages 19 or a very small punitive damages award would be appropriate. 20 Thank you very much. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel. 22 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to 23 have -- oh, I'm sorry. You have a few minutes for rebuttal. 24 MR. WIENER: I apologize. 25 THE COURT: My apologies. That's my mistake.

Sorry. It's late. Sorry.

2 MR. WIENER: I will be very quick, Your Honor.

Deterrence is an issue here. The conduct at issue, the stalking by Adam Kazal, stopped after he was imprisoned. He is out of prison now, and he does pose a significant threat to the Davids. And unless there's financial consequences, they are legitimately concerned that the conduct will resume;

And given that Adam Kazal is overseas and obviously has no intent of ever coming to California or abiding by any judicial processes here, nor does Tony Kazal, the only message that can be sent is financial damages.

Fear is also -- by the fact that neither Kazals have ever apologized to the Davids for their misconduct.

They're belligerent and dangerous people, and there is no remorse on their part. They're fanatical and will do whatever it takes to hurt the David family.

Adam Kazal has been found to be in contempt of Court as a criminal contempt finding, much higher standard of proof than what the jury found here today, and he is not unable to pay a judgment. He is the beneficiary of a family trust that has realized multi-million dollars from the sale of a property, and that's money that the federal court of Australia has found will be made available to him to satisfy the judgment.

#.3361

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Notably, none of the Kazals have presented any evidence disputing that they're beneficiaries of a trust. It was set up to avoid liability, and it is available to satisfy the judgment here, and the jury properly considers those proceeds as well as the obvious financial resources available to the Kazals in rendering its punitive damages verdict. Thank you. All right. Thank you, Counsel. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the bailiff is here to escort you back to the jury room to begin your deliberations. You will have the exhibits and the verdict form with you momentarily. And just for the -- let me just double-check. He'll escort you back to the jury deliberation room. THE CLERK: All rise for the jury. (Jury out at 4:56 PM) (The following was heard in open court outside the presence of the jury:) THE CLERK: Please be seated. THE COURT: All right. I don't know how long or -- how long they will need, but I intend to let them deliberate until at least 6:30 or so. And if they can't come up with a decision by then, I will probably bring you

```
1
     back in and have them come back tomorrow morning.
 2
               So in the interest of the hour, I would suggest
 3
     that you remain relatively close by.
               Mr. Taylor, you were moving. I thought you were
 4
 5
     going to get up.
 6
               MR. TAYLOR: No.
 7
               THE COURT: If there is nothing further, we are in
 8
              Thank you.
     recess.
 9
               MR. WIENER: Your Honor --
10
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. WIENER: -- I just need to probably coordinate
11
12
     with Carla about the exhibits.
13
               THE COURT: You mean Ms. Badirian?
               MR. WIENER: I apologize.
14
15
               THE COURT: You should probably do that sooner
     rather than later. She's been kind enough to get the
16
17
     exhibit tags ready for you so that we can get the exhibits
18
     to the jury so they can look them over.
19
               MR. WIENER: Thank you.
20
               And no disrespect.
21
               THE COURT:
                           I know. Just remember we are in the
22
     federal court house.
23
               MR. WIENER: I understand.
24
               THE CLERK: All rise. This Court is in recess.
```

(Recess taken 4:57 to 6:02 PM)

25

```
1
          (The following was heard in open court in the presence
 2
           of the jury:)
 3
               THE COURT: All right. I guess for the first
     time, I think, in this trial I could say "good evening."
 4
 5
               So I understand the jury has reached a unanimous
 6
     verdict.
 7
               Miss Kaddo, would you mind presenting the verdict
     to our courtroom clerk again.
 8
 9
               THE CLERK: United States District Court,
10
     Central District of California. Thunder Studios, Inc.,
     Rodric David, plaintiff, versus Charif Kazal, Tony Kazal,
11
     Adam Kazal, and Does 1 through 100, Inclusive, defendants,
12
     Case Number CV 17-817.
13
               Verdict Form, Punitive Damages.
14
15
               Question 1: What amount of punitive damages, if
16
     any, do you award Rodric David and against Tony Kazal?
17
                        $1 million.
               Amount:
               Question 2: What amount of punitive damages, if
18
     any, do you award to Rodric David and against Adam Kazal?
19
20
               Amount:
                        $1 million.
               Dated December 11, 2018, signed by the jury
21
22
     foreperson.
23
               Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is this verdict
     as presented and read the verdict of each of you, so say you
24
```

25

all?

```
1
               THE JURORS:
                            Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: Does either side wish the jury to be
 3
    polled? Mr. Wiener?
 4
               MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT:
                          Mr. Taylor?
               MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT:
                          All right. Poll the jury, please.
 8
               THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, as I
 9
     call your number, if this is your verdict as presented and
10
     read, please answer yes. If it is not your verdict, please
11
     answer no.
12
               Juror Number 1?
13
               JUROR: Yes.
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 2?
14
15
               JUROR: Yes.
16
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 3?
17
               JUROR: Yes.
18
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 4?
19
               JUROR: Yes.
20
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 5?
21
               JUROR: Yes.
22
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 6?
23
               JUROR: Yes.
24
               THE CLERK: Juror Number 7?
25
               JUROR:
                       Yes.
```

#.3365

1 THE CLERK: Juror Number 8? 2 JUROR: Yes. 3 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, thank you. There is now one final phase -- I'm kidding, I'm 4 5 kidding, I'm kidding. You have now completed your service as jurors on 6 7 I want to thank you, again, for the time and 8 effort you took throughout this trial over the last several 9 weeks. 10 As I mentioned during the initial voir dire 11 process, what I think makes our justice system the best in 12 the world is having good people like you taking the time to 13 listen and deliberate and resolve disputes just like this. So we all certainly appreciate it. 14 15 You are now no longer under any orders about this 16 case. You have the absolute right to discuss the case or 17 It's entirely up to you. If you do decide to discuss 18 the case, I would simply submit to you that you abide by a 19 rule that I was taught when I was a young prosecutor known 20 as the L.A. Times rule. Just whatever you say, you should 21 feel comfortable with that being on the cover of the 22 L.A. Times. And if you're not, then it's probably not worth 23 saying out of respect for your other members of the jury. 24 Lawyers often appreciate any comments that you 25 might have to assist them in the future. Again, that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
entirely up to you. Sometimes the lawyers are waiting in
the hallway; sometimes they're not. It's entirely up to you
whether you want to talk to them at all.
          Again, I want to thank you for your service. You
are now excused. I'm going to come back into the jury room
just to say thank you personally again.
         Happy holidays to each and every one of you and
thank you.
          THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
     (The following was heard in open court outside the
     presence of the jury:)
          THE CLERK: Please be seated.
          THE COURT: All right. I am just going to go
thank the jurors in a moment.
          I assume, based on the litigious nature of -- the
litigious history, I should say, of the case, there will
probably be post-trial motions at some point. But unless
there's anything further that we need to discuss, we can
recess for the evening.
```

Is there anything you wish to say at this time, Mr. Wiener?

MR. WIENER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taylor?

MR. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you all. Good luck.

1 It's unfortunate that you had to go through this, 2 Mr. David, but I hope this gives you some closure having 3 your day in court. The only thing I would respectfully -- or add to 4 this is that there has been some mention during the course 5 6 of the trial about some other Websites that are out there. 7 I would trust that, given your business acumen and 8 your intellect, that you would refrain from -- what's the 9 right word? -- stooping low to engage in activity that would 10 cause more drama. But I wish you and your family the best. 11 Well done on both sides, the lawyers. So good 12 luck to you all and happy holidays. 13 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WIENER: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 MR. TAYLOR: 15 (Proceedings concluded at 6:09 P.M.) 16 --000--17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Date: May 5, 2019. /S/ CHIA MEI JUI _____ Chia Mei Jui, CSR No. 3287

DEFENDANT CHARIF KAZAL: [1] 60/5

JUROR: [19] 60/18 70/10 70/12 70/17 70/19 70/21 70/23 70/25 71/2 71/4 71/6 107/12 107/14 107/16 107/18 107/20 107/22 107/24 108/1

MR. GEBELIN: [1]

MR. TAYLOR: [42] 4/12 20/13 48/8 48/10 48/18 48/21 51/5 51/17 52/15 52/18 52/21 52/25 53/3 53/11 53/18 54/2 54/5 55/22 57/3 57/7 57/22 58/11 59/18 59/22 60/3 70/3 71/20 72/1 72/4 80/13 94/2 94/5 94/17 95/8 96/8 96/16 96/21 100/12 105/5 107/5 109/23 110/13 **MR. WIENER: [50]** 4/9 4/22 43/9 49/16 49/18 49/22 50/17 51/3 51/15 52/5 52/9 52/14 52/20 52/23 54/10 54/20 55/18 56/23 57/12 58/15 58/19 58/23 70/1 71/16 71/24 73/21 75/15 79/10 79/13 79/18 81/13 85/25 88/23 94/8 94/15 95/5

109/21 110/12 MS. BANI-ESRAILI: **[1]** 4/15 THE BAILIFF: [2]

96/12 96/17 96/25

98/20 102/23 103/1

105/8 105/10 105/13

105/18 105/22 107/3

46/23 47/6 THE CLERK: [33] 4/5 46/22 46/24 47/7 47/11 51/19 57/18 60/7 60/21 70/5 70/11 70/16 70/18 70/20 70/22 70/24 71/1 71/3 71/5 104/16 104/20 105/23 106/8 107/7 107/13 107/15 107/17 107/19 107/21 107/23 107/25 109/8 109/11 THE COURT: [106] 4/17 20/11 43/7 46/17 47/12 48/9 48/13 48/20 48/22 49/17 49/21 49/24 50/18 51/4 51/6 51/16 51/18

51/21 52/8 52/12

52/16 53/2 53/10

54/12 54/25 56/4

53/15 53/24 54/4 54/7

56/25 57/4 57/10 57/13 57/20 58/8 58/13 58/17 58/21 59/8 59/21 59/24 60/9 60/14 60/19 69/25 70/2 70/4 70/13 71/7 71/10 71/17 71/21 71/25 72/3 72/5 72/8 73/23 74/3 75/16 77/9 77/13 79/9 79/11 79/15 79/17 80/14 81/11 81/17 83/18 83/20 88/21 89/14 94/4 94/7 94/11 94/16 94/23 95/2 95/4 95/6 95/9 95/12 96/10 96/13 96/18 96/22 97/3 100/11 102/20 102/24 104/8 104/21 105/6 105/9 105/12 105/14 105/20 106/2 107/1 107/4 107/6 108/2 109/12 109/22 109/24

THE JURORS: [2] 69/24 106/25 THE WITNESS: [5] 77/11 77/14 80/16 83/19 95/3

\$1 [3] 54/12 106/17

\$1 million [2] 106/17

106/20

106/20

\$1.2 [1] 88/8 \$1.2 million [1] 88/8 **\$100,000 [3]** 69/6 69/10 100/19 **\$15 [1]** 84/14 **\$15 million [1]** 84/14 **\$15,000,000** [1] 99/8 **\$150,000 [1]** 17/23 **\$180 [1]** 10/23 **\$180 million [1]** 10/23 **18 [2]** 82/22 99/7 **\$2,552,847.02 [1]** 89/1 **\$20 [2]** 82/7 99/9 **\$20** million [2] 82/7 99/9 **\$200 [27]** 32/5 63/4 63/11 63/14 63/21 63/25 64/7 64/11 64/18 64/21 65/3 65/6 65/13 65/17 65/24 66/3 66/10 66/13 66/20 66/24 67/6 67/10 67/17 67/21 68/3 68/7 68/14 **\$30 [5]** 84/19 84/23 92/12 92/23 93/14 **\$30 million [4]** 84/19 84/23 92/23 93/14 **\$6 [1]** 81/8 **\$6 million [1]** 81/8 **\$666,666.66 [2]** 11/18 46/2

'17 [1] 91/16

--oOo [1] 110/16 -and [1] 2/6

001742 [1] 76/3 **08** [1] 86/6

1.09 [1] 82/18 10 [3] 50/8 62/24 69/14 100 [4] 1/9 60/25 82/5 106/12 **10:27 [1]** 47/11 **10:36 [1]** 51/21 **11 [6]** 1/15 3/2 4/1 48/4 69/17 106/21 11:30 which [1] 51/23 **11:40 [1]** 51/21 **11:51 [1]** 57/20 **11th** [1] 69/20 **12:04 [1]** 57/20 **12:08 [1]** 60/9 **13 [1]** 61/10 **14 [1]** 40/18 **15 [18]** 9/16 36/3 48/8

48/23 50/11 52/16 52/18 52/18 52/20 54/23 55/2 55/3 55/11 55/18 56/6 57/6 63/2 95/21

15,000 [1] 7/24 **16 [3]** 48/5 50/1 55/3 **17 [4]** 40/18 48/25 77/6 77/9

17-0871-AB [2] 1/7 4/6 **17-817 [1]** 106/13

17-871-AB [1] 61/1 **1734 [1]** 76/9 **1742 [2]** 75/23 77/5

1880 [1] 2/13

2.5 [1] 88/25 2.5 million [1] 87/15 20 [3] 36/3 84/23 97/7 **2000 [3]** 84/4 85/6 91/15 **2005** [1] 86/24 201-7600 [1] 2/14 **2010 [5]** 42/12 87/13 89/22 90/15 91/15 **2011 [2]** 88/15 92/16 **2013 [9]** 22/18 22/25 23/21 24/23 25/14 26/14 26/17 44/1 87/25 **2014 [3]** 15/1 15/2 44/1 **2015 [4]** 14/16 14/20 14/23 44/6 **2016 [18]** 7/10 7/22

10/4 11/8 11/14 13/11

14/16 18/3 18/17

26/21 31/22 33/6 36/8 36/8 61/18 66/1 76/24 91/16 **2017 [9]** 7/9 16/7 25/24 25/25 26/19 26/23 83/5 83/9 91/17 **2018 [5]** 1/15 3/2 4/1 69/20 106/21 **2019 [1]** 111/10 **21 [1]** 49/3 **21 million [1]** 84/16 21.5 million [2] 83/4 83/17 **22 [3]** 49/8 76/23 83/9 23 [5] 14/15 49/11 50/18 50/19 50/22 **24** [**5**] 14/15 52/11 54/22 76/9 76/10 25 [1] 52/12

2584 [2] 61/19 66/11

28235 [2] 86/25 87/6

26th [1] 88/15

28 [2] 29/8 111/4

29 [1] 30/5

30 [2] 75/14 75/20 **30,000 [1]** 8/1 **300 [1]** 101/15 3072 [1] 2/9 **30th [1]** 11/14 **31 [1]** 16/10 **310 [2]** 2/9 2/14 **32 [1]** 16/10 **3287 [2]** 1/23 111/15 **33 [2]** 8/15 14/4 341-3072 [1] 2/9 **35 [1]** 29/8 350 [1] 1/24 **36 [5]** 26/6 30/4 49/16 49/25 50/17 **39 [2]** 87/21 87/23 **3:48 [1]** 60/9

43 [1] 50/3 **4311 [1]** 1/24 **44 [1]** 50/11 **487-5607 [1]** 2/5 **49 [4]** 79/14 79/17 94/15 95/1 **4:56 [1]** 104/18 **4:57 [1]** 105/25 4th [1] 86/24

50 [4] 81/16 81/19 94/15 95/1 **51 [3]** 83/11 94/15 95/1 **52 [2]** 94/15 95/1 **520 [1]** 2/8 **53 [2]** 94/15 95/1 **54 [2]** 94/15 95/1 5607 [1] 2/5

6.4 million [1] 81/24 **609 [1]** 2/4

673 [1] 79/20 **6:02 [1]** 105/25 **6:09 [1]** 110/15 **6:30 or [1]** 104/24

70 [2] 84/12 84/12 **714 [1]** 2/13 **753 [1]** 111/3

7600 [1] 2/14

80 percent [3] 84/2 84/6 84/11 **817 [1]** 106/13 **8383 [1]** 2/8

90012 [1] 1/24 90067 [1] 2/13 **90211 [1]** 2/8 **925 [1]** 2/5 94582 [1] 2/4 **9:25 [2]** 1/16 4/2

AB [3] 1/7 4/6 61/1 abate [1] 42/2 abated [1] 99/19 abide [1] 108/18 abiding [1] 103/11 ability [4] 6/21 29/22 73/17 98/19 able [2] 76/16 91/23 ABN [2] 79/20 79/21 ABN 77 920 338 673 **[1]** 79/20 absence [1] 8/23 **absolute [1]** 108/16 **absolutely [1]** 43/18 abundant [1] 41/3 accident [3] 62/6 62/13 62/20 accidental [1] 43/12 according [3] 9/16 14/17 80/12 account [3] 88/11 88/19 89/19 accounts [2] 7/21 7/21 accurately [1] 85/12 accuse [1] 7/16 acknowledge [1] 41/7 acknowledged [3] 26/10 35/3 38/24 acknowledges [3] 22/16 23/20 24/21 acquire [1] 5/20 acquired [1] 86/25 acronym [3] 79/22 85/4 90/5 act [3] 15/19 17/18 22/12 acted [4] 22/7 73/8 98/8 102/2 acting [1] 34/7 action [5] 39/6 61/2

74/19 96/2 96/12

actionable [1] 32/20

actions [5] 8/18 8/21 8/23 11/12 12/24 activities [5] 8/6 10/3 11/4 11/23 12/12 activity [15] 26/12 26/12 28/5 29/19 29/23 30/10 30/12 30/21 30/24 31/3 40/14 40/16 41/4 100/23 110/9 acts [1] 17/24 actual [4] 23/1 24/15 92/23 101/11 acumen [1] 110/7 **ADAM [119] Adam Kazal [2]** 4/15 106/12 Adam Kazal's [1] 17/6 Adam's [2] 36/14 39/15 adamkazal.com [2] 36/9 36/24 add [4] 56/4 56/24 57/4 110/4 addition [1] 41/25 **additional** [1] 55/12 addressed [1] 102/1 adequate [1] 55/24 administered [1] 16/24 administrator [1] 91/10 admission [1] 43/11 admit [2] 3/11 44/18 admits [1] 15/6 admitted [5] 3/11 19/17 43/13 94/25 95/1 advance [1] 94/21 advantage [2] 73/6 advised [1] 25/22 **AED [2]** 90/1 90/4 **affable [1]** 34/17 **affairs [1]** 91/21 **after [14]** 7/8 7/10 10/4 12/20 16/6 17/12 20/19 20/19 23/22 27/4 31/24 36/7 56/24 103/4 afternoon [3] 58/5 60/15 71/23 afterwards [4] 11/24 12/20 16/16 95/25 again [37] 6/22 10/18 11/19 11/20 12/23 13/20 18/6 18/19 18/23 19/13 24/7 24/17 25/13 26/22 29/3 29/20 29/24 31/4 31/10 32/6 33/19 38/24 42/2 44/13 46/13 49/8 58/3 58/7 70/16 100/25 101/19 102/8 106/8 108/7 108/25 109/4 109/6 again and [1] 58/7

```
against [28] 5/5 5/21
6/1 6/3 10/14 13/1
19/2 27/10 27/11
27/12 27/16 32/20
33/5 42/19 44/25
46/16 68/16 68/19
68/22 68/25 69/4 69/8
75/11 78/3 100/2
100/20 106/16 106/19
age [1] 39/8
aged [1] 40/22
aggrieved [1] 27/3
ago [5] 5/15 89/23
90/20 97/7 101/3
agree [3] 43/1 54/11
56/3
agreed [5] 22/25 47/4
47/5 93/19 96/18
agreement [2] 33/24
55/15
ahead [3] 29/1 41/19
74/6
air [1] 35/18
aka [1] 86/3
al [1] 4/7
alarm [1] 40/24
alias [1] 17/2
allegation [1] 26/16
alleged [6] 22/1 26/12
28/18 41/4 42/3
100/23
allegedly [1] 62/2
alleges [1] 26/10
alleviate [1] 53/13
allow [1] 73/19
allowed [1] 34/25
allowing [1] 38/23
almost [3] 7/11 19/5
89/23
alone [1] 26/25
along [1] 49/14
already [4] 19/5 32/9
46/7 59/20
altogether [1] 26/1
always [2] 38/9 93/17
Amended [2] 26/10
26/11
Amendment [7] 10/12 30/1 40/13
10/13 10/14 18/24
18/25 40/15 46/1
American [5] 25/7
25/9 31/17 90/9 90/12
Americans [1] 25/8
among [6] 35/16
35/24 36/3 36/8 73/1
97/24
amount [33] 20/9
53/24 55/21 56/24
57/1 57/4 57/7 62/7
62/14 62/21 62/24
68/24 69/3 69/7 69/10
72/11 72/20 72/24
73/10 73/12 73/15
85/15 89/7 97/12
97/17 97/21 98/11
98/13 98/16 106/15
106/17 106/18 106/20
amounts [1] 90/11
```

```
ANDRES[710] 1/3
ANGELES [8] 1/17
1/24 2/13 4/1 11/4
33/8 76/17 101/24
another [5] 30/9 80/19
80/19 80/20 81/12
answer [11] 54/14
54/19 54/19 55/17
56/15 56/19 70/8 70/9
70/14 107/10 107/11
answered [1] 72/13
answers [3] 55/7 57/9
72/10
Anthony [2] 14/18
44/5
anyway [1] 28/22
apart [2] 32/10 38/4
apologies [1] 102/25
apologize [4] 49/24
52/10 102/24 105/14
apologized [1] 103/14
apology [1] 13/21
apparently [1] 39/5
appear [3] 24/19
28/21 35/6
appearance [3] 33/2
33/7 33/12
appearances [2] 2/1
4/9
appeared [10] 6/15
8/20 8/22 23/18 28/9
 34/10 35/5 35/9 36/8
39/2
appears [2] 9/10
58/25
applauding [1] 35/19
applicable [29] 50/8
63/6 63/9 63/16 63/19
64/2 64/5 64/13 64/16
64/23 65/1 65/8 65/11
65/19 65/22 66/5 66/8
66/15 66/18 67/1 67/4
67/12 67/15 67/23
68/1 68/9 68/12 95/17
101/22
applies [1] 21/1
apply [4] 18/25 21/18
appointment [1] 60/2
appreciate [2] 108/14
108/24
approach [2] 75/16
95/10
approached [2] 35/14
35/18
appropriate [5] 15/18
20/8 73/15 98/16
102/19
approximate [1] 89/6
approximately [3]
9/16 84/10 89/24
April [1] 7/10
April 2016 [1] 7/10
Arab [1] 5/22
area [4] 78/20 101/14
101/16 101/24
areas [1] 40/19
aren't [4] 5/16 25/8
```

22/5 43/12 arguments [3] 4/21 95/20 96/24 armed [1] 12/22 **arms [1]** 35/18 around [4] 13/13 13/16 78/17 87/12 arrange [1] 101/2 arranged [4] 33/14 33/16 36/7 38/2 arranges [1] 37/18 arrests [1] 34/23 article [6] 45/9 83/6 83/9 83/16 87/24 88/2 articles [9] 6/15 6/23 6/25 23/17 24/3 45/2 45/4 45/6 45/7 asked [1] 12/7 asking [1] 36/14 asks [2] 37/20 96/6 aspects [2] 23/8 29/4 **assaulted** [1] 13/10 assert [1] 50/3 asserted [6] 14/9 15/4 27/9 32/22 39/2 53/5 asserting [1] 15/7 assertion [1] 15/6 assess [1] 53/23 assessed [1] 100/19 assets [14] 58/20 59/6 78/13 78/15 78/16 78/17 78/19 84/23 91/3 91/4 92/7 92/10 92/23 102/12 assigned [1] 79/24 assist [3] 77/18 77/23 108/25 **assistance** [1] 83/13 assistant [1] 24/11 assisted [1] 36/11 associates [1] 36/25 assume [2] 82/8 109/15 assumed [2] 25/10 31/19 assure [1] 15/12 attached [1] 87/2 attack [1] 34/12 attacks [1] 18/19 attempt [2] 5/12 8/21 attempted [5] 5/20 11/3 13/22 33/10 102/6 attempting [1] 99/20 attempts [3] 10/6 16/19 43/15 attended [1] 9/25 attention [3] 20/16 40/17 43/7 attitude [1] 14/6 attributed [1] 22/13 Audi [2] 61/10 63/2 Audi-r8-dec-13 [1] 61/10

44/3 101/4 Audi-r8-dec-13-15 [1] argue [5] 55/7 71/19 63/2 August [2] 83/9 84/4 71/22 72/2 95/23 argument [3] 21/12 August 22 [1] 83/9 Australia [28] 6/2 7/23 8/6 8/7 8/13 8/16 10/4 13/24 19/5 19/6 19/7 19/8 19/19 36/14 39/8 39/10 46/8 46/9 58/25 59/7 75/8 79/25 80/8 81/4 82/22 85/4 99/22 103/24 **Australia's [1]** 46/14 **Australian [8]** 79/22 81/2 83/20 83/24 85/9 91/21 92/8 93/4 authenticity [1] 94/20 **Authority [1]** 92/20 **authorized [1]** 16/11 available [8] 25/12 31/20 78/6 84/24 97/10 103/24 104/3 104/6 average [1] 84/1 avoid [3] 10/7 44/14 104/3 award [32] 17/22 20/8 20/9 32/3 53/14 53/22 54/14 54/25 55/5 56/12 68/25 69/4 69/8 72/21 72/22 73/14 73/16 74/25 78/7 97/13 97/18 97/19 98/15 98/17 98/18 100/3 100/10 100/22 102/9 102/19 106/16 106/19 awarded [3] 53/23 72/11 75/10 aware [9] 17/9 27/2 79/4 80/5 82/21 82/25 85/11 85/17 85/20 AWT [4] 79/2 85/1 85/3 85/12 В 35/10 42/19 50/15 54/22 55/10 55/25 57/17 58/10 90/15

back [21] 24/23 25/14 50/23 51/9 52/7 53/18 92/16 104/11 104/15 105/1 105/1 109/5 bad [2] 19/24 20/1 Badirian [1] 105/13 bailiff [3] 46/20 49/1 104/10 balance [1] 88/25 balanced [1] 21/9 BANI [2] 2/12 4/17 BANI-ESRAILI [2] 2/12 4/17 bank [2] 88/11 88/15 **bankrupt** [**7**] 45/17 75/7 75/9 91/12 92/1 92/9 102/12 bankruptcy [3] 91/20 92/3 92/4

В	BENJAMIN [2] 2/12	BUR: 33786/6	Case Number CV [1]	64/8 64/10 64/
banners [2] 28/8	4/14	burden [9] 21/1 21/2	106/13	64/19 64/20 64
34/24	Benjamin Taylor [1]	21/15 29/16 30/18	cases [2] 17/20 21/6	65/4 65/5 65/6
bar [7] 78/25 79/1	4/14	32/18 40/20 49/14	cash [4] 40/6 88/7	65/16 65/17 66
79/1 82/5 83/3 83/3	Bentley's [2] 79/1	49/15	88/9 93/14	66/3 66/11 66/
83/14	83/3 best [5] 55/10 87/18	Burlington [1] 86/5	catch [1] 58/4	66/13 66/22 66 66/24 67/8 67/
barely [1] 36/4	87/20 108/11 110/10	business [21] 5/14 5/15 5/19 7/8 8/3	cause [1] 110/10 caused [8] 12/15	67/19 67/20 67
Barkley's [2] 79/1	between [6] 33/24	36/22 37/10 38/18	18/19 18/20 42/19	68/4 68/6 68/7
83/3	45/15 73/10 83/23	39/12 58/4 76/24	73/2 97/25 99/2	69/1 69/11 82/
parred [2] 26/4 27/7	84/12 98/10	78/17 79/22 80/1 81/7	101/10	84/18 84/22 8
oars [1] 27/1 oased [1 2] 14/22	BEVERLY [1] 2/8	81/10 82/6 82/7 91/9	caveat [1] 56/4	106/11
20/10 22/1 26/20	beyond [5] 8/13 19/14	99/6 110/7	Cayman [1] 5/23	Charif Kazal [1
32/23 39/3 55/5 72/10	21/4 22/18 56/15	businesses [6] 78/22	Cayman Islands [1]	6/8 8/7 16/13
92/23 95/21 102/16	billed [1] 34/1	79/5 80/12 80/24 82/2	5/23	39/10 62/3 62/
109/15	bills [1] 45/21	99/8	cc'd [1] 38/21	69/1 69/11 82/
oasic [1] 14/10	binder [6] 16/10 38/11 75/14 75/15 75/17	buy [1] 84/19	CCRR [1] 1/23	106/11
pasically [1] 32/23	87/21	С	cease [2] 38/15 42/1 ceased [1] 13/20	Charif Kazal's 6/23 17/5
Bates [1] 75/22	binders [1] 75/18	cafe [5] 80/17 80/21	cell [1] 22/19	Charif's [1] 6/
Bates stamped [1]	BIROTTE [1] 1/3	81/6 81/15 81/23	CENTRAL [4] 1/2	chart [1] 62/1
75/22	bit [3] 22/5 53/19	cafes [4] 78/18 78/25	60/23 76/24 106/10	check [1] 104
Beach [1] 33/9 Dear [4] 21/1 21/15	83/25	81/3 82/6	Central Business [1]	CHIA [3] 1/23
25/2 25/4	blame [5] 5/25 6/14	calendar [1] 89/11	76/24	111/15
pears [3] 9/12 13/9	16/19 27/17 33/19	CALIFORNIA [20] 1/2	Central District [2]	child [1] 10/1
98/25	blaming [2] 9/7 33/11	1/17 1/24 2/4 2/8 2/13	60/23 106/10	child's [1] 12/
peats [1] 40/9	blanks [1] 95/15	4/1 8/25 9/13 9/21	cents [1] 84/12	children [2] 1
pecame [1] 23/24	blatant [1] 8/10	10/3 11/13 13/1 13/18 18/15 18/18 60/23	CENTURY [1] 2/13	76/15
ecome [1] 52/12	block [1] 38/22 blocked [1] 38/6	99/3 103/10 106/10	CEO [3] 61/21 66/22 101/23	children's [3] 99/17 101/14
pefore [9] 8/22 23/24	bold [1] 12/9	call [9] 47/16 70/7	certain [1] 21/14	chocolate [5]
32/5 71/24 76/14 79/8	Bondy [1] 86/19	71/15 71/17 71/19	certainly [8] 25/6	81/6 81/15 81/
83/3 92/5 100/5	born [1] 39/7	73/22 95/5 100/21	34/13 36/1 41/22	Chocolates [1
oegan [3] 7/7 26/13 99/1	both [19] 4/24 7/7 9/1	107/9	44/20 45/22 80/7	chose [1] 38/7
pegin [2] 100/25	9/23 12/17 13/3 17/13	called [14] 15/5 15/18	108/14	circa [3] 84/6
104/11	18/21 20/23 21/20	16/2 16/5 21/3 29/9	certainty [1] 24/25	91/15
peginning [3] 7/10	27/10 35/2 43/5 52/2	33/15 36/8 78/11 79/1	CERTIFICATE [1]	Circuit [1] 56/
7/22 14/20	57/15 59/14 95/20	80/20 82/5 82/9 85/8	111/1	Circular [3] 8
oegins [2] 52/24	96/15 110/11 BOULEVARD [1] 2/8	calling [2] 4/6 10/23 calls [2] 37/25 38/1	certificates [1] 14/18	
75/25	braving [1] 4/25	calm [1] 34/17	certification [3] 86/2 86/7 86/10	circulated [1] circumstance
pehalf [4] 4/14 34/7	break [2] 22/14 34/13	came [4] 36/4 39/8	certify [1] 111/3	102/18
34/7 51/2	breakdown [1] 33/4	45/20 58/2	challenges [1] 92/6	circumvent [1]
pehind [2] 22/17	breaks [1] 40/6	camera [1] 33/17	change [6] 10/7 49/7	citizen [3] 19/
55/14 peings [1] 37/10	briber [1] 38/1	cameras [1] 12/22	50/12 52/14 58/7	31/18
Belgian [4] 80/25 81/1	bribery [1] 37/2	campaign [18] 5/4	99/23	citizens [2] 10
81/15 81/23	Bridge [3] 87/1 87/7	7/23 9/8 9/13 9/21	changed [5] 12/21	18/25
pelief [1] 9/19	93/3	10/10 11/2 11/20	48/24 48/25 58/2 58/2	civil [1] 8/8
pelieve [27] 9/20	briefly [6] 5/16 40/17	11/22 11/25 12/13	changes [1] 50/21	civilized [1] 2
20/18 20/22 22/24	74/12 80/23 87/16 88/20	15/12 20/9 44/17 44/25 45/15 46/16	channel [2] 61/16	claim [22] 21/
26/3 26/24 27/7 31/5	bring [4] 51/9 57/16	98/25	65/14 channels [1] 5/11	26/3 27/1 27/6 27/15 32/7 32
31/11 32/6 35/20	60/12 104/25	capacity [1] 93/5	chanting [2] 34/16	32/11 32/22 3
40/20 42/25 48/25	bringing [1] 18/17	Capital [3] 5/19 82/15	35/11	42/23 43/16 4
52/6 55/22 74/21	brother [5] 9/8 28/25	93/3	charged [1] 24/1	53/4 61/5 68/1
74/24 75/6 86/9 91/4 91/7 92/13 95/17	76/1 77/18 77/23	Capital's [1] 84/19	CHARIF [83] 1/8 4/7	68/21 100/16
101/19 102/1 102/7	brothers [15] 5/5 9/18	car [3] 35/17 61/25	4/14 6/8 6/23 7/11 8/7	claimed [1] 13
pelieved [1] 45/9	36/20 36/21 39/7	68/4	9/6 9/16 9/20 11/21	claiming [4] 5
pelligerent [2] 14/5	43/24 45/16 74/10	care [5] 10/8 10/8	12/11 16/13 17/5	13/24 19/23
103/15	75/11 75/13 89/4 89/7	23/7 99/21 99/23	27/11 28/1 28/25	claims [12] 16
Below [1] 62/1	91/7 91/9 93/21	carefully [2] 4/25	28/25 29/5 33/1 34/5	20/23 21/2 21/
eneficiaries [1]	brought [2] 36/18	17/16 carey [2] 61/23 67/18	38/10 39/10 39/14	21/22 22/14 2
104/2	99/4 Brown [5] 9/14 12/2	Carla [1] 105/12	42/5 43/13 43/24 44/22 45/8 45/22	37/11 42/24 4: 43/25
peneficiary [6] 78/9	12/3 34/5 45/21	carried [2] 10/10	45/24 60/24 62/3 62/5	cleaned [1] 43
80/5 80/9 90/24 91/1	Buckley's [1] 83/14	10/17	62/8 63/2 63/3 63/4	cleanest [1] 5
103/21	building [1] 47/17	carry [1] 13/15	63/12 63/13 63/14	cleans [1] 47/

benefit [1] 29/21

benefited [1] 29/18

64/8 64/10 64/11 64/19 64/20 64/21 65/4 65/5 65/6 65/14 65/16 65/17 66/1 66/2 66/3 66/11 66/12 66/13 66/22 66/23 66/24 67/8 67/9 67/10 67/19 67/20 67/21 68/4 68/6 68/7 68/16 69/1 69/11 82/14 84/18 84/22 85/5 94/2 Charif Kazal [14] 4/14 6/8 8/7 16/13 33/1 39/10 62/3 62/5 62/8 69/1 69/11 82/14 94/2 106/11 Charif Kazal's [2] 6/23 17/5 **Charif's [1]** 6/12 chart [1] 62/1 check [1] 104/14 CHIA [3] 1/23 111/14 111/15 child [1] 10/1 **child's [1]** 12/19 children [2] 18/22 76/15 **children's [3]** 10/19 99/17 101/14 **chocolate** [5] 78/25 81/6 81/15 81/23 82/6 Chocolates [1] 81/1 chose [1] 38/7 circa [3] 84/6 84/11 91/15 **Circuit [1]** 56/16 Circular [3] 80/20 83/1 83/10 **circulated** [1] 28/10 circumstances [1] 102/18 circumvent [1] 16/4 citizen [3] 19/3 25/6 31/18 citizens [2] 10/12 18/25 civil [1] 8/8 civilized [1] 20/6 claim [22] 21/11 21/25 26/3 27/1 27/6 27/9 27/15 32/7 32/11 32/11 32/22 33/4 39/2 42/23 43/16 49/13 53/4 61/5 68/15 68/18 68/21 100/16 claimed [1] 12/2 **claiming [4]** 5/13 9/7 13/24 19/23 claims [12] 16/17 20/23 21/2 21/7 21/20 21/22 22/14 27/10 37/11 42/24 43/5 43/25 cleaned [1] 47/25 cleanest [1] 55/17 cleans [1] 47/25 clear [14] 12/10 12/25

clear... [12] 16/3 22/9 23/2 24/4 24/7 31/5 31/14 34/8 42/2 42/12 43/23 56/2 clearing [2] 44/19 44/21 clearly [5] 9/18 41/12 42/1 44/2 45/15 clerk [4] 49/2 49/2 60/21 106/8 clippers [2] 61/13 close [6] 9/18 9/25 35/15 45/21 93/5 105/3 closely [1] 26/7 closer [1] 99/9 closing [10] 4/21 21/12 22/5 32/12 43/12 50/24 55/2 55/11 55/18 95/20 closure [1] 110/2 **clumsy [1]** 10/6 cmjui.csr [1] 1/25 coast [2] 61/16 65/14 Code [1] 111/4 coincidence [2] 37/13 99/18 collectively [2] 82/3 Columbus [2] 87/1 87/7 comes [1] 26/8 comfortable [3] 93/1 93/4 108/21 coming [2] 53/9 103/10 comments [1] 108/24 commission [3] 6/1 6/3 6/4 commit [2] 13/1 16/4 commitment [1] 5/18 commitments [2] 58/4 committed [6] 7/17 19/2 22/12 62/5 62/12 62/19 common [2] 24/13 38/12 communicate [1] 47/2 communications [1] 41/22 company [14] 16/1 24/10 33/15 33/18 37/10 81/1 81/16 82/9 82/11 85/5 85/18 85/20 91/10 93/19 compensatory [3] 68/24 69/3 69/7 complaint [5] 5/22 18/4 26/11 26/11 39/2 complaints [1] 5/21 complete [1] 97/7 **completed** [1] 108/6 comply [3] 16/2 16/4 constitutes [2] 15/9 complying [1] 44/14

comprised [1] 80/12 concern [1] 82/6 concerned [3] 39/4 56/22 103/7 concerning [1] 18/4 **conclude** [1] 93/2 concluded [1] 110/15 **conclusion** [1] 18/12 **condition [4]** 73/12 75/3 98/12 102/16 condone [1] 20/4 conduct [49] 5/13 6/2 6/9 10/11 11/6 12/15 12/18 13/7 13/21 17/25 18/2 18/23 19/6 19/10 19/22 20/3 32/24 40/23 41/2 42/2 42/3 45/11 45/14 69/12 69/14 69/17 72/16 72/17 72/25 73/1 73/2 73/7 73/13 77/3 97/15 97/16 97/23 97/24 97/25 98/6 98/14 98/23 99/12 99/13 100/5 101/9 101/25 103/3 103/8 conducted [5] 5/4 11/21 17/21 46/15 conducting [2] 13/11 19/17 **Conference** [1] 111/8 confiscate [1] 34/24 conformance [1] 111/7 confusion [3] 48/2 53/7 53/13 conglomerate [1] 6/21 conjecture [1] 55/6 conjunction [1] 9/19 connection [2] 5/6 29/21 consent [3] 14/3 37/24 42/7 consented [2] 13/25 16/11 consequences [1] 103/7 consider [11] 10/25 22/14 32/17 33/5 45/14 54/24 72/23 73/1 73/9 97/19 97/24 considerable [1] 76/16 consideration [1] 97/8 considered [1] 39/14 considering [2] 5/1 20/19 considers [1] 104/4 consist [1] 14/15 consistent [1] 83/8 constituent [1] 14/11 **constitute** [1] 15/13 constituted [1] 18/2

17#25372 consulting [1] 85/10 contact [7] 23/20 24/15 24/15 27/22 33/23 34/3 47/19 contempt [13] 8/8 8/10 8/14 8/24 14/2 19/6 19/11 19/15 46/10 75/8 100/6 103/18 103/19 contemptuous [1] 77/3 content [5] 17/15 23/9 28/14 29/5 43/13 context [1] 14/2 continue [3] 11/15 35/1 38/24 continued [5] 7/8 11/7 16/7 17/11 18/7 continuing [2] 8/24 46/11 continuously [1] 18/13 contracted [1] 12/22 **contracts** [1] 9/10 contradicted [1] 9/9 contrary [4] 6/12 6/22 33/13 38/3 contributed [3] 17/13 30/11 31/2 contributorily [1] 30/16 contributory [3] 28/18 30/5 31/8 control [5] 6/4 6/21 28/3 29/23 46/10 convenient [1] 47/1 conversation [2] 22/22 22/24 convinced [1] 56/23 coordinate [1] 105/11 coordinated [2] 17/16 creating [1] 37/15 45/15 copied [5] 7/20 14/25 15/15 25/14 28/24 copy [10] 15/10 17/7 47/22 47/24 50/23 72/14 73/18 86/9 96/5 97/9 copying [1] 14/11 copyright [41] 14/8 14/9 14/11 14/14 14/17 15/19 16/3 16/17 17/17 17/18 17/20 17/25 18/2 21/23 21/25 25/5 25/7 25/9 25/23 26/3 27/1 27/9 27/15 28/4 28/19 29/11 29/15 30/8 30/17 31/7 32/7 32/10 49/13 53/6 53/8 54/17 55/16 61/5 62/5 62/12 62/19 copyrighted [11] 10/20 15/16 15/24 17/10 17/11 17/19

22/2 25/3 26/17 30/15

44/15

copyrights [8] 5/6 15/5 18/5 20/11 31/17 31/25 46/15 61/8 corner [1] 87/4 corporate [3] 10/22 79/25 81/3 corrected [1] 47/22 **corrupt [3]** 6/9 7/3 44/23 **Corruption [2]** 6/1 6/3 **costly [1]** 76/17 costs [1] 75/11 counsel [19] 2/1 4/8 4/22 20/12 20/25 22/6 34/4 39/19 44/10 44/10 46/18 52/19 56/3 71/11 88/22 95/10 101/13 102/21 104/9 counsel's [2] 21/12 35/8 country [1] 99/2 County [1] 86/5 couple [2] 40/19 47/13 course [9] 21/4 23/22 23/24 32/25 33/1 59/19 84/1 102/13 110/5 Court's [3] 8/11 8/18 8/24 courts [1] 42/14 **cover [2]** 50/24 108/21 create [3] 22/20 23/1 24/5 created [8] 24/7 24/18 24/23 26/14 28/8 36/10 37/7 43/16 creates [3] 36/19 36/24 37/23 creation [3] 22/17 27/20 28/12 **credible [5]** 13/8 35/22 41/18 41/20 41/21 creditors [1] 91/22 **crime [1]** 37/1 crimes [1] 7/17 criminal [13] 5/21 6/11 8/14 19/12 19/13 19/15 20/3 21/6 38/1 46/6 46/7 46/12 103/19 criminally [1] 24/1 criminals [1] 8/4 **CROSS [3]** 3/7 89/15 89/16 **CROSS-EXAMINATIO N [3]** 3/7 89/15 89/16 Crowds [1] 33/15 CSR [2] 1/23 111/15 CTO [1] 36/11 currency [5] 84/8 89/25 90/6 90/7 90/13 **current [1]** 79/19 currently [1] 83/25

106/13 **Dabab [19]** 16/20 16/20 22/25 22/25 23/14 24/7 24/11 24/17 25/14 25/16 25/17 25/20 25/23 27/23 29/1 29/1 31/21 43/16 43/16 Dabad's [1] 43/18 **Dad [1]** 36/14 daily [2] 7/12 11/24 damage [6] 49/4 49/5 49/5 78/6 98/15 100/10 damages [114] dangerous [1] 103/15 **Darling [1]** 80/17 data [1] 23/10 date [2] 89/21 111/10 dated [3] 69/20 87/25 106/21 dated 2013 [1] 87/25 dates [1] 89/22 david [117] David's [14] 7/25 9/23 10/1 10/18 10/20 13/4 13/9 23/23 33/3 33/8 35/7 35/13 42/18 98/11 Davids [7] 12/21 13/1 35/3 41/12 99/1 103/6 103/14 day [5] 1/18 35/5 35/9 42/11 110/3 days [4] 20/16 27/13 59/21 102/13 deal [1] 75/9 dealings [2] 5/14 5/15 deals [1] 7/3 death [1] 40/9 debtor [1] 92/10 dec [2] 61/10 63/2 decade [1] 18/14 decades [1] 39/9 deceit [3] 73/8 98/9 102/2 **DECEMBER [6]** 1/15 3/2 4/1 26/21 69/20 106/21 December 11 [1] 106/21 December 11th [1] 69/20 decide [6] 53/17 72/11 72/22 97/12 97/18 108/17 deciding [3] 71/11 72/25 97/23 decision [4] 50/13 59/9 92/25 104/25 decisions [1] 24/18 declared [2] 92/4 92/7 **decorated** [1] 10/19

deed [1] 87/1

defamatory [1] 46/11

defend [2] 8/21 100/4 defendant [22] 30/7 30/20 30/25 32/24 40/22 42/1 42/3 42/4 49/6 72/24 73/3 73/5 73/8 73/16 97/20 98/1 98/4 98/8 98/17 102/2 102/3 102/5 defendant's [9] 13/7 72/25 73/1 73/17 97/22 97/23 98/6 98/12 98/19 defendants [61] 1/10 2/10 4/14 7/22 10/12 10/17 11/6 11/23 52/1 12/23 12/25 13/20 14/2 14/25 15/4 16/12 17/7 17/16 18/10 18/17 19/1 19/23 20/23 21/10 21/11 21/20 22/7 22/15 26/13 26/25 27/8 27/11 27/24 29/14 29/18 29/22 30/14 30/16 31/12 32/8 32/21 33/1 33/5 33/20 40/14 40/22 41/5 43/5 43/20 44/11 45/5 45/10 46/15 50/3 60/25 72/12 95/16 99/10 99/19 99/25 101/2 106/12 defendants' [9] 12/15 14/6 15/2 18/23 20/10 44/10 73/7 73/12 101/25 defense [9] 4/17 14/22 14/24 15/3 15/5 15/6 15/14 50/3 75/13 defiance [1] 18/8 defied [1] 19/20 definitely [1] 42/21 definition [2] 41/1 92/11 definitively [1] 42/1 defraud [1] 88/4 deleting [1] 49/2 deliberate [3] 20/7 104/24 108/13 deliberately [1] 25/14 deliberating [1] 100/14 deliberation [3] 47/14 97/10 104/15 deliberations [2] 46/21 104/12 delivered [1] 99/21 demand [3] 11/17 33/15 38/17 demanded [1] 42/1 88/3 demands [3] 11/17 42/2 46/1 deny [1] 10/9

department [2] 11/5

Depending [1] 84/17

deposited [1] 78/1

93/18

disputes [2] 36/22

e-mail [1] 33/22

deposition [3] 16/15 27/25 45/18 deputy [3] 47/18 51/8 57/16 **derogatory** [1] 15/11 describe [2] 80/23 87/16 designed [4] 9/5 24/8 99/1 99/14 desist [1] 38/15 desperate [1] 7/18 despicable [1] 7/17 details [2] 12/7 79/19 deter [1] 74/25 determination [1] determine [7] 29/14 30/15 53/24 55/4 56/18 56/20 56/21 determining [5] 54/25 72/19 72/24 97/17 97/20 **Deterrence** [1] 103/3 **deterrent** [1] 101/5 developed [1] 78/21 development [2] 27/20 85/15 developments [1] 78/20 **DIANE [2]** 2/12 4/17 different [6] 13/12 13/14 22/10 22/12 53/17 53/20 difficult [1] 59/23 digital [3] 15/19 61/23 67/18 diminish [1] 13/22 dire [1] 108/10 direct [12] 3/6 23/20 25/16 26/2 27/22 28/17 31/2 31/7 37/12 40/17 50/15 74/7 directed [1] 25/23 directing [1] 29/5 direction [1] 19/4 directly [3] 5/16 29/10 29/18 dirham [1] 90/15 dirhams [1] 90/11 disabled [1] 25/25 disagreement [1] 57/16 disbursed [1] 77/25 discourage [4] 72/17 73/13 97/15 98/13 discovery [1] 59/5 discuss [4] 7/1 108/16 108/17 109/18 discussed [1] 21/21 discusses [2] 88/2 disobeyed [1] 8/18 disperse [1] 34/23 dispute [5] 12/14 14/13 17/6 36/18

10\%/13373 disputing [1] 104/2 disregard [3] 8/10 41/23 99/15 disregarded [2] 73/3 98/1 disrespect [1] 105/20 **distance** [1] 35/15 distress [3] 18/20 41/14 41/17 distresses [1] 13/5 distressing [2] 10/1 12/18 distribution [4] 61/17 65/25 82/14 82/17 **DISTRICT [9]** 1/1 1/2 1/3 60/22 60/23 76/24 78/19 106/9 106/10 **District of [1]** 78/19 **DIVISION [2]** 1/2 86/5 divulged [1] 59/3 **DMCA [2]** 25/19 31/22 **Docket [1]** 86/5 document [11] 75/22 79/7 79/7 80/15 81/9 81/21 85/7 85/11 87/4 88/21 88/25 documentation [1] 79/4 documents [3] 20/20 94/20 94/25 dollar [3] 84/6 90/15 90/18 dollars [11] 40/4 75/10 75/12 82/18 83/19 83/20 83/24 83/24 90/9 90/12 103/22 domain [4] 23/16 24/8 25/11 25/12 double [1] 104/14 double-check [1] 104/14 doubt [4] 8/14 18/1 19/14 21/5 down [10] 15/20 22/14 25/23 31/25 36/17 40/9 41/8 44/11 95/3 101/4 downtown [1] 47/17 drama [1] 110/10 draw [2] 21/17 59/10 driven [1] 76/25 drivers [1] 77/1 drove [2] 8/2 10/18 **Dubai** [1] 88/14 ducking [1] 55/20 duly [1] 74/2 during [17] 13/3 20/21 22/4 22/5 27/13 30/22 32/12 32/13 32/17 37/5 46/21 48/24 49/10 75/8 92/13 108/10 110/5 duty [1] 52/24

e-mails [25] 7/12 7/14 7/16 7/19 11/24 28/21 28/22 28/25 32/24 32/25 38/10 38/10 38/11 38/12 38/14 38/17 38/20 38/21 38/23 39/3 39/4 39/14 41/16 42/20 101/3 each [22] 17/6 17/9 17/19 18/9 18/10 22/9 22/11 22/14 22/15 29/16 30/18 33/5 34/21 40/21 62/1 69/23 72/23 95/23 97/20 100/20 106/24 109/7 eager [1] 22/6 eagerly [1] 27/17 earlier [5] 15/1 44/7 93/16 93/20 95/14 early [3] 36/8 91/16 91/17 Early 2017 [1] 91/17 earth [1] 37/11 easily [1] 100/6 **EAST [5]** 2/13 17/3 39/8 59/7 83/1 Eastbank [2] 78/24 82/5 echoed [1] 39/19 **economic** [1] 85/15 effect [2] 41/15 101/10 effort [3] 42/18 100/14 108/8 eight [3] 36/20 56/1 91/8 either [13] 27/16 28/17 30/23 31/4 31/6 41/11 43/22 51/13 53/15 70/1 72/1 101/20 107/2 element [7] 14/10 14/11 29/24 29/25 30/1 31/4 31/10 **elementary [4]** 9/25 10/19 12/19 99/17 elements [5] 14/10 14/12 29/16 30/19 42/24 Elizabeth [1] 12/17 else's [2] 37/24 74/16 **elsewhere** [1] 81/4 **Emergent [3]** 5/19 82/15 84/19 Emirates [2] 5/22 90/7 **emotional** [4] 13/5 18/20 41/14 41/16 employed [2] 14/19 44/5 employee [2] 35/22 36/19 employees [6] 7/12 7/17 36/11 37/15 38/22 38/23 **employees' [1]** 36/1 Employment [1]

end [2] 72/15 85/10 eng [1] 40/22 engage [4] 69/11 69/14 69/17 110/9 engaged [5] 6/9 19/11 30/8 98/22 99/19 English [1] 35/6 **enough [1]** 105/16 ensure [1] 15/24 enter [2] 21/10 49/1 entered [1] 91/20 entire [4] 25/25 39/11 45/14 45/14 **entirely [5]** 15/10 31/11 108/17 109/1 109/2 entirety [2] 76/10 86/12 entitled [5] 5/21 17/19 61/2 83/9 111/6 entity [2] 79/25 80/2 equity [2] 93/3 93/4 erased [1] 18/3 error [1] 49/23 escalated [1] 7/23 escort [2] 104/11 104/15 **ESRAILI [2]** 2/12 4/17 **establish** [3] 13/7 43/20 58/19 establishes [1] 79/5 establishing [1] 13/2 **esthetics** [1] 23/8 **estimate [2]** 82/1 84/3 even [23] 7/10 8/20 10/4 10/13 11/23 14/1 14/7 19/23 20/1 26/2 27/6 28/5 28/20 31/12 32/6 35/6 38/19 38/25 44/25 58/13 59/11 99/2 100/4 evening [2] 106/4 109/19 evenly [1] 21/9 evidence [68] 5/1 6/10 6/11 11/25 13/25 15/9 16/3 16/9 20/19 20/21 21/3 21/8 21/16 21/18 22/9 22/11 24/2 26/2 27/14 27/22 28/3 29/17 29/20 29/24 30/19 30/22 31/4 32/19 33/6 33/13 33/20 38/3 38/16 41/3 43/18 45/6 50/12 55/5 58/17 58/18 58/23 59/6 73/20 76/13 76/18 76/23 77/4 80/7 81/13 84/21 86/2 94/11 94/13 94/25 95/2 95/8 97/5 97/9 99/5 100/23 101/1 101/10 101/11 101/19 102/3 102/6 102/15 104/2 exactly [2] 21/9 42/16 examination [5] 3/6

false [4] 6/9 6/10 45/7 examination... [4] 3/7 familiar [7] 21/5 25/5 74/7 89/15 89/16 **example [1]** 40/4 exceed [3] 73/17 82/7 family [49] 5/5 5/13 98/19 **exceeded [1]** 82/18 **exceeds [1]** 81/8 **exception [1]** 50/21 excerpt [1] 87/24 excess [1] 75/10 **exchange** [6] 83/23 84/3 84/8 84/17 88/5 90/14 **excuse [1]** 19/10 **excused [1]** 109/5 Exhibit 30 [2] 75/14 75/20 Exhibit 30-001742 [1] family trust [12] 59/2 76/3 Exhibit 39 [2] 87/21 87/23 Exhibit 49 [1] 79/14 Exhibit 50 [1] 81/16 Exhibit 51 [1] 83/11 **exhibits** [13] 3/10 3/11 14/15 28/23 94/4 94/10 94/12 94/15 94/17 95/1 104/12 105/12 105/17 Exhibits 23 [1] 14/15 **Exhibits 49 [1]** 94/15 exist [5] 14/1 15/2 16/21 22/24 38/17 exonerated [1] 6/12 **expect [1]** 47/15 **expecting [1]** 47/17 **expense** [1] 99/3 **expires [1]** 27/5 explain [8] 8/22 20/18 45/8 53/13 79/21 82/24 87/23 88/1 **explained** [2] 32/9 33/24 explanation [1] 9/4 **expose [1]** 11/10 exposed [1] 10/22 extent [3] 94/18 94/23 extortionate [3] 11/16 46/1 46/5 faced [1] 12/9 facilitate [1] 88/4 facility [1] 101/23 fact [4] 9/9 38/21 45/6

103/13

43/1

factor [1] 101/5

factors [5] 54/24 73/2

facts [3] 21/18 32/17

97/24 101/7 101/8

factually [1] 54/13

failure [1] 93/19

fair [5] 15/5 15/8

15/10 15/14 25/8

Fairfax [1] 6/20

45/9

87/6

25/7 25/9 82/9 83/23

7/6 7/25 9/15 9/23

13/8 13/13 13/14

82/2 82/21 83/10

93/18 98/24 99/16

9/23 11/1 11/3 12/16

13/22 19/18 34/5 37/7

40/8 41/16 45/1 45/2

46/17 59/2 74/9 75/1

75/5 75/6 78/10 78/11

80/3 80/6 80/10 80/13

90/20 90/21 91/5 91/6

101/11 101/14 102/13

103/17 103/22 110/10

75/6 78/10 78/11 80/3 80/6 80/10 80/13 82/2 90/20 90/21 103/22 fanatical [1] 103/16 far [1] 85/11 father [1] 13/10 favor [8] 20/22 21/9 21/10 21/20 27/8 31/11 32/8 43/4 FBI [1] 39/5 FCRR [1] 1/23 fear [11] 11/19 12/16 18/20 35/3 35/25 36/1 38/19 41/11 41/12 41/21 103/13 fearful [1] 11/13 featured [3] 61/17 65/25 76/18 26/18 26/23 February 2014 [2] 15/1 15/2 federal [17] 1/23 8/6 8/13 8/16 13/23 19/4 19/7 19/8 19/13 19/19 46/7 46/9 46/13 58/25 99/21 103/23 105/22 fee [1] 75/12 feel [3] 23/3 55/20 108/21 few [7] 18/12 20/16 25/13 90/20 100/15 102/13 102/23 fight [1] 18/18 figure [2] 32/18 102/14 filed [3] 20/24 26/21 26/22 filing [2] 5/21 7/11 fills [1] 95/15 filmed [1] 35/15 final [5] 47/24 50/25 51/1 88/18 108/4 Finally [2] 19/21 88/11 finance [1] 77/2 financed [2] 12/1 45/19 financial [19] 5/18

60/20 61/1 72/10 29/2:13/37/12 73/16 74/18 75/3 91/24 93/1 81/10 96/6 96/15 93/25 94/1 98/12 104/13 106/14 98/17 100/1 100/3 format [1] 111/7 100/9 102/15 103/7 former [2] 8/3 9/14 forth [2] 14/14 35/10 103/12 104/5 financially [7] 29/19 forums [1] 81/7 41/8 73/4 73/5 98/3 forward [6] 4/8 15/25 98/5 101/21 46/22 73/24 96/3 financing [3] 45/20 93/7 93/11 forwarded [5] 25/20 find [17] 18/9 19/14 25/21 29/1 31/21 19/16 20/22 25/11 31/22 26/24 26/24 30/13 found [17] 8/8 8/13 19/5 19/9 19/10 19/19 31/12 31/20 37/14 44/16 46/14 52/10 19/21 24/22 24/25 61/3 76/4 76/8 25/2 31/23 36/18 46/7 finding [3] 8/9 98/22 46/9 103/18 103/20 103/19 103/24 **findings [4]** 6/8 6/13 foundation [2] 80/14 23/22 44/22 94/19 four [5] 26/19 36/20 finds [3] 15/13 17/4 20/1 59/21 76/15 76/23 fine [4] 77/19 77/23 frame [1] 37/6 78/3 96/17 framework [3] 32/3 firm [3] 2/11 93/3 93/4 53/7 53/12 first [21] 1/24 10/12 franchise [2] 81/1 10/13 10/14 10/16 81/3 14/10 14/22 18/24 frankly [5] 35/21 18/24 20/25 21/25 37/14 39/23 58/5 31/15 35/5 40/15 46/1 95/21 73/23 89/22 96/2 fraud [3] 69/12 69/15 99/20 100/17 106/3 69/18 First Amendment [6] fraudster [1] 10/23 10/12 10/13 10/14 free [3] 18/24 46/5 18/24 40/15 46/1 46/6 fit [1] 100/4 Friday [1] 57/25 five [2] 24/24 47/15 friend [3] 22/22 22/24 February [4] 15/1 15/2 five-minute [1] 47/15 45/22 front [2] 29/7 80/15 fixed [2] 72/19 97/16 flee [2] 18/15 99/2 full [5] 16/13 20/9 fleet [1] 11/16 23/24 28/13 33/11 flight [4] 58/2 58/3 fully [2] 39/9 55/24 58/5 58/7 **fund [1]** 76/16 flout [1] 99/24 funded [2] 12/9 99/10 flouted [1] 19/10 funding [1] 12/10 fluctuated [1] 83/25 further [8] 10/15 74/3 fluctuates [1] 84/11 74/25 89/14 94/7 95/6 flyers [2] 8/1 28/9 105/7 109/18 focus [1] 23/9 furtherance [1] 15/12 follow [3] 9/2 40/23 future [6] 48/18 72/18 46/13 73/13 97/16 98/13 following [18] 4/4 108/25 29/16 30/18 31/15 32/23 41/18 47/9 60/13 61/8 71/10 72/7 **Gaddafi** [1] 7/2 72/23 95/12 97/2 gates [1] 33/9 97/20 104/19 106/1 109/10

Gaunts [2] 86/25 87/6 **GEBELIN [3]** 2/7 2/7 4/12 generous [1] 26/20 gentlemen [25] 4/19 20/15 31/6 37/13 37/22 39/17 39/20 39/23 40/2 42/10 42/25 43/4 43/6 46/19 69/22 70/6 72/9 97/4 100/13 102/9 102/22

follows [4] 51/23 57/5

foregoing [1] 111/4

foreperson [2] 69/21

Foreshore [1] 83/2

form [10] 18/11 28/19

foreign [3] 10/12

61/3 74/3

18/25 25/6

106/22

104/10 106/23 107/8 108/3 George [1] 80/18 gesture [1] 35/20 getting [1] 23/6 **Ghalo [5]** 16/25 17/1 17/2 24/10 43/21 give [7] 42/22 51/8 71/12 94/14 95/13 95/20 95/24 given [6] 6/9 6/10 9/18 100/22 103/9 110/7 gives [1] 110/2 giving [1] 23/1 glasses [1] 88/23 Global [2] 84/20 92/14 globally [1] 37/17 gmail.com [1] 1/25 God [1] 47/6 **GoDaddy [5]** 15/19 15/20 16/23 18/4 43/19 goes [1] 40/5 gone [2] 74/12 102/11 good [13] 4/13 4/16 4/18 4/19 19/25 20/15 44/19 44/21 60/15 106/4 108/12 109/25 110/11 Google [2] 24/22 25/11 gracious [1] 95/23 grammar [1] 49/21 great [3] 18/19 50/20 99/3 grievance [1] 19/22 grievances [1] 42/16 gross [1] 81/5 **ground [1]** 9/13 group [1] 22/6 **Grub [2]** 13/13 13/16 guard [1] 35/21 guess [4] 37/12 53/25 84/5 106/3 **guesswork [3]** 21/13 55/6 102/17 guide [1] 97/8 guy [3] 19/24 20/1 40/5 guy's [1] 40/6 Guylian [6] 78/25

half [1] 75/10 hallway [1] 109/2 hand [4] 15/14 60/20 87/4 94/14 handling [1] 31/23 hands [8] 5/10 11/7 40/1 40/3 40/7 40/10 40/11 74/10 happen [3] 36/21 59/15 59/18 happened [2] 33/11 happening [1] 101/4

80/17 81/1 81/15

81/23 82/6

Case 2:17-cv-00871-AB-SS Document 218 Filed 05/06/19 Page 118 of 126 Page ID

| H | higher [1] 103/19 | 65/8:05/75/65/16 | 16/20 16/24 22/13 | 97/6 97/8 97/10

65/16 65/19 65/22

66/2 66/2 66/5 66/8

Н happy [2] 109/7 110/12 harass [4] 9/5 9/23 40/24 41/2 harassed [1] 18/14 harassing [1] 13/21 harassment [11] 9/20 11/2 12/13 13/11 15/12 18/15 19/17 20/8 44/24 46/2 74/25 Harbor [1] 83/2 hard [1] 39/11 hardly [1] 100/21 harm [7] 42/19 73/2 73/11 97/25 98/11 101/10 101/12 harmed [3] 27/3 72/17 97/15 having [9] 6/10 7/17 7/18 50/22 74/2 81/24 88/6 108/12 110/2 **He'll [1]** 104/15 he's [13] 12/11 20/1 22/18 28/18 31/17 42/19 58/3 58/4 59/2 59/15 59/20 75/5 88/22 head [2] 78/24 79/2 heads [1] 22/8 Headshot [2] 61/20 66/21 health [3] 73/3 98/1 101/18 hear [3] 49/9 51/11 84/18 heard [43] 4/4 6/18 9/2 12/1 12/17 14/18 21/3 22/1 22/4 22/5 25/25 27/25 32/12 33/17 34/4 34/9 34/19 35/12 35/23 36/6 36/12 37/3 38/5 39/1 39/7 39/13 39/25 41/15 47/9 49/10 56/1 60/13 72/7 74/15 92/13 97/2 97/5 99/4 99/5 100/19 104/19 106/1 109/10 hearing [3] 20/19 36/16 80/8 heart [1] 54/15 held [5] 59/4 71/10 76/20 95/12 111/6 helped [1] 24/12 Herald [6] 6/15 6/19 23/18 23/19 45/3 87/25 hereby [1] 111/3 hereto [1] 87/2 herself [1] 35/1 hesitant [1] 56/15 **hesitation** [1] 42/13 **Hey [1]** 11/9 Hezbollah [3] 7/2 45/8

high [2] 21/4 82/4

high-profile [1] 82/4

higher [1] 103/19 highlight [1] 40/19 **HILLS [1]** 2/8 himself [6] 25/15 26/16 34/9 36/18 38/23 39/12 hired [2] 9/1 9/22 Hispanic [1] 35/4 history [1] 109/16 hit [1] 100/9 holding [3] 34/15 35/11 35/11 holdings [2] 59/2 99/6 holds [1] 58/21 holiday [1] 58/2 holidays [2] 109/7 110/12 home [7] 9/24 12/21 33/3 33/8 76/14 101/15 101/16 honestly [1] 19/25 HONORABLE [1] 1/3 hope [2] 47/5 110/2 horse [3] 12/6 12/8 12/10 horses [1] 87/17 hosting [4] 15/20 16/1 16/6 24/10 hour [1] 105/2 house [5] 34/13 34/14 35/14 40/6 105/22 housekeeping [1] 47/13 However [3] 77/1 77/12 77/16 human [1] 37/10 hundred [1] 75/12 hundreds [1] 7/14 hunts [1] 40/9 hurt [2] 99/1 103/17 hydro [2] 61/25 68/4

l'd [9] 4/24 55/19 75/14 75/20 77/8 86/12 86/22 87/21 98/21 **ICAC** [5] 6/5 6/8 9/17 23/22 44/21 Iceland [2] 16/1 44/14 ICS [2] 33/18 33/24 idea [1] 84/5 identical [1] 19/5 identification [3] 79/17 79/24 81/19 identifies [1] 62/1 Identify [1] 62/2 ignorance [3] 62/6 62/13 62/20 ignorance/by [2] 62/13 62/20 **Ignorant [52]** 63/3 63/3 63/6 63/9 63/13 63/13 63/16 63/19 63/24 63/24 64/2 64/5 64/10 64/10 64/13 64/16 64/20 64/20 64/23 65/1 65/5 65/5

66/12 66/12 66/15 66/18 66/23 66/23 67/1 67/4 67/9 67/9 67/12 67/15 67/20 67/20 67/23 68/1 68/6 68/6 68/9 68/12 illegal [1] 45/4 Image [4] 61/18 61/19 66/1 66/11 Image-4-18-2016 [2] 61/18 66/1 images [3] 14/14 17/20 31/19 **Imagine [1]** 36/19 immediately [4] 12/20 25/21 25/21 31/22 immigration [1] 5/22 impact [1] 10/25 impecunious [2] 93/22 93/25 implies [1] 53/20 imply [3] 13/15 13/17 53/21 important [6] 21/1 23/5 23/12 32/15 32/16 99/11 importantly [2] 18/21 35/2 impose [4] 73/17 77/19 98/18 98/18 **imprisoned** [1] 103/5 in 2013 [7] 22/18 22/25 24/23 25/14 26/14 26/17 44/1 in 2015 [3] 14/16 14/23 44/6 in 2017 [2] 16/7 25/24 in August [1] 84/4 **INC [10]** 1/5 4/7 4/11 60/23 61/7 62/8 62/15 62/22 62/25 106/10 **Inc.'s [1]** 61/5 inches [1] 35/25 inclination [1] 54/21 include [1] 78/18 included [1] 21/12 includes [3] 41/2 43/15 91/6 **including [3]** 9/15 11/17 36/11 inclusive [3] 1/9 60/25 106/12 income [4] 58/21 92/2 92/5 92/7 incoming [2] 89/3 89/7 increase [4] 11/16 17/22 73/14 98/15 indeed [4] 25/19 25/24 34/23 36/2 independent [4] 5/25 6/3 6/4 58/22 indication [2] 25/2 100/25 individual [5] 9/14

16/20 16/24 22/13 37/7 individually [1] 21/24 individuals [2] 22/8 24/14 induced [2] 30/11 31/1 infer [2] 76/25 100/6 inference [2] 45/23 59/11 **inferences** [1] 21/17 **inflict [1]** 13/18 influence [1] 6/22 **Info [1]** 81/14 inform [1] 71/12 information [6] 9/11 16/22 24/9 47/19 55/13 86/15 infringe [1] 17/12 infringed [10] 16/9 29/11 29/15 30/14 30/17 44/9 44/12 46/15 49/11 62/2 infringement [46] 5/6 14/8 14/9 15/23 16/17 17/8 17/17 17/19 17/21 18/1 18/2 18/10 20/11 21/23 22/1 22/2 26/3 26/4 27/1 27/9 27/15 28/5 28/19 29/10 29/11 29/13 30/3 30/5 30/8 31/7 31/13 32/7 32/11 44/9 44/15 61/6 62/4 62/6 62/9 62/11 62/13 62/16 62/18 62/20 62/23 62/25 infringer [4] 17/24 28/17 28/17 28/18 infringer's [2] 17/25 31/2 infringing [9] 26/12 26/12 29/19 29/23 30/10 30/12 30/21 30/24 31/2 initial [1] 108/10 initially [1] 57/24 **initiate** [1] 6/5 innocent [2] 31/14 44/9 input [1] 23/7 inquiry [1] 23/22 instead [6] 12/25 15/25 44/24 48/4 76/9 99/19 instruct [1] 52/25 instructing [1] 10/7 instruction [41] 26/5 26/6 29/8 29/9 29/13 30/5 30/6 32/4 40/18 48/4 52/7 52/13 52/18 52/20 53/18 54/22 54/23 55/3 55/11 55/11 55/16 55/18 55/25 56/1 56/2 56/6 56/18 57/6 57/9 71/13 95/13 95/14 95/19 96/7 96/9 96/12 96/24

97/6 97/8 97/10 101/9 Instruction 15 [1] 52/20 **Instruction Number [1]** 52/18 **Instruction Number 14 [1]** 40/18 Instruction Number **15 [1]** 54/23 **Instruction Number 28 [1]** 29/8 Instruction Number **29 [1]** 30/5 **Instruction Number 36** [1] 26/6 instruction that [1] 95/14 instructions [13] 29/7 47/21 47/22 47/23 50/10 50/24 52/11 54/17 56/17 72/15 95/17 96/2 96/4 integrated [1] 39/9 intellect [1] 110/8 intend [5] 71/12 81/13 95/13 101/2 104/23 intended [1] 59/21 intent [4] 16/3 40/23 41/20 103/10 intention [4] 47/24 51/1 71/23 95/19 intentional [2] 20/10 44/15 intentionally [3] 15/15 30/10 31/1 interactions [2] 34/16 34/18 interest [4] 43/24 59/3 94/14 105/2 interesting [1] 55/1 Internet [1] 37/18 interpret [1] 56/11 intimidation [2] 7/3 7/4 into 2013 [1] 23/21 introduce [2] 81/13 94/13 introduced [1] 94/10 invest [1] 93/19 investigation [2] 6/1 9/5 investigator [3] 9/3 9/10 12/1 investigators [1] 9/1 Investment [1] 92/20 invoice [1] 34/2 involved [6] 9/20 28/2 73/7 85/1 98/6 101/25 involvement [2] 28/2 85/12 Iron [1] 93/3 irrelevant [1] 29/25 is a [1] 52/1 **Islamic [1]** 88/15 **Islands** [1] 5/23 **isolate [1]** 45/10 isolation [1] 53/5 issue [9] 21/2 44/3

leave [5] 55/9 55/12

59/13 60/3 71/24

line 5 [1] 49/3

line 6 [1] 49/8

Juror Number 6 [2]

Juror Number 7 [2]

71/2 107/22

37/3

KTC [7] 82/9 82/14

linked [1] 37/17 legal [8] 5/10 8/24 links [5] 7/1 7/2 24/21 15/18 42/22 44/18 25/13 45/8 75/13 86/16 100/6 liquidated [1] 99/7 legitimate [5] 9/4 41/3 liquidation [1] 82/15 41/4 41/6 41/9 list [3] 24/14 80/2 legitimately [2] 25/9 81/23 listed [3] 18/11 24/10 lender [1] 40/5 43/21 **LESOWITZ [1]** 2/7 listen [1] 108/13 less [1] 28/20 **litigious [2]** 109/15 let [4] 11/11 26/9 109/16 104/13 104/23 little [5] 32/4 53/6 letter [1] 38/16 53/19 56/22 58/24 letters [1] 10/21 live [3] 46/4 76/14 lexus [2] 61/13 64/8 77/17 **LIA [2]** 92/19 93/8 **LLC [8]** 85/18 85/21 liability [6] 10/7 12/12 85/24 86/4 86/20 30/2 31/8 100/18 86/21 86/25 87/19 loan [1] 40/5 liable [50] 16/17 17/7 loans [1] 40/4 17/17 18/10 28/17 located [1] 11/12 28/18 29/11 30/8 62/3 locks [1] 12/21 62/10 62/17 63/2 63/5 logical [1] 45/23 long [6] 33/9 59/14 63/8 63/12 63/15 63/18 63/23 64/1 64/4 74/14 82/4 104/22 64/8 64/12 64/15 104/23 64/19 64/22 64/25 Long Beach [1] 33/9 65/4 65/7 65/10 65/14 long-standing [1] 65/18 65/21 66/1 66/4 82/4 longer [2] 59/21 66/7 66/11 66/14 66/17 66/22 66/25 108/15 67/3 67/8 67/11 67/14 look [22] 21/16 24/19 67/19 67/22 67/25 25/17 28/16 28/24 68/4 68/8 68/11 29/6 32/16 41/1 42/25 Libyan [1] 92/20 43/1 48/3 55/2 57/15 59/9 76/8 94/4 95/15 96/4 96/15 96/15 lies [1] 7/18 lifestyle [2] 77/3 92/7 96/20 105/18 like [24] 4/24 22/23 looking [4] 20/20 48/12 76/6 89/18 39/22 42/20 42/20 42/21 42/22 55/19 looks [2] 56/16 89/11 58/16 75/14 75/20 LOS [8] 1/17 1/24 2/13 76/9 77/5 77/8 79/2 4/1 11/4 33/8 76/17 83/4 86/12 86/22 101/24 87/21 89/11 96/20 Los Angeles [4] 11/4 98/21 108/12 108/13 33/8 76/17 101/24 likely [5] 17/2 19/16 lot [4] 21/12 21/13 21/7 99/8 99/13 32/12 102/17 Likewise [2] 8/22 15/4 low [1] 110/9 lower [1] 87/3 **limitation** [1] 15/3 luck [2] 109/25 110/12 limitations [9] 14/21 14/24 26/5 26/7 27/1 lying [1] 12/11 27/5 32/9 50/4 50/9 line [16] 29/17 30/6 made [17] 6/8 7/18 8/9 48/5 48/25 49/3 49/8 49/11 49/19 50/1 50/3 11/5 11/8 12/25 18/4 50/8 50/11 50/18 24/18 34/11 34/23 50/22 55/3 77/8 39/5 39/11 50/21 57/25 84/19 92/25 line 10 [1] 50/8 line 15 [1] 50/11 103/24 line 16 [3] 48/5 50/1 magnifying [1] 88/22 mail [1] 33/22 mailboxes [1] 8/1 line 17 [1] 48/25 line 3 [2] 49/11 50/3 mailing [1] 23/21 mails [25] 7/12 7/14

7/16 7/19 11/24 28/21

28/22 28/25 32/24

Case 2:17-cv-0087	7
M mails [16] 32/25	_
I 38/10 38/10 38/11	
38/12 38/14 38/17 38/20 38/21 38/23	
39/3 39/4 39/14 41/16	j
42/20 101/3 maintained [1] 44/22	
maintenance [1] 37/4	1
make [17] 11/13 16/1 20/7 21/13 21/14	t
28/16 41/8 45/22 46/2)
46/11 47/18 48/1 50/20 52/1 93/14 94/9)
97/9	
makes [2] 56/22 108/11	
making [1] 98/21	
Malaysia [1] 90/5 malice [3] 69/12 69/1	5
69/18	
malicious [5] 7/18 20/10 23/17 46/16	
98/23	
man [2] 19/25 59/1 manifest [1] 99/15	
many [6] 25/5 25/10	
37/6 37/6 91/6 91/6 Maquarie [1] 79/2	
marching [1] 34/15 mark [7] 12/1 79/12	
79/14 81/16 83/11	
85/10 88/18	
Mark Woodward [1] 12/1	
marked [4] 3/11 79/1 79/17 81/19	1
martel [1] 67/18	
martell [1] 61/23 materially [2] 30/11	
31/1	
matter [1] 111/6 mattered [1] 23/11	
matters [1] 47/14	
Matthew [1] 36/11 max [6] 51/24 56/8	
56/11 56/25 57/1 57/6	j
maximum [7] 52/2 53/15 54/4 54/12	
54/24 55/21 56/22	
May 4th [1] 86/24 maybe [5] 53/6 53/13	,
55/10 56/4 84/1	
mclaren [4] 61/11 61/14 63/12 64/19	
mean [16] 28/11 28/12 29/3 34/6 52/23	2
54/3 54/13 54/15 55/1	
56/12 57/8 59/14 72/2 91/19 93/24 105/13	
Meaning [1] 94/1	
means [10] 19/13 21/8 28/15 44/18 59/1	
59/7 91/2 91/20 93/25	

100/9

meant [5] 11/11 11/19

13/15 13/17 91/9

measure [2] 52/25

```
53/22
media [5] 6/20 6/20
7/21 61/23 67/18
Meeting [2] 61/17
65/25
MEI [3] 1/23 111/14
111/15
member [1] 75/5
members [5] 7/7 7/25
11/1 12/16 108/23
mention [2] 88/6
110/5
mentioned [5] 83/3
90/20 92/12 101/13
108/10
mere [1] 8/9
merely [2] 73/15
98/16
merit [1] 15/3
message [4] 20/5
99/11 99/20 103/12
messaging [1] 23/21
met [3] 11/17 32/18
40/20
meta [2] 23/10 23/11
meta-data [1] 23/10
meta-tags [1] 23/11
meters [1] 101/15
mid [1] 36/8
mid-2016 [1] 36/8
Middle [3] 17/3 39/8
59/7
Middle East [3] 17/3
39/8 59/7
might [2] 55/7 108/25
Millennium [1] 15/19
million [22] 10/23
75/10 81/8 81/24 82/7
82/18 83/4 83/17
84/14 84/16 84/19
84/23 87/15 88/8 89/9
92/12 92/23 93/14
99/9 103/22 106/17
106/20
min [6] 51/24 56/8
56/11 56/25 57/1 57/6
min/max [6] 51/24
56/8 56/11 56/25 57/1
57/6
mind [3] 21/1 21/15
106/7
Mine [1] 48/11
minimize [1] 45/11
minimum [10] 52/2
53/14 54/3 54/5 54/12
54/18 54/24 55/21
56/21 84/13
minor [1] 32/3
minus [1] 84/9
minute [2] 47/15 96/4
minutes [9] 18/12
36/3 90/20 95/22
95/22 95/23 95/24
                       19/24 21/24 23/20
97/7 102/23
                       23/25 24/23 26/9
misconduct [1]
                       32/11 32/23 34/19
                       35/5 36/21 37/11
103/14
misinterpret [1] 56/12
                       37/20 38/5 38/12
                       38/14 39/13 39/25
misleading [2] 6/9
```

1-AB-SS Document 218 Filed 05/06/19 Page 120 of 126 Page ID 6/#:3377 Miss [3] 60/18 76/22 106/7 Miss Kaddo [2] 60/18 106/7 Miss Sambrook [1] 76/22 missed [1] 50/16 misspelling [1] 50/1 mistake [2] 33/24 102/25 moment [5] 4/20 29/6 94/3 95/14 109/14 momentarily [1] 104/13 monetary [1] 20/8 money [7] 7/1 12/9 78/1 82/19 88/4 100/1 103/23 monolith [1] 22/7 months [4] 8/15 14/4 82/22 99/7 more [13] 4/21 12/7 18/21 19/16 21/7 34/20 35/7 93/21 95/22 97/7 99/8 101/4 110/10 Moreover [3] 45/2 76/13 76/23 morning [15] 4/13 4/16 4/18 4/19 6/15 6/19 20/15 23/18 23/19 45/3 57/25 58/1 58/3 87/25 105/1 most [6] 25/8 32/2 38/19 38/25 87/11 102/16 motion [1] 101/23 motions [1] 109/17 move [1] 86/2 moved [2] 32/15 94/10 moving [2] 18/14 105/4 Mr. and Mrs. David's **[1]** 13/4 Mr. Brown [1] 34/5 Mr. Dabab [15] 16/20 22/25 23/14 24/7 24/11 24/17 25/14 25/16 25/17 25/20 25/23 27/23 29/1 29/1 31/21 Mr. David [59] 5/15 5/21 5/25 6/4 6/14 6/17 6/21 7/6 7/16 8/5 8/25 9/2 9/5 9/23 10/20 11/11 11/19 11/24 12/15 12/17 13/8 13/12 13/17 13/21 18/13 18/18 18/20 19/18 19/22

44/25 44/25 46/11 73/24 74/9 75/20 79/21 86/7 89/18 98/24 101/21 110/2 Mr. David's [10] 7/25 10/1 10/18 10/20 13/9 23/23 33/3 33/8 35/13 42/18 Mr. Kazal [23] 12/7 16/25 22/16 23/1 23/6 23/15 23/23 24/1 24/21 25/14 25/20 25/25 27/25 28/24 31/15 33/16 33/25 36/25 37/16 57/25 58/11 58/25 92/6 Mr. Kolesa [4] 23/11 24/12 36/12 37/3 Mr. Parlato [3] 14/19 35/23 36/2 Mr. Price [3] 24/12 36/24 37/5 Mr. Taylor [16] 20/13 43/8 51/5 51/17 52/15 53/3 54/16 57/3 57/22 70/3 95/7 96/8 100/12 105/4 107/5 109/23 Mr. Taylor's [1] 43/11 Mr. Wiener [11] 43/9 50/15 51/3 51/15 52/5 54/9 57/11 73/21 98/20 107/3 109/21 Mr. Woodward [3] 33/17 33/23 34/17 Mr. Woodward's [1] 33/18 Mrs [1] 35/1 Mrs. [1] 13/4 Ms. Badirian [1] 105/13 Muammar [1] 7/1 much [6] 22/23 35/2 60/5 82/17 102/20 103/19 multi [1] 103/22 multi-million [1] 103/22 must [7] 26/24 26/24 30/15 41/25 55/5 72/10 97/12 Ν name [16] 6/3 9/10 61/9 63/1 80/2 81/2 86/16 102/6

10/21 23/2 24/4 36/20 37/7 37/9 37/24 46/23 named [9] 9/14 16/20 16/24 22/22 22/25 43/16 43/20 85/18 85/20 namely [1] 41/7 names [5] 36/24 42/12 44/19 44/21 95/16 national [1] 58/1 nature [4] 19/12 19/13 75/9 109/15

near [5] 12/19 34/13 35/13 99/17 101/16 nearly [1] 23/23 necessarily [1] 101/16 **necessary [4]** 11/13 73/13 77/2 98/13 need [15] 12/24 21/15 32/6 37/16 38/13 58/10 58/15 58/19 60/2 60/3 95/21 95/22 104/23 105/11 109/18 needs [5] 19/16 20/5 58/12 59/24 100/2 neighborhood [7] 7/25 8/2 8/2 10/19 33/2 33/7 34/11 neither [2] 35/4 103/13 net [3] 58/17 58/18 58/19 never [14] 6/12 13/20 16/11 20/3 24/1 25/1 25/14 25/15 38/14 38/15 38/17 39/2 87/8 93/13 New [4] 85/24 86/5 87/1 87/7 New Jersey [4] 85/24 86/5 87/1 87/7 news [1] 24/3 **newspaper** [2] 6/19 87/24 next [10] 10/24 30/4 41/1 48/8 48/23 71/11 85/10 86/1 86/22 95/5 nightclub [1] 82/5 nine [2] 76/19 89/23 **Ninth [1]** 56/16 Ninth Circuit [1] 56/16 **No.** [1] 86/6 No. BUR-L-2787-08 **[1]** 86/6 none [4] 5/23 6/23 23/11 104/1 **Notably [7]** 6/22 8/20 12/7 16/20 45/5 100/4 104/1 **note [8]** 9/12 13/9 51/22 54/2 57/16 60/10 76/18 98/25 noted [3] 8/17 76/6 94/24 nothing [10] 4/21 27/18 27/19 27/20 27/21 28/20 45/4 54/14 89/14 105/7 notice [10] 15/19 15/21 15/22 17/10 17/12 18/3 18/6 25/20 31/22 44/11 noticed [2] 47/21 48/24 notifications [2] 38/5 **November [3]** 10/4

76/24 88/15

Case 2:17-cv-00871-AB-SS Document 218 Filed 05/06/19 Page 121 of 126 Page ID 22/18 24/13 31/16 Ν

November 2016 [1] 76/24 November 26th [1] 88/15 number [50] 7/14 11/18 26/6 29/8 30/5 40/18 41/11 41/18 41/25 47/18 48/4 52/9 52/18 54/22 54/23 55/2 55/3 55/11 55/18 56/6 57/6 70/7 70/10 70/12 70/16 70/17 70/19 70/21 70/23 70/25 71/2 71/4 71/6 76/4 78/12 78/25 79/21 79/22 79/24 79/24 106/13 107/9 107/12 107/14 107/16 107/18 107/20 107/22 107/24 108/1 Number 15 [4] 55/2 55/3 55/11 55/18 Number 2 [1] 41/25 Number 24 [1] 54/22 Number 3 [1] 41/18 numbers [1] 48/4 numerous [1] 99/4 oath [4] 26/10 26/15 36/13 74/4 object [2] 94/18 94/22 **objecting** [1] 96/7 objection [7] 51/2 51/6 57/11 80/16 94/17 94/24 96/11 objections [1] 96/1 **observed [1]** 13/3 obtained [3] 8/5 18/16 59/5 obvious [1] 104/5 obviously [4] 16/11 53/5 56/2 103/10 occurred [3] 9/24 12/18 12/20 occurring [1] 45/17 occurs [1] 17/24 October [8] 7/22 10/4 11/8 11/14 13/11 18/3 18/17 33/6 October 2016 [5] 7/22 13/11 18/3 18/17 33/6 October 28th of [1] 11/8 October 30th [1] 11/14 October and [1] 10/4 Off [1] 78/24 offense [1] 6/10 offer [6] 84/19 92/12 92/18 93/1 93/8 93/14 offered [3] 13/21 15/9

82/13

79/2 92/8

officer [1] 9/15

office [4] 61/12 63/22

offices [2] 2/3 47/16

OFFICIAL [3] 1/23 61/20 66/21 offline [1] 7/7 often [1] 108/24 **oh [3]** 96/20 96/22 102/23 once [3] 21/19 34/20 51/8 one [41] 13/15 17/20 21/22 21/23 22/15 24/18 24/25 28/1 28/25 29/5 34/1 34/2 41/18 42/11 45/7 47/24 49/12 50/23 55/7 56/11 75/11 77/12 80/18 80/18 80/19 80/19 80/20 81/7 82/11 87/12 89/11 89/22 90/18 93/9 93/21 95/15 97/6 101/2 102/14 108/4 109/7 ones [6] 10/9 37/6 37/8 44/8 50/14 78/17 ongoing [1] 36/22 online [5] 6/16 7/7 25/11 31/20 83/7 online and [1] 83/7 only [20] 6/5 11/15 14/23 16/18 19/15 23/19 23/23 27/11 28/1 32/11 37/6 37/8 37/11 38/2 44/5 49/12 95/13 100/8 103/12 110/4 **oOo [1]** 110/16 open [9] 4/4 47/9 56/23 60/13 72/7 97/2 104/19 106/1 109/10 opened [1] 81/3 opening [1] 88/25 operating [2] 22/18 85/5 opinion [1] 50/12 opportunity [1] 23/4 opposed [6] 48/7 49/12 49/14 50/4 50/7 50/9 oppression [3] 69/12 69/15 69/18 oppressive [2] 46/16 98/23 orange [3] 16/2 61/11 63/12 order [15] 8/5 8/8 8/11 8/12 8/16 8/19 10/5 14/2 42/4 42/5 42/6 42/7 42/8 47/3 99/23 ordered [2] 31/25 47/5 orders [6] 13/23 19/7 19/11 19/20 99/24 108/15

organization [1] 7/2

organize [1] 76/16

organizing [1] 9/13

originally [1] 49/23

original [2] 14/12 48/6

Os¢a667787/18 others [3] 73/3 98/2 101/18 otherwise [3] 56/23 73/15 98/16 out [28] 5/7 10/10 10/17 13/15 15/14 23/2 23/6 23/16 24/3 24/6 26/9 31/23 32/18 35/17 35/22 47/11 47/24 52/2 56/13 62/6 62/13 62/20 100/15 102/14 103/5 104/18 108/23 110/6 outdated [1] 102/16 outlined [2] 101/8 101/8 outside [5] 33/3 33/8 47/9 104/19 109/10 over [14] 6/4 18/14 32/25 46/10 46/21 47/23 48/1 50/15 74/10 83/12 83/25 89/10 105/18 108/8 overruled [2] 80/16 94/24 overseas [1] 103/9 overseeing [1] 20/17 owed [4] 62/7 62/14 62/21 62/24 own [13] 5/10 11/7 18/21 25/18 26/20 40/1 40/3 40/7 40/10 40/11 58/18 80/25 81/2 owned [3] 87/19 91/4 92/23 owner [6] 14/14 17/20 49/20 85/17 85/20 86/19 owners [1] 82/11 ownership [2] 14/10 85/23 owns [2] 61/8 91/3 **p90d [2]** 61/15 65/4 paced [1] 35/10 packet [3] 26/6 29/9 40/19 page [32] 3/5 23/6 23/13 29/8 30/4 30/4 40/18 41/1 48/3 48/4

48/8 48/23 48/23 49/3 49/8 49/11 49/16 49/25 50/11 50/15 50/17 50/18 50/19 50/22 50/24 52/9 52/18 76/6 77/5 89/25 95/15 111/7 page 11 [1] 48/4 page 15 [2] 48/23 52/18 page 17 [1] 40/18 page 1742 [1] 77/5

Page 21 [1] 49/3

Page 22 [1] 49/8

page 23 [4] 49/11

50/18 50/19 50/22 page 36 [4] 30/4 49/16 49/25 50/17 pages [1] 96/16 paid [10] 11/17 11/18 12/2 12/3 12/5 22/21 34/2 45/21 45/23 75/13 **paragraph** [9] 76/2 76/4 76/9 76/10 77/6 77/9 86/13 86/22 92/5 paragraph 17 [2] 77/6 paragraph 24 [2] 76/9 76/10 paragraph 4 [1] 86/13 paragraph 6 [1] 86/22 paragraphs [1] 76/8 parallel [1] 54/18 parcel [1] 11/22 parent [1] 10/2 **PARK [1]** 2/13 Parlato [5] 14/18 14/19 35/23 36/2 44/5 part [13] 11/21 26/13 31/9 75/12 78/13 78/15 78/22 79/5 82/2 92/18 92/19 102/16 103/16 particular [2] 9/16 19/8 particularly [2] 10/1 12/18 parties [1] 47/15 parties' [1] 5/19 partner [1] 8/4 passersby [1] 34/16 past [7] 5/3 36/22 48/7 48/15 74/10 82/22 99/7 patience [1] 60/16 pattern [8] 40/23 41/10 42/2 45/14 73/7 98/7 98/23 101/25 pay [6] 73/17 74/18 75/12 78/3 98/19 103/21 paying [2] 77/19 77/23 payments [1] 89/3 **peace [1]** 18/16 peaceful [4] 34/11 34/22 40/10 40/12 people [8] 19/25 25/10 28/16 76/17 91/6 91/8 103/15 108/12 perceive [1] 27/2 percent [5] 84/2 84/6 84/10 84/11 84/12 Perhaps [1] 43/12 period [5] 89/10 89/11 91/12 91/14 91/24

perjurer [1] 38/1

perjury [1] 37/2

permit [1] 47/2

person [7] 9/12 13/6

43/20 45/1 person's [1] 43/21 personal [2] 58/4 94/19 **personally [3]** 13/18 58/21 109/6 persons [1] 76/19 persuades [1] 50/12 phase [5] 59/11 59/16 71/14 97/5 108/4 phone [2] 22/19 47/16 photo [1] 43/17 photograph [2] 61/9 photograph's [5] 62/4 62/8 62/15 62/22 62/25 photographs [32] 10/20 14/16 14/17 14/22 14/23 15/1 15/7 15/10 15/16 16/8 16/10 16/12 16/19 16/19 17/10 17/11 18/7 18/11 22/2 24/19 25/2 25/10 25/17 26/17 28/6 29/4 30/15 43/15 44/3 44/15 61/8 photographs's [2] 62/11 62/18 photos [13] 15/24 24/22 24/22 25/15 25/24 27/21 32/1 43/25 44/3 44/4 44/7 44/8 44/12 phrase [1] 58/10 phrased [1] 57/12 phraseology [1] 56/7 physical [5] 13/2 73/2 97/25 101/10 101/12 picked [1] 50/17 picture [1] 101/23 pictures [3] 23/10 35/24 36/5 placards [1] 102/7 place [14] 6/2 9/21 10/3 11/19 13/5 15/10 20/6 40/24 41/20 47/1 53/9 79/3 100/24 101/3 placed [1] 7/24 plaintiff [23] 29/15 30/17 32/23 34/9 40/24 41/14 41/20 41/25 49/13 49/14 49/20 50/1 51/2 72/17 73/4 73/5 73/19 86/4 86/17 86/19 95/16 97/15 106/11 plaintiffs [17] 1/6 2/2 4/10 4/12 20/23 21/6 21/22 22/6 22/23 27/17 32/18 33/10 33/19 40/20 43/2 **permission** [1] 36/23 60/24 86/2 plaintiffs' [8] 3/4 22/5

30/14 34/4 49/11

Case 2:17-cv-0087	1 AD SS Documo
	106/24 107/9
<u>P</u>	presenting [1] 106/7
plaintiffs' [3] 73/11	preside [1] 46/21
75/23 88/18	presumably [1] 56/2
plaintiffs' 1742 [1]	pretty [6] 22/9 31/5
75/23 plan [4] 17/16 96/2	31/13 34/8 93/4
96/10 96/12	102/16
planet [1] 37/10	prevent [1] 99/14
planned [1] 99/22	previous [1] 14/24
plans [1] 58/1	previously [5] 13/10
platform [1] 23/1	74/2 81/21 86/7 102/1
pleasant [1] 34/17	Price [4] 24/12 36/11 36/24 37/5
please [21] 4/8 46/22	print [1] 47/24
46/22 46/23 47/12	printed [1] 10/21
55/10 55/17 56/6 57/6	printout [2] 81/14
70/8 70/9 70/16 71/9 75/20 76/2 83/12	85/7
104/21 107/7 107/10	prison [3] 8/15 14/5
107/10 109/12	103/5
plural [2] 50/5 50/10	private [8] 9/1 9/3
plus [3] 78/20 79/2	9/10 12/1 47/1 76/15
84/9	93/3 93/4
PM [5] 57/20 60/9	probably [6] 102/10 104/25 105/11 105/15
60/9 104/18 105/25	108/22 109/17
point [11] 20/18 24/15	problem [1] 31/24
24/17 26/9 33/22 34/3	proceed [4] 4/22
51/10 58/13 100/15 101/20 109/17	51/12 74/6 98/20
police [8] 9/15 11/5	proceeding [1] 6/5
34/20 34/20 34/21	proceedings [5] 1/14
34/23 34/25 35/1	71/10 95/12 110/15
Police Department [1]	111/6
11/5	proceeds [1] 104/5 process [2] 74/14
poll [2] 70/5 107/7	108/11
polled [2] 70/1 107/3	processes [4] 8/24
Portrait [2] 61/20 66/21	15/18 100/7 103/11
pose [1] 103/5	proclaiming [2] 8/3
possibly [3] 27/15	10/22
84/16 90/16	proffered [1] 93/10 profile [2] 81/16 82/4
post [2] 11/8 109/17	prohibit [1] 50/5
post-trial [1] 109/17	prohibiting [1] 8/6
posted [2] 25/1 29/2	prohibits [1] 50/4
posters [2] 7/24 17/14 potential [1] 31/24	promote [1] 43/24
powerful [1] 93/17	promoting [1] 17/14
practical [1] 59/7	proof [6] 21/1 21/2
practice [3] 73/7 98/7	21/16 52/7 52/21
102/1	103/20
precluded [1] 26/4	proper [1] 5/10
prefer [1] 74/14	properly [2] 94/10 104/4
prepare [1] 57/14	properties [1] 59/4
prepared [4] 12/25	property [21] 7/3
71/22 77/18 93/14 preponderance [4]	78/18 78/20 82/22
21/3 29/17 30/19	82/24 83/1 84/13
32/19	85/18 85/18 85/24
presence [9] 4/4 13/2	86/4 86/20 86/25 87/6
47/10 60/13 72/7 97/2	87/9 87/14 87/16 87/10 87/10 00/5

104/20 106/1 109/11

presented [19] 5/1

16/9 20/21 21/17

27/14 28/3 29/20

29/24 30/22 33/13

101/1 101/19 104/1

38/3 69/23 70/7 79/13

73/19 95/7

present [4] 48/7 58/16

87/19 87/19 99/5

proposal [5] 48/15

52/3 56/5 57/4 96/1

proposed [5] 50/14

prosecution [2] 6/11

54/22 57/12 96/2

103/23

96/12

24/2

```
prosecutor [1] 108/19
protect [4] 5/13 10/14
19/1 46/1
protected [5] 10/11
18/23 40/15 45/25
46/3
protecting [1] 12/11
protection [2] 91/20
91/22
protest [10] 10/3
11/23 34/22 34/25
37/19 37/21 40/10
40/12 42/15 101/2
protesters [1] 35/7
protesting [1] 40/15
protestors [15] 10/7
33/2 33/7 33/12 33/15
34/10 34/11 34/24
35/4 35/14 35/18
35/24 36/4 39/1 42/22
protests [10] 9/24
34/21 36/7 38/3 38/4
39/15 41/15 41/23
99/16 101/13
prove [3] 21/7 40/21
42/24
proved [2] 55/4 55/8
proven [4] 61/7 68/15
68/18 68/21
proves [1] 17/21
provide [4] 9/3 12/8
45/18 95/20
provided [5] 7/13
16/22 16/23 18/11
93/8
provides [3] 17/18
53/8 81/15
providing [1] 55/12
proving [3] 29/16
30/18 32/19
public [8] 19/20 23/3
23/16 23/24 24/3 24/6
25/11 25/12
publish [4] 6/22 15/7
16/7 18/7
published [5] 6/20
7/19 14/16 14/23 83/7
punish [7] 42/18 49/5
72/16 73/13 74/22
97/14 98/13
punitive [34] 49/4
49/4 49/5 58/10 71/14
71/19 72/3 72/12
72/15 72/20 72/21
72/22 73/11 73/14
73/20 74/24 78/6
96/15 97/13 97/14
97/17 97/18 97/19
98/11 98/15 100/10
100/16 102/9 102/18
102/19 104/6 106/14
106/15 106/18
purchase [1] 92/14
purchased [1] 12/21
purpose [8] 9/4 41/3
41/4 41/6 41/10 49/4
49/5 72/15
purposes [4] 49/3
```

Document 218 Filed 05/06/19 Page 122 of 126 Page ID 79/25 86/15 97/13 77/10 86/12 86/22 pursuant [2] 6/6 111/3 92/5 95/14 95/19 96/23 97/6 97/7 101/9 pursue [1] 44/24 106/24 107/10 pursued [4] 15/17 42/14 44/18 99/2 readily [1] 22/16 reading [10] 30/6 30/7 pursuing [1] 42/13 **pushing [1]** 42/19 30/25 48/1 48/16 49/13 76/12 77/15 86/14 86/23 **Quarter [1]** 80/18 reads [1] 51/23 Quay [3] 80/20 83/1 ready [2] 57/18 83/10 105/17 question [25] 51/25 real [1] 82/21 52/4 53/9 53/17 53/20 realized [2] 48/17 54/20 55/7 55/14 103/22 55/14 55/20 57/9 61/7 **Realizing [1]** 16/16 62/1 68/15 68/18 really [6] 22/19 39/18 68/21 68/24 69/3 69/7 52/1 54/15 59/23 69/11 69/14 69/17 101/6 70/14 106/15 106/18 reason [7] 7/4 26/25 Question 1 [2] 61/7 30/20 32/2 55/24 91/23 101/5 106/15 Question 10 [1] 69/14 reasonable [9] 8/13 Question 11 [1] 69/17 12/14 13/6 19/14 21/5 Question 2 [1] 106/18 21/17 25/10 73/10 Question 4 [1] 68/18 98/10 Question 5 [1] 68/21 reasonably [1] 12/15 Question 6 [1] 68/24 reasons [6] 27/8 30/9 Question 7 [1] 69/3 31/12 31/15 32/9 Question 8 [1] 69/7 102/8 Question 9 [1] 69/11 rebut [1] 95/24 questionable [1] rebuttal [2] 18/13 93/25 102/23 questions [3] 61/3 recall [3] 50/16 57/23 94/7 96/6 93/16 quick [2] 29/6 103/2 recap [1] 74/12 quickly [1] 40/19 received [11] 12/10 quite [3] 22/5 83/25 15/22 17/10 18/3 95/21 25/19 38/5 44/11 quote [3] 13/12 19/9 51/22 60/10 82/14 43/13 82/19 receiving [2] 17/12 38/24 r8 [2] 61/10 63/2 recently [1] 18/7 race [3] 12/6 12/8 recess [11] 51/20 12/10 51/21 57/17 57/19 racing [7] 85/18 85/24 57/20 60/8 60/9 105/8 86/4 86/20 86/24 105/24 105/25 109/19 87/17 87/19 reckless [1] 41/23 rain [1] 4/25 **recognize** [1] 87/3 raise [1] 51/13 record [7] 12/5 23/4 **RAMON** [1] 2/4 28/1 42/15 46/23 ranch [1] 87/17 79/12 96/20 range [1] 89/22 records [1] 43/19 rate [4] 83/23 84/4 redirect [2] 94/8 94/9 84/17 90/14 refer [5] 52/7 54/22 rather [3] 5/10 15/23 55/10 55/17 55/25 105/16 reference [4] 11/10 **RD [2]** 61/17 65/25 13/14 13/16 76/20 reached [5] 60/11 referenced [2] 28/7 60/16 71/13 100/17 42/9 106/5 referred [5] 5/9 6/11 reaching [1] 100/15 11/23 86/18 86/18 reaction [1] 35/13 referring [3] 53/18 read [25] 12/4 26/7 81/10 83/14 28/1 38/8 38/19 38/25 reflects [2] 79/13 54/16 57/5 69/23 70/8 83/16

75/25 76/10 77/8

refrain [1] 110/8

Case 2:17-cv-0087	1-AB-SS Docum
R	resolve [1] 108/13
refused [1] 11/6	resorted [1] 5/8
regard [4] 14/8 44/17	resources [6] 73/16 74/18 77/2 78/6 98/1
57/2 57/7	104/5
regarding [2] 52/7 53/7	respect [14] 22/15
Regardless [1] 17/4	28/14 30/2 31/10
regards [2] 51/24 56/8	40/21 47/14 54/9
registered [3] 16/24	99/25 100/1 100/16 101/7 101/18 102/5
24/8 25/23 registers [1] 24/14	108/23
registrar [1] 43/21	respected [1] 6/19
registration [3] 24/9	respectfully [2] 19/2 110/4
24/16 31/25	respond [3] 52/3 54/
registrations [1]	54/3
regulations [1] 111/8	response [6] 15/21
reject [1] 15/14	38/14 55/10 57/12 57/15 57/18
related [2] 41/9 42/6	responsibility [3]
relates [5] 49/13 72/12 73/20 95/16	16/14 28/13 33/12
97/5	responsible [8] 16/1
relationship [2] 73/10	33/21 37/3 43/13 43/17 43/25 45/1
98/10	85/14
relatively [1] 105/3 relay [1] 9/11	rest [1] 13/12
relevant [1] 5/16	Restaurant [1] 78/24
reliable [1] 43/19	restaurants [3] 78/18 82/4 82/5
relief [1] 20/23 remain [2] 47/17	restrained [1] 99/22
105/3	restraining [9] 8/5
remarkable [1] 39/18	8/12 10/5 13/23 19/6 42/4 42/5 42/6 42/8
remember [2] 27/6 105/21	result [1] 13/5
remind [2] 10/16 74/4	resume [3] 74/5 99/1
remorse [1] 103/16	103/8 retail [1] 83/10
remove [2] 8/11 102/6 rendering [1] 104/6	retracted [1] 6/24
Renewables [2] 84/20	return [4] 43/4 47/4
92/14	47/16 58/1 revenue [1] 81/5
renewed [1] 24/8 repay [1] 40/5	revenues [1] 81/24
repeat [1] 38/12	rhetorically [1] 37/20
repetitious [1] 7/15	right [97] 4/18 11/15 18/24 20/12 29/22
reply [1] 38/15	34/22 35/15 35/24
reported [2] 81/7 111/5	36/3 36/5 38/13 40/1
REPORTER [1] 1/23	41/8 42/14 42/15
REPORTER'S [1] 1/14	42/15 43/3 43/8 46/18 47/13 49/25 50/19
reprehensible [4] 72/24 72/25 97/22	50/20 50/22 51/7
97/23	51/10 51/12 51/22
represent [1] 85/12	53/1 53/3 53/11 53/10 54/8 55/13 57/5 57/8
represents [1] 85/9	57/14 57/18 57/21
reproduced [1] 76/22 republished [2] 6/16	59/20 59/22 59/25
45/3	60/10 70/5 72/5 72/6 72/9 76/3 77/14 78/1
republishing [1] 45/4	78/13 79/16 80/1 81/9
request [1] 11/5 require [2] 14/24 21/6	81/18 82/1 82/19 83/2
required [2] 72/20	83/16 84/3 84/7 84/9
97/18	84/13 84/16 84/18 84/22 85/23 86/12
requires [1] 91/21 reschedule [1] 58/7	87/4 87/18 88/1 88/6
resent [1] 87/11	88/14 88/17 88/24
reserved [1] 11/15	90/7 90/11 90/12 90/22 91/8 95/3 96/14
reserving [1] 18/12	96/25 101/16 102/21
resided [1] 7/25	

AB-SS Document 218 Filed 05/06/19 Page 123 of 126 Page ID sources [6] 73/16 1/18 77/2 78/6 98/17 105/24 109/9 spectfully [2] 19/25 80/19 80/21 spond [3] 52/3 54/1 sponsible [8] 16/18 estaurant [1] 78/24 staurants [3] 78/18 106/19 strained [1] 99/22 /12 10/5 13/23 19/6 sume [3] 74/5 99/14 106/16 106/19 98/11 65/14 etorically [1] 37/20 64/8 **ht [97]** 4/18 11/15 **[2]** 61/17 65/25 6/3 36/5 38/13 40/11 2/15 43/3 43/8 46/18 63/12 3/1 53/3 53/11 53/16 4/8 55/13 57/5 57/8 0/10 70/5 72/5 72/6 61/12 63/22 2/9 76/3 77/14 78/1 8/13 79/16 80/1 81/9 /18 82/1 82/19 83/2 68/4 3/16 84/3 84/7 84/9 64/19 7/4 87/18 88/1 88/6 role [1] 6/5 0/22 91/8 95/3 96/14 roughly [1] 100/24

10**2**/2**3**3**86**/9 104/10 rule [3] 100/8 108/19 104/22 106/3 107/7 108/20 run [2] 59/14 88/3 108/3 108/16 109/13 109/23 109/25 110/9 run-in [1] 88/3 right-hand [1] 87/4 rights [6] 10/13 18/25 s' [2] 49/12 50/6 27/4 42/14 80/25 81/2 rise [7] 47/8 51/20 **Safe [1]** 60/1 57/19 60/8 104/17 safety [19] 12/16 12/16 13/8 18/21 risk [2] 59/14 59/15 18/22 35/3 35/25 36/1 Road [2] 87/1 87/7 38/19 39/4 41/11 41/13 41/21 41/22 **Rocks [4]** 78/19 80/18 41/24 73/3 98/2 99/15 rodric [46] 1/5 4/11 101/18 5/5 7/12 8/3 11/9 42/6 sake [1] 59/17 60/24 61/11 61/12 sale [1] 103/23 61/13 61/14 61/15 sales [2] 82/21 82/24 Sambrook [1] 76/22 61/16 61/17 61/20 61/22 61/23 61/25 same [8] 8/1 34/20 35/17 38/9 39/19 63/12 63/22 64/8 41/24 56/7 87/12 64/19 65/4 65/14 **SAN [1]** 2/4 65/25 66/21 67/7 satisfy [3] 78/6 67/18 68/4 68/15 68/18 68/21 68/25 103/25 104/4 Saturday [1] 58/1 69/4 69/8 71/17 73/23 74/1 97/13 98/3 98/4 **savvy [1]** 31/16 saying [5] 36/15 38/15 98/11 106/11 106/16 45/11 56/21 108/23 scary [1] 32/14 Rodric David [15] school [9] 9/25 10/19 42/6 60/24 68/18 12/19 36/17 76/15 68/21 68/25 69/4 69/8 99/17 101/14 101/15 71/17 73/23 97/13 98/3 98/4 106/11 101/17 scion [1] 102/12 screenshot [1] 76/21 Rodric David's [1] screenshots [1] 37/1 search [2] 24/22 Rodric-david-channel 25/11 -west-coast [2] 61/16 seated [3] 47/12 104/21 109/12 Rodric-david-la-clippe second [7] 14/11 rs-lexus [2] 61/13 26/11 30/1 35/9 77/10 77/11 97/5 Rodric-david-Meeting -Distribution-101-RD secondarily [1] 17/7 secondary [2] 28/17 Rodric-david-Official-29/12 Portrait-Headshot-Th **Secondly [1]** 47/20 under [2] 61/20 66/21 **Section [1]** 111/3 security [4] 12/22 Rodric-david-orange-12/22 35/21 37/4 mclaren [2] 61/11 see [19] 9/6 13/13 13/16 21/19 23/13 Rodric-david-Tesla-p9 32/4 34/16 37/17 **0d [2]** 61/15 65/4 38/16 41/21 42/7 52/3 Rodric-david-thunder-56/6 57/6 87/1 89/21 studios-office [2] 89/22 90/2 102/3 seeking [2] 91/22 Rodric-david-toyota-h 94/12 ydro-car [2] 61/25 seem [1] 101/22 seems [2] 14/6 51/25 Rodric-david-yellowmclaren [2] 61/14 seen [13] 7/16 28/22 32/22 35/13 41/23 56/1 79/7 81/21 86/7 87/9 88/11 88/14 room [8] 1/24 49/1 73/18 75/18 97/11 100/4 selection [3] 27/21 104/11 104/16 109/5 43/17 43/25

sell [1] 83/10 send [4] 20/5 24/21 25/13 99/11 sending [2] 15/18 39/14 sends [2] 38/15 38/15 sends a [1] 38/15 sense [1] 19/21 sent [5] 7/11 11/24 35/22 38/17 103/12 sentence [4] 75/25 77/11 77/11 77/20 sentenced [2] 8/15 14/4 separate [5] 21/21 21/22 22/8 32/10 38/4 **separately [5]** 22/15 33/16 40/22 72/23 97/20 series [4] 6/14 23/17 32/25 81/3 serious [2] 19/11 32/15 serve [2] 74/21 74/25 **served [1]** 13/7 serves [1] 41/2 **service [2]** 108/6 109/4 **Session [1]** 1/18 set [6] 14/14 23/4 42/15 54/4 57/24 104/3 **SETH [3]** 2/3 2/3 4/10 **Seth Wiener [1]** 4/10 several [2] 6/18 108/8 severe [3] 20/5 100/2 100/9 **shadow [1]** 19/14 **shape [1]** 28/19 **shattered** [1] 18/17 **short [2]** 34/25 41/19 **show [8]** 14/25 81/9 83/6 84/8 85/7 88/25 89/3 96/5 **shown [1]** 45/7 **shows [2]** 16/23 22/11 side [9] 23/2 23/16 24/5 51/13 70/1 72/1 76/6 95/24 107/2 sidebar [4] 71/8 71/10 95/11 95/12 sides [3] 52/3 59/15 110/11 **signage [1]** 8/11 **signature** [1] 87/3 **signed [3]** 69/20 86/10 106/21 significant [6] 85/15 92/2 92/10 100/22 101/23 103/6 signs [9] 8/3 10/8 17/14 34/15 34/24 35/11 35/11 76/21 99/23 **similar [5]** 53/10 72/17 79/23 97/15 101/2 similarly [2] 11/1 50/8

technical [8] 8/9

Case 2:17-cv-0087	1-AB-SS Docum
S	speculating [1] 55/
	speculation [3] 21/
simply [6] 12/9 15/1 46/6 53/20 55/25	55/5 102/17
108/18	speech [5] 18/24
simultaneously [1]	45/25 46/3 46/5 46/6
7/19	spent [1] 75/6
since [4] 42/11 42/12	spoke [1] 35/14
53/4 85/5	Stable [2] 85/21 86/
single [3] 22/7 37/4	stake [1] 84/19
56/4	stalked [3] 7/6 8/25
singular [1] 50/9	18/13
sinister [1] 11/19	stalking [34] 5/4 5/7
sir [3] 46/22 60/1 95/3	7/7 7/23 12/15 19/6
sisters [1] 77/16	20/3 21/24 32/12
sit [1] 27/3	32/14 32/16 32/19 32/20 32/22 33/4 39
site [9] 23/8 23/8 24/8	39/24 44/17 46/16
24/18 25/18 28/14	51/24 53/4 54/19 56
28/15 29/5 44/1	57/2 57/7 68/16 68/
situated [1] 17/3	68/22 69/1 69/5 69/9
six [4] 29/17 75/6	100/18 100/23 103/4
91/22 91/24	stamped [1] 75/22
sixes [1] 11/18	stand [5] 26/15 36/
size [1] 11/16	37/20 39/13 74/5
skills [1] 22/19	
skipping [1] 41/19	standard [4] 21/5 72/19 97/16 103/19
slap [2] 99/13 100/21 small [2] 7/13 102/19	standing [3] 35/25
	36/3 82/4
snippet [1] 7/14 social [1] 7/21	star [1] 23/25
society [3] 20/6 39/9	start [3] 56/21 70/1
46/4	77/11
sold [3] 83/4 83/17	started [1] 93/18
84/13	starting [2] 48/3 48
sole [1] 86/19	state [5] 4/8 46/23
solely [3] 9/8 11/20	55/3 85/14 96/20
12/23	stated [2] 16/13 80/
solemnly [1] 46/25	statement [8] 15/11 54/6 88/14 88/19
somebody [2] 20/3	89/11 89/19 91/21
45/23	91/24
someone [10] 6/16	statements [4] 6/12
23/12 29/10 37/23	46/11 58/24 88/11
40/4 40/4 40/8 40/8	states [8] 1/1 60/22
43/16 88/3	79/23 85/8 85/16
something [11] 37/16 39/12 48/7 48/12	106/9 111/4 111/8
48/24 79/2 83/4 84/6	stating [1] 11/9
96/20 99/18 100/9	status [2] 92/1 92/9
sometimes [4] 48/5	statute [12] 14/21
48/6 109/1 109/2	4 4 10 4 4 5 10 00 15 00 1
	14/24 15/2 26/5 26/6
	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2
somewhat [1] 55/13	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11 space [1] 83/10	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2 71/12
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11 space [1] 83/10 speak [2] 35/6 42/15	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2 71/12 STEVEN [2] 2/7 4/1
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11 space [1] 83/10 speak [2] 35/6 42/15 Special [1] 61/1	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2 71/12
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11 space [1] 83/10 speak [2] 35/6 42/15 Special [1] 61/1 specific [4] 59/3 59/4	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2 71/12 STEVEN [2] 2/7 4/1 Steven Gebelin [1] 4/12
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11 space [1] 83/10 speak [2] 35/6 42/15 Special [1] 61/1 specific [4] 59/3 59/4 78/22 96/16	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2 71/12 STEVEN [2] 2/7 4/1 Steven Gebelin [1] 4/12 stolen [1] 10/23
somewhat [1] 55/13 somewhere [1] 45/20 soon [1] 7/7 sooner [1] 105/15 sorry [9] 49/18 49/19 54/23 55/2 70/14 98/18 102/23 103/1 103/1 sort [1] 59/15 source [1] 77/2 sources [2] 93/10 93/11 space [1] 83/10 speak [2] 35/6 42/15 Special [1] 61/1 specific [4] 59/3 59/4	26/25 27/4 32/8 32/2 42/9 50/4 50/9 statutes [1] 50/5 statutory [5] 17/22 20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8 steals [1] 40/6 stems [1] 55/16 stenographically [1 111/5 step [4] 4/8 46/22 73/24 95/3 steps [3] 15/23 22/2 71/12 STEVEN [2] 2/7 4/1 Steven Gebelin [1] 4/12

```
speculating [1] 55/13
speculation [3] 21/13
55/5 102/17
speech [5] 18/24
45/25 46/3 46/5 46/6
spent [1] 75/6
spoke [1] 35/14
Stable [2] 85/21 86/20
stake [1] 84/19
stalked [3] 7/6 8/25
stalking [34] 5/4 5/7
7/7 7/23 12/15 19/6
20/3 21/24 32/12
32/14 32/16 32/19
32/20 32/22 33/4 39/3
39/24 44/17 46/16
51/24 53/4 54/19 56/9
57/2 57/7 68/16 68/19
68/22 69/1 69/5 69/9
100/18 100/23 103/4
stamped [1] 75/22
stand [5] 26/15 36/13
37/20 39/13 74/5
standard [4] 21/5
72/19 97/16 103/19
standing [3] 35/25
star [1] 23/25
start [3] 56/21 70/15
started [1] 93/18
starting [2] 48/3 48/4
state [5] 4/8 46/23
55/3 85/14 96/20
stated [2] 16/13 80/7
statement [8] 15/11
54/6 88/14 88/19
89/11 89/19 91/21
statements [4] 6/12
46/11 58/24 88/11
states [8] 1/1 60/22
79/23 85/8 85/16
106/9 111/4 111/8
stating [1] 11/9
status [2] 92/1 92/9
statute [12] 14/21
14/24 15/2 26/5 26/6
26/25 27/4 32/8 32/20
42/9 50/4 50/9
statutes [1] 50/5
statutory [5] 17/22
20/9 32/3 53/7 53/8
steals [1] 40/6
stems [1] 55/16
stenographically [1]
step [4] 4/8 46/22
73/24 95/3
steps [3] 15/23 22/12
STEVEN [2] 2/7 4/12
Steven Gebelin [1]
                       summarize [1] 5/16
                       summoned [2] 11/4
stolen [1] 10/23
                       34/19
stood [2] 34/15 35/15
                       Superior [1] 86/4
```

```
support [2] 24/2 27/15 tech [1] 31/16
sto#:[7]81/3 11/6
11/7 38/15 38/17 42/3
                       supported [2] 75/5
46/2
stopped [2] 101/3
103/4
story [4] 23/2 23/6
23/16 24/5
straight [2] 23/4 42/16
street [9] 1/24 5/9
16/5 17/14 34/15
35/10 36/2 40/15
80/18
streets [1] 7/24
strength [2] 93/25
94/1
studio [1] 35/17
studios [31] 1/5 4/7
5/6 7/13 9/24 14/9
14/13 14/20 15/16
 15/17 16/11 17/18
 18/4 21/23 22/2 25/22
26/17 33/3 33/9 36/11
36/19 37/15 38/22
44/5 61/12 61/21
61/22 62/25 63/22
66/22 67/7
Studios' [1] 50/6
Studios's [1] 16/7
Studios, [8] 4/11
60/23 61/5 61/7 62/8
62/15 62/22 106/10
Studios-CEO [2]
61/21 66/22
study [1] 94/22
subjected [1] 11/2
submit [5] 17/1 91/24
102/9 102/18 108/18
submitted [4] 49/9
50/23 51/1 61/3
subpoena [4] 6/6 6/7
59/12 59/20
subpoenaed [1] 58/14
substantial [9] 41/14
41/16 73/16 74/18
74/21 74/24 98/17
98/25 99/5
success [1] 44/21
successful [1] 5/24
such [6] 11/2 14/1
17/20 18/25 77/19
92/6
suffered [3] 13/4
41/14 74/9
suggest [12] 28/4
33/10 33/20 54/17
56/12 78/5 84/22 92/2
92/10 92/22 93/13
105/2
suggested [1] 6/2
suggests [4] 8/23
48/18 56/11 56/19
SUITE [2] 2/8 2/13
sum [2] 17/22 100/19
```

93/1 supporter [1] 37/25 supposed [2] 37/18 44/19 sure [11] 13/3 39/22 58/5 47/18 48/1 54/12 54/16 59/17 79/14 90/17 94/10 97/9 surveillance [1] 40/24 suspend [1] 9/19 **Sven [1]** 86/3 swear [1] 46/25 sworn [2] 46/20 74/2 **Sydney [14]** 6/15 6/19 7/24 23/18 23/19 45/3 76/18 76/20 76/25 77/17 78/18 78/19 78/20 87/24 Sydney's [1] 83/10 symbol [2] 25/5 25/5 system [1] 108/11 SYVERSON [1] 2/7 tabs [1] 23/10 tabulation [1] 89/6 tactics [4] 7/3 7/4 39/16 39/16 tags [2] 23/11 105/17 take [24] 11/7 11/12 15/23 19/4 21/16 25/23 28/16 28/24 29/6 31/25 32/16 35/24 35/24 39/25 41/8 42/25 43/1 44/11 54/15 57/15 94/3 95/14 96/4 96/14 takedown [4] 15/22 18/6 25/19 31/22 taken [10] 14/18 14/23 44/4 44/7 51/21 57/20 60/9 76/21 100/24 105/25 takes [4] 16/13 28/13 33/11 103/17 taking [6] 5/9 40/3 40/7 40/10 40/11 108/12 talk [1] 109/3 talked [2] 53/5 53/8 talking [3] 52/17 52/19 94/15 Tarek [2] 86/3 86/16 taught [1] 108/19 tax [1] 92/8 taxation [1] 79/25 **TAYLOR [20]** 2/11 2/12 3/7 4/14 20/13 43/8 51/5 51/17 52/15 53/3 54/16 57/3 57/22 70/3 95/7 96/8 100/12 105/4 107/5 109/23 **Taylor's [1]** 43/11

team [3] 9/22 11/9

teams [1] 76/17

11/11

supervise [1] 29/23

13/24 14/1 22/18 22/19 23/8 24/15 31/16 technically [2] 53/14 technician [1] 49/1 telephone [1] 47/18 television [1] 101/23 telling [3] 10/24 43/11 56/17 **Temming [1]** 86/3 ten [6] 5/15 74/10 76/19 84/10 95/22 95/23 ten percent [1] 84/10 tenant [1] 83/2 tense [2] 48/7 48/15 term [7] 32/16 35/8 35/8 39/19 39/19 91/19 93/22 terms [1] 25/17 terrible [1] 37/2 terrorism [7] 19/2 37/1 37/17 39/19 39/20 39/21 39/23 terrorist [5] 7/2 36/16 37/25 39/16 39/16 Tesla [2] 61/15 65/4 testified [17] 12/3 14/19 16/25 23/5 23/11 24/12 26/15 31/19 34/17 35/1 38/9 38/19 39/5 74/3 91/11 92/18 93/20 testify [4] 6/6 48/18 58/20 85/23 testimony [22] 5/1 6/23 9/2 12/4 13/4 13/9 16/15 20/20 22/4 26/20 27/13 27/24 32/13 35/12 36/6 45/18 59/1 82/13 84/18 92/13 93/16 95/22 text [1] 23/20 than he [1] 59/21 thank [43] 4/23 4/24 20/11 20/12 20/14 20/15 20/17 43/6 43/7 43/8 46/17 46/18 48/22 51/19 57/18 60/4 60/4 60/6 60/15 72/6 83/21 89/13 94/6 95/4 97/1 98/21 100/11 100/13 102/20 102/21 104/8 104/9 105/8 105/19 108/4 108/7 109/4 109/6 109/8 109/14 109/25 110/13 110/14 the -- that [1] 48/25 the 2015 [1] 14/20 them [67] 5/16 6/25 12/12 13/25 14/3 14/25 15/11 15/11 17/9 17/12 20/5 22/10

them... [55] 22/11 24/25 25/1 25/15 29/1 29/2 31/21 31/21 34/7 34/20 34/21 35/14 35/15 35/16 35/25 37/25 38/1 38/24 38/25 40/9 42/20 47/2 47/3 47/4 47/23 47/25 48/1 48/1 52/7 53/18 54/15 54/22 55/21 55/25 56/1 71/12 71/24 74/22 74/25 78/12 84/24 94/21 94/22 99/2 99/3 99/11 100/2 100/8 100/9 100/20 104/23 105/1 105/18 108/25 109/3 theme [1] 38/12 theory [1] 31/6 there's [18] 11/25 15/13 34/22 41/9 41/10 43/17 44/14 49/7 49/12 53/6 53/15 53/23 78/24 78/25 100/22 100/24 103/6 109/18 therefore [2] 15/2 19/23 thief [1] 10/22 thing [9] 14/1 24/25 34/21 35/17 38/9 41/24 43/4 48/12 110/4 things [5] 22/10 36/9 37/2 73/9 100/15 think [46] 19/24 19/24 21/8 21/14 21/15 21/19 22/9 25/8 32/7 50/6 34/8 35/21 36/3 39/18 39/20 41/12 41/17 50/17 52/13 52/19 52/22 53/21 54/6 55/15 55/15 55/16 55/17 55/23 55/24 55/24 56/13 57/8 57/9 58/8 76/20 78/11 80/25 87/25 91/7 93/22 95/21 100/5 101/5 102/14 102/17 106/4 108/11 thinking [2] 53/6 54/18 thinks [1] 53/21 third [2] 29/25 77/8 **though [5]** 10/13 19/23 28/11 44/20 94/23 thought [6] 14/5 18/15 53/2 93/20 93/20 105/4 thoughts [1] 54/9 thousand [2] 40/4 75/12 threat [7] 13/8 19/2

41/19 41/20 41/21

threatening [1] 11/24

41/21 103/6

tolerates [1] 46/5

tomorrow [1] 105/1

transaction [3] 88/7

88/9 93/2

threats [8] 7/18 10/14 10/15 10/16 19/1 20/5 34/12 46/5 three [20] 18/9 22/8 22/8 22/10 22/13 22/13 22/15 27/10 27/13 27/24 32/21 36/21 40/22 44/10 59/21 77/1 77/16 90/16 90/18 95/24 throughout [2] 8/2 108/8 throw [1] 52/2 throwing [1] 56/13 thuggish [1] 35/7 thugs [1] 9/22 thumbs [1] 35/18 thunder [44] 1/5 4/6 4/11 5/6 7/13 9/24 9/25 14/9 14/13 14/20 15/16 15/17 16/7 16/11 17/18 18/4 21/23 22/2 25/22 26/17 33/3 33/9 36/10 36/19 37/15 38/22 44/5 50/6 60/23 61/5 61/7 61/12 61/20 61/22 61/23 62/8 62/15 62/22 62/25 63/22 66/21 67/7 67/18 106/10 Thunder Studios [21] 5/6 7/13 9/24 14/9 14/13 14/20 15/16 15/17 16/11 17/18 18/4 21/23 22/2 25/22 26/17 33/3 33/9 36/19 37/15 38/22 44/5 Thunder Studios' [1] 106/16 Thunder Studios's [1] 16/7 Thunder Studios, Inc. 102/15 **[7]** 4/11 60/23 61/7 62/8 62/15 62/22 106/10 Thunder Studios, Inc.'s [1] 61/5 Thunder-digital-media 101/3 108/8 -rodric-david-carey-m top [8] 78/24 85/18 artel [1] 67/18 Thunder-digital-media 86/24 87/19 89/25 -rodric-david-carey-m artell [1] 61/23 Thursday [1] 57/25 tied [1] 92/19 tilts [1] 21/9 Title [1] 111/4 to 2000 [1] 91/15 today [4] 5/3 14/7 71/24 103/20 together [2] 22/7 47/1 told [6] 9/11 11/14 13/12 34/20 34/21 93/13 tolerated [1] 99/12

TONY3[385] 1/8 4/15 transcript [3] 1/14 7/11 8/22 9/1 9/9 9/11 111/5 111/7 10/9 11/21 12/11 17/2 **transcripts** [1] 27/25 17/2 17/5 27/12 27/16 transferred [2] 15/25 27/17 28/2 28/4 28/20 44/13 29/3 30/2 30/23 31/9 transferring [1] 16/6 33/1 33/23 34/6 38/10 transfers [1] 89/7 39/14 42/7 45/7 45/12 travels [1] 60/1 45/22 45/24 60/24 treats [1] 19/25 62/10 62/12 62/15 trial [20] 1/18 3/11 63/5 63/6 63/7 63/15 8/21 20/17 20/22 63/16 63/17 64/1 64/2 30/22 32/17 39/11 64/3 64/12 64/13 49/10 57/24 58/6 64/14 64/22 64/23 59/12 79/17 81/19 64/24 65/7 65/8 65/9 95/1 97/6 106/4 108/8 65/18 65/19 65/20 109/17 110/6 66/4 66/5 66/6 66/14 trickery [4] 73/8 98/8 66/15 66/16 66/25 99/20 102/2 67/1 67/2 67/11 67/12 tried [3] 9/6 18/14 67/13 67/22 67/23 88/3 67/24 68/8 68/9 68/10 Troy [3] 85/21 86/18 68/19 69/4 69/14 86/20 true [8] 26/16 28/7 74/17 80/9 82/11 84/23 85/1 85/5 85/9 40/1 53/22 54/6 55/23 85/12 85/17 85/23 86/9 111/4 trust [25] 59/2 75/6 86/3 86/10 86/17 87/5 88/3 88/6 88/12 96/16 77/24 77/25 78/3 98/22 99/23 100/18 78/10 78/11 78/14 78/15 78/23 79/5 80/3 102/3 102/15 103/11 106/11 106/16 80/6 80/10 80/13 82/2 Tony Kazal [31] 4/15 90/20 90/21 90/22 7/11 8/22 9/1 9/9 10/9 90/25 91/3 91/4 17/2 33/1 60/24 62/10 103/22 104/2 110/7 62/12 62/15 68/19 trustee [3] 80/2 90/25 69/4 69/14 74/17 80/9 92/4 trustees [1] 90/22 84/23 85/17 85/23 trusts [2] 59/3 59/4 86/17 87/5 88/6 88/12 96/16 98/22 100/18 Truth [2] 45/1 45/2 102/3 103/11 106/11 truthfully [1] 6/6 try [7] 12/11 19/23 Tony Kazal's [5] 17/5 34/12 34/13 44/18 85/1 85/12 88/3 45/11 71/23 trying [5] 42/12 52/10 Tony's [1] 28/21 53/25 76/4 88/4 too [1] 27/8 TUESDAY [3] 1/15 4/1 took [12] 6/2 9/21 57/24 10/3 13/5 15/20 22/11 turn [9] 12/2 30/4 36/5 39/6 73/6 98/5 75/14 75/20 75/22 76/9 77/5 83/12 87/21 tweets [7] 32/24 38/6 85/24 86/4 86/20 38/7 38/8 39/15 42/21 101/4 tort [4] 51/24 56/9 Twitter [3] 7/21 11/9 57/2 57/7 38/5 total [15] 62/24 63/11 two [10] 14/9 14/14 63/21 64/7 64/18 65/3 21/21 21/22 22/14 65/13 65/24 66/10 27/25 72/12 96/6 66/20 67/6 67/17 68/3 100/24 101/3 Tyga [2] 61/22 67/7 68/14 89/6 totally [1] 37/14 Tyga-and-Rodric-Davi touched [1] 20/25 d-at-Thunder-Studiostourist [1] 78/19 Web [2] 61/22 67/7 towards [1] 98/24 type [1] 42/8 typed [1] 50/10 toyota [2] 61/25 68/4 Trading [2] 85/4 85/9 **typo [1]** 50/16 training [1] 87/17 typos [2] 47/21 47/25

UAE [2] 90/6 90/13 unable [4] 9/3 12/8 45/8 103/21 unacceptable [1] 92/19 unanimous [3] 60/11 60/16 106/5 unanimously [1] 61/3 unbelievable [1] 37/14 under [13] 26/10 26/15 31/6 31/6 32/3 32/20 36/13 40/24 46/5 59/12 74/4 102/17 108/15 underballing [1] 84/15 underneath [2] 10/21 80/1 understand [12] 54/1 57/21 60/16 72/14 74/17 78/9 78/12 78/13 87/17 93/6 105/23 106/5 understandable [1] understandably [1] 10/2 understanding [10] 77/22 80/24 81/4 81/5 83/8 90/14 91/2 91/19 93/7 93/9 understood [2] 13/17 91/11 undertaken [1] 41/4 undischarged [6] 75/7 75/9 91/12 92/1 92/9 102/11 undisputed [1] 8/7 unfortunate [1] 110/1 unique [1] 79/24 **UNITED [7]** 1/1 5/22 60/22 79/23 106/9 111/4 111/8 unlawful [2] 32/20 39/24 unless [6] 11/16 47/3 47/16 88/22 103/6 109/17 unlike [1] 43/19 unnecessary [1] 102/10 unrepentant [1] 14/6 until [6] 26/18 26/22 39/1 51/11 91/15 104/24 untrue [1] 23/17 **unwilling [1]** 50/12 up [18] 11/22 17/22 35/18 36/5 47/25 47/25 50/17 54/15 58/2 75/11 81/3 84/16 104/3 104/25 105/5 108/17 109/1 109/2 updated [1] 52/11 upload [1] 44/2 uploaded [1] 44/1

upon [4] 13/19 22/1 47/4 55/5 **us [6]** 25/5 31/5 38/13 39/11 61/4 96/17 use [12] 15/5 15/8 15/10 15/11 15/14 15/24 16/12 17/9 25/12 31/20 31/20 35/7 used [3] 16/15 39/18 93/22 using [2] 11/15 43/24 valid [1] 14/10 valuation [2] 87/11 87/14 valuations [1] 87/9 value [3] 82/1 82/7 84/6 van [4] 10/18 11/15 28/9 33/16 vans [3] 8/2 17/14 76/23 various [2] 41/2 78/20 venture [1] 5/19 ventures [1] 7/8 verbiage [1] 56/7 verdict [30] 18/11 21/10 21/19 27/7 31/11 43/4 47/4 60/11 60/17 60/20 61/1 69/22 69/23 70/7 70/8 70/15 71/13 72/10 96/5 100/15 100/17 104/7 104/12 106/6 106/7 106/14 106/23 106/24 107/9 107/10 verify [2] 81/10 83/7 version [4] 48/6 49/8 51/1 97/7 versus [4] 4/7 60/24 86/4 106/11 vicarious [3] 29/10 30/3 31/7 vicariously [1] 29/15 victim [2] 10/24 40/9 victims [1] 42/11 video [8] 33/17 34/14 35/8 35/12 35/23 35/24 36/5 76/21 videos [2] 20/20 39/15 view [2] 73/11 98/12 viewers [1] 10/24 violated [3] 8/7 8/12 42/4 violation [3] 8/9 13/23 18/5

violations [2] 13/24

violence [3] 13/1

visible [1] 76/19

voice [1] 42/16

voir [1] 108/10

voir dire [1] 108/10

vulnerable [5] 73/4

13/16 13/19

14/1

73/6 98/4 98/5 101/22 W waging [1] 12/23 wait [2] 51/10 51/11 waiting [2] 4/20 109/1 walk [1] 88/20 wanted [2] 23/15 36/17 wants [3] 21/13 28/16 35/20 war [1] 12/23 warrant [1] 20/1 warranted [1] 20/4 waste [1] 74/15 watermarks [1] 25/4 weak [6] 73/4 73/5 94/1 98/3 98/5 101/21 wealthy [2] 93/17 102/12 Web [4] 24/4 24/9 61/22 67/7 Website [62] 7/20 15/16 15/20 15/20 16/1 16/1 16/2 16/6 16/8 16/14 16/18 16/22 16/23 17/5 17/5 17/6 17/9 17/13 17/15 22/3 22/17 22/20 23/1 23/13 24/5 24/9 24/14 24/23 24/25 25/1 25/15 25/17 26/1 26/14 26/16 26/18 27/18 27/19 28/2 28/8 28/12 28/21 28/22 29/2 29/21 36/25 36/25 37/2 37/5 37/23 38/1 42/21 43/14 43/17 43/23 44/13 45/2 45/3 46/10 84/8 85/8 101/4 Websites [12] 24/13 36/8 36/15 36/17 36/19 36/20 36/23 37/6 37/15 37/23 41/9 110/6 week [3] 4/25 5/3 57/25 weeks [2] 91/22 108/9 well-being [1] 99/16 well-funded [1] 99/10 well-to-do [1] 93/17 went [4] 26/16 29/1 38/18 58/3 west [3] 1/24 61/16 65/14 **WESTERN [1]** 1/2 wherever [1] 11/10 wherewithal [1] 93/2 why [10] 20/18 36/14 36/15 43/3 54/14 58/14 60/11 60/20 77/10 94/14 **WIENER [15]** 2/3 2/3 3/6 4/10 43/9 50/15 51/3 51/15 52/5 54/9 57/11 73/21 98/20 107/3 109/21

wif#[3]89/3 12/17 18/22 34/10 34/19 77/13 77/16 willful [40] 31/14 63/3 63/6 63/9 63/13 63/16 63/19 63/24 64/2 64/5 64/10 64/13 64/16 64/20 64/23 65/1 65/5 65/8 65/11 65/16 65/19 65/22 66/2 66/5 66/8 66/12 66/15 66/18 66/23 67/1 67/4 67/9 67/12 67/15 67/20 67/23 68/1 68/6 68/9 68/12 Willful/Ignorant [39] 63/3 63/6 63/9 63/13 63/16 63/19 63/24 64/2 64/5 64/10 64/13 64/16 64/20 64/23 65/1 65/5 65/8 65/11 65/16 65/19 65/22 66/2 66/5 66/8 66/12 66/15 66/18 66/23 67/1 67/4 67/9 67/12 67/15 67/20 67/23 68/1 68/6 68/9 68/12 willfully [5] 8/18 17/21 62/6 62/13 62/20 Willfulness [1] 17/24 Williams [1] 46/24 **WILSHIRE** [1] 2/8 winnings [1] 12/5 wish [5] 70/1 95/7 107/2 109/20 110/10 wishes [3] 51/13 59/17 72/1 without [5] 36/23 36/23 37/24 37/24 55/12 witness [12] 20/20 23/25 37/20 39/13 48/5 48/6 48/18 58/15 73/23 74/5 94/19 95/5 witnesses [5] 3/5 6/18 71/16 71/19 95/6 Woodward [4] 12/1 33/17 33/23 34/17 **Woodward's [1]** 33/18 word [7] 16/15 32/14 32/14 36/4 56/24 57/4 110/9 words [5] 12/24 16/18 23/6 23/13 23/15 work [2] 9/8 14/12 worked [2] 9/18 39/11 working [1] 37/5 world [3] 85/4 85/9 108/12 worried [1] 48/12 worry [1] 56/10 worry that [1] 56/10 worth [5] 58/17 58/19 58/19 99/8 108/22 wraps [1] 28/9 wrist [2] 99/13 100/21 writing [1] 17/15

writings [1] 10/21

written [1] 23/19 wrong [4] 27/2 38/13 38/13 48/12 wrongdoer [2] 72/16 97/14 wrongdoing [1] 41/7 wrongful [5] 5/12 6/1 12/12 73/13 98/14 wrongfully [1] 5/20 year [5] 23/24 32/25 36/10 84/1 89/12 years [11] 5/15 9/16 24/24 26/19 27/4 74/10 75/6 89/23 91/25 100/24 101/3 yellow [2] 61/14 64/19 yesterday [4] 12/2 14/19 47/20 48/17 young [2] 39/8 108/19 **Your Honor [35]** 48/9 49/19 50/18 51/4 51/16 51/18 52/6 53/2 54/11 55/23 57/13 57/23 58/16 59/19 60/6 70/2 70/4 71/25 73/22 79/15 80/14 81/17 83/11 86/1 88/19 94/3 94/7 95/6 95/9 96/13 103/2 107/4 107/6 109/22 109/24 zero [33] 29/24 53/14 54/4 54/5 54/12 56/20 63/7 63/10 63/17 63/20 64/3 64/6 64/14 64/17 64/24 65/2 65/9 65/12 65/20 65/23 66/6 66/9 66/16 66/19 67/2 67/5 67/13 67/16 67/24 68/2 68/10 68/13 69/2 **zoom [2]** 81/14 88/23